TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

Ron Jones
Director

460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505



CHAIRMAN
CONSUMER AFFAIRS COMMITTEE,
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY
UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

NOTICE OF OFFICIAL NOTICE FILING

Docket No.: 07-00225

In Re: Docket to Evaluate Atmos Energy Corporation's Gas Purchases and Related

Sharing Incentives

Date: January 14, 2008

During a Status Conference in the above-styled docket on January 11, 2008, the Hearing Officer took official notice of the following materials from Docket No. 07-00105, *In re: Petition of Atmos Energy Corporation for Approval of Adjustments to its Rates and Revised Tariff*:

- Pre-Filed Testimony of John M. Dosker filed on August 28, 2007;
- Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of William H. Novak filed on August 21, 2007; and
- The related portions of the transcript of the hearing starting on October 8, 2007.

Attached to this notice are copies of the officially noticed materials. Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-5-313(6), any parties wishing to contest or rebut the material so noticed shall do so by 2:00 p.m., Friday, January 18, 2008.

For the Tennessee Regulatory Authority:

Ron Joses, Director Acting as Hearing Officer

Original in Docket File Copies to Service List Pre-Filed Testimony of John M. Dosker filed on August 28, 2007

WALLER LANSDEN DORTCH & DAVIS, LLP

Nashville City Center
511 Union Street, Suite 2700
Nashville, Tennessee 37219-8966
(615) 244-6380

FAX: (615) 244-6804 www.wallerlaw.com 1901 SIXTH AVENUE NORTH, SUITE 1400 BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35203-2623 (205) 214-6380

520 South Grand Avenue, Suite 800 Los Angeles, California 90071 (2:3) 362-3680

D. Billye Sanders (615) 850-8951 billye.sanders@wallerlaw.com

August 28, 2007

ZOOT AUG 28 PH 12:-06
T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Eddie Roberson, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37219

Re:

In Re: Petition of Atmos Energy Corporation for Approval of

Adjustments to its Rates and Revised Tariff

Docket No. 07-00105

Pre-filed Testimony of John M. Dosker

Dear Chairman Roberson:

Enclosed you will find the Pre-filed testimony of John M. Dosker, General Counsel for Stand Energy Corporation.

Sincerely,

D. Billye Sanders

Attorney for Stand Energy

D. Belya Sande

Corporation

cc:

John M. Dosker Parties of Record

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE: PETITION OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF ADJUSTMENTS TO ITS RATE AND REVISED TARIFF))	DOCKET NO. 07-00105
PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF JOHN M. DOSKER		

Q. Would you state your name, address and occupation for the record please?

My name is John Marshall Dosker. My address is 2716 McKinley Avenue,
Cincinnati, Ohio 45211. I am General Counsel for Stand Energy Corporation, a
natural gas supplier and marketing company. Stand Energy Corporation's
address is 1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-1629.

Q. Please provide a summary of your educational background?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. I have a Bachelors Degree in Political Science from the University of Kentucky and a Juris Doctor from Northern Kentucky University Salmon P. Chase College of Law.

Q. Please provide a summary of your professional legal experience?

I have been licensed to practice law in Kentucky since 1987. I have served as a Staff Attorney to a Kentucky Court of Appeals Judge for two years; practiced law as a law clerk, associate and ultimately partner in a Martindale-Hubbell AV rated law firm in the field of litigation representing individuals and corporations for almost 10 years; and have been employed as In-House Counsel and ultimately General Counsel for Stand Energy Corporation for nine years. am admitted to practice before the Courts of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky and the United States Supreme Court. I have the following published appellate decisions Historic Licking Riverside Civic Assoc. v. City of Covington, et al. Ky., 774 S.W.2d 436 (1989); Huddleston v. Hughes, Ky.App., 843 S.W.2d 901 (1992). City of Covington v. Board of Trustees of Policemen's and Firefighters' Retirement Fund, Ky., 903 S.W.2d 517 (1995); Kenton County Public Parks Corp. v. Modlin, Ky.App., 901 S.W.2d 876 (1995). I also authored a law review article on Evidence: The New Kentucky Rules of Evidence, Trojan Horse or Improvement Over Common Law? 20 N.Ky.L.Rev. 701 (Spring, 1993). Since coming to Stand Energy, I have been involved in dozens of utility cases before the Public Utility Commission of Ohio (PUCO); as well as the Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC); Virginia State Corporation Commission (Virginia SCC); and the Maryland Public Service Commission (MPSC). I have directed the activities of outside counsel in regulatory proceedings in these states and many others. I am also a former member of the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) Executive Committee, Gas Supplier Segment.

Have you ever submitted pre-filed testimony on behalf of Stand Energy Corporation?

A. No, normally Mark Ward, Stand Energy's Vice-President of Regulatory Affairs, with almost 30 years experience at Columbia Gas and another 10 years at Stand Energy, would submit necessary testimony on behalf of Stand Energy Corporation. However, Atmos Energy Corporation objected to Mr. Ward having access to allegedly confidential information.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

- The purpose of my testimony is to adopt other testimony filed in this case and to address issues related to rate design, transportation, asset management and competition which may be partially or completely addressed in other dockets. However, this is the only open docket involving Atmos in which Stand Energy has presently been granted leave to intervene. Therefore, this testimony is submitted to protect the record and to hopefully persuade the Tennessee Regulatory Authority of the need to make changes to the Atmos transportation tariffs and rules to benefit Stand Energy's customer, Harrison Construction Co., and to foster a more competitive marketplace in the Atmos service territory in Tennessee. Stand Energy is agent for Harrison Construction in connection with its natural gas consumption and related issues. Harrison Construction is also a customer of Atmos Energy Corporation.
- Q. Have you reviewed the pre-filed direct testimony of William H. Novak filed by the Atmos Intervention Group?
- A. Yes, and Stand Energy would like to incorporate by reference and adopt Mr.

 Novak's testimony and exhibits including but not limited to his proposed solutions to the issues of rate design and his proposed Atmos transportation tariff changes on behalf of our customer Harrison Construction.
- Q. What type of company is Harrison Construction?
- A. Harrison Construction company is an asphalt paving company that uses a large quantity of natural gas to heat asphalt, but only when it is economically priced and interstate pipeline capacity is available for the company to transport gas. The last few years, Harrison Construction has reasonably determined that purchasing natural gas from Atmos is usually not the least expensive energy alternative for Harrison Construction to heat asphalt. Often times even purchasing the natural gas "commodity" from Stand Energy Corporation is not the least expensive fuel alternative for Harrison due to the high cost of interstate pipeline capacity into the Atmos territory that is "released" for resale.
- Q. What is "released capacity"?

- A. "Released capacity" is the right to move a stated quantity of natural gas on the interstate pipeline that is "excess" or unneeded by the owner of the capacity. The owner of the capacity has a "firm" or guaranteed right to use the capacity when it chooses (except when a force majeure event such as a hurricane or damage to the pipeline physically prevents the flow of gas). Released capacity is usually "recallable" or "interruptible" by the owner of the capacity. Released capacity is not a dependable method of transporting gas, especially during the winter heating season from November through March of each year.
- Q. Why doesn't Harrison Construction simply buy some "firm" interstate pipeline capacity to transport its natural gas requirements?

Although firm interstate pipeline capacity would guarantee Harrison the ability to transport a stated quantity of natural gas everyday, Harrison Construction doesn't burn gas everyday because they can only lay asphalt under certain weather conditions. It would not be economical for Harrison Construction to purchase firm interstate pipeline capacity that would often go unused or which Harrison Construction would have to "release" and spend time trying to resell to recoup some of the costs. Firm interstate or intrastate pipeline capacity (also known as "transportation) is much more expensive than interruptible pipeline capacity.

Q. Is Cross Subsidization an issue in this proceeding?

A. Yes. Cross-subsidization is an issue in every state where customers are allowed or encouraged to participate in transporting natural gas. Stand Energy and Harrison Construction support and applaud Mr. Novak's plea for cost-based charges and rate design in the Atmos service territory in Tennessee, to avoid having one class of customers from having interstate pipeline capacity and storage costs subsidized by other classes of Atmos customers. It's basic issues of fairness and economics.

Q. Does Cross-Subsidization Promote Competition That Benefits Customers?

A. No. Based on what I have seen in other states, cross-subsidization creates competition between customer classes for a fair and equitable rate design which usually damages the competitive environment because of the lack of regulatory certainty and integrity. I believe this is the current state of the natural gas market in the Atmos territory of Tennessee - competition between customer classes. In terms of competition, the existence of cross-subsidization acts as a barrier to gas marketers like Stand Energy whose start-up activities and costs can be very expensive. Many companies will not take the risk of incurring large legal and regulatory start up costs when regulatory uncertainty exists or when the local distribution company (i.e., Atmos) has anticompetitive programs in place.

Q. Does Stand Energy Corporation compete with Atmos Energy Corporation?

A. Absolutely not. Stand Energy Corporation does not have any pipe in the ground in Tennessee and does not "deliver" natural gas to any customers within the Atmos Energy Corporation service territory in Tennessee by virtue of a Stand Energy gas distribution system. Stand Energy is a gas marketer or supplier. Stand Energy takes title to gas and redelivers it to our customers in more than 10 states. Stand Energy has experience delivering gas to over thirty (30) local distribution companies (LDC's) like Atmos Energy Corporation.

Q. Does Stand Energy Corporation compete with Atmos Energy Marketing?

A. Yes. Stand Energy <u>does</u> compete with Atmos Energy Marketing (hereinafter "AEM"), a separate and distinct legal entity from Atmos Energy Corporation (hereinafter "AEC") - a critical distinction in every other jurisdiction where Stand Energy is actively marketing natural gas. Stand Energy is similar to

AEM except Stand Energy is privately owned and completely independent. AEM is an unregulated subsidiary of its corporate parent, AEC. Harrison Construction's parent company, which operates facilities in numerous other states, has indicated that it has no difficulty economically transporting natural gas to its facilities in other states.

- Q. What prevents the unregulated marketing arm of a regulated utility, such as AEM, from sharing lawyers, employees, etc. of AEC, the regulated utility parent, in other states?
- A. Most of the other states where Stand Energy Corporation serves customers have a "Utility Code of Conduct" that has been adopted by the applicable State Utility Regulatory Commission and/or legal ethics rules which prevent that type of dual representation behavior whether at the legal level or the sales/operation level. Even information sharing is prohibited to prevent bestowing a competitive advantage upon the unregulated marketing subsidiary such as AEM.
- Q. Describe a typical state utility code of conduct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. A typical utility code of conduct describes the permitted relationship between a vertically integrated monopoly utility, such as AEC, and its regulated or unregulated corporate affiliates or subsidiaries such as AEM. A typical utility code of conduct will have mechanisms designed to prevent the incumbent utility from raising barriers to competition by favoring their Affiliate and disadvantaging all others. A typical state utility code of conduct is modeled after FERC Order 497, but is usually more comprehensive obligating regulated gas utilities to provide the same services, information, and pricing terms to all marketing entities (theirs and others) as well as restricting personnel deployment, establishing complaint procedures, and allowing for reporting and audit oversight.
- Q. Does a utility code of conduct help prevent "regulatory evasion"?
- Yes. Regulatory evasion refers to the forms of direct and indirect harm to consumers caused by the exercise of market power by regulated utilities by the regulated utilities' evasion of economically appropriate cost-based rate regulation such as that advocated in this case for AEC by Mr. Novak. Regulatory evasion is accomplished through anticompetitive discrimination by regulated utilities in favor of their unregulated affiliates. Simply stated this discrimination can occur in three ways: 1) purchases from affiliates at inflated prices or; 2) sales to affiliates at below market prices or; 3) a combination of both. For example, if AEC is purchasing gas from AEM this practice is likely to increase AEC's costs (and rates) and discriminates against independent suppliers of natural gas that offer lower prices for equivalent or better service. If AEC is making preferential sales or provision of services to AEM not available to other marketers, this practice will increase the costs (and rates) of the utility while decreasing the costs and increasing the profits of the Affiliate - AEM. Practices such as these also

1676135.3 4

discriminate against the competitors of AEM by charging them higher prices (for services supplied by AEC) than the prices paid by AEM. These practices also adversely affect customers of Stand and AEC, such as Harris Construction.

Q. Is Atmos any different than any other regulated utility in this respect?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. No better and no worse. A rate-regulated parent company such as AEC with market power has incentives to engage in such transactions. By shifting profits to AEM, the affiliate, AEC evades rate regulation and exercises market power resulting in its captive customers paying higher regulated rates to cover inflated costs. This has been the documented experience of numerous other states.
- Q. Are cost-based rate regulations alone sufficient to prevent abuses?
- A. No. A utility whose exercise of market power is constrained by cost-based rate regulation also may find it profitable to evade rate regulation by cross-subsidizing the costs of its unregulated affiliates. This often involves for example selling to an affiliate at below-market prices or providing better service to the affiliate than to competitors of the affiliate.
- Q. Has AEC's regulatory evasion increased AEM's market share?
- Stand Energy has not yet had the benefit of discovery, so I cannot answer that question. However based upon Mr. Novak's testimony I am confident the evidence will show that AEC is cross-subsidizing AEM which has allowed AEM to increase its market share by profitably taking sales from equally efficient or more efficient independent suppliers such as Stand Energy which are not affiliated with any utility in any way.
- Q. Has Stand Energy experienced behavior by AEC and AEM employees in Tennessee that would be a violation of the Utility Code of Conduct in other states?
- Yes, Stand Energy Corporation has observed predatory behavior in Tennessee by both AEC and AEM employees. Within the last year, Stand Energy Corporation approached several large industrial users of natural gas in Tennessee to discuss purchasing their gas from Stand Energy Corporation. These customers were very interested in the pricing that Stand Energy was able to quote them. These same customers then contacted AEC (the utility) to confirm that AEC would transport Stand Energy's gas to the appropriate delivery point on AEC's system for the customer. These customers reported back to Stand Energy they were told by AEC employees that AEC could <u>not</u> guarantee deliveries of Stand Energy's gas - implying Stand Energy might not be a reliable supplier to the unknowing customer. In another case, a potential customer was almost immediately contacted by a representative of the unregulated marketing arm, AEM, stating that AEM could match Stand Energy's pricing offer. The customer had never I can only conclude that Stand Energy's price offer was spoken with AEM. shared by AEC with AEM. This sort of predatory behavior is extremely anticompetitive and a violation of federal anti trust laws.

1676135.3 5

Q. Is Stand Energy aware of other behavior by AEC in other states that is, or may be, relevant to determinations the Authority is making in this case?

- Yes, Stand Energy would ask the Tennessee Regulatory Authority to take legal notice of the fact that in 2006 one of the Atmos entities hired one of the three members of the Kentucky Public Service Commission in the middle of a contested rate case involving the Kentucky Atmos utility! The case was initiated by the Kentucky Attorney General's claim that Atmos (formerly Western Kentucky Gas) was over-earning in Kentucky. See, Office of the Attorney General Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2005-00057. "The Attorney General also points out for the record that during the pendency of the instant complaint case against Atmos Energy Corporation and after the Commission's ruling severely limiting the Attorney's [sic] General's scope of discovery in the last week of July, 2006 then-Kentucky Public Service Commissioner Greg Coker resigned from the PSC to accept a position with Atmos Energy Corporation." (Attorney General's Brief Regarding Motion To Hold Procedural Schedule in Abeyance, filed February 26, 2007, page 8, fn 15). Attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 1.
- Q. Is the information flowing back to Stand Energy from potential customers consistent with statements made to Stand Energy Corporation by employees of AEC and AEM?
- A. No. When Stand Energy Corporation was finally able to reach AEC and AEM representatives in positions of authority, they said exactly what they should say - that all gas delivered to the AEC system in conformance with approved tariffs will be redelivered to customers in conformance with those tariffs.
- Q. Does Atmos Energy have a Code of Conduct?

- A. Western Kentucky Gas, a subsidiary of AEC and a regulated Kentucky utility, claimed in a Kentucky PSC proceeding over nine (9) years ago in case No. 97-513 (See, Exhibit 2 attached hereto and incorporated herein) that AEC had a "Code of Affiliate Conduct" in the State of Georgia. According to the Kentucky Public Service Commission Order: "It [Atmos] also indicated that it voluntarily follows this same code, for the most part, in transactions in other states." Exhibit 2 at page 3. (Emphasis added).
- Q. Have you ever seen the AEC Code of Conduct?
- A. I have seen an amended and restated Atmos Code of Conduct, dated August 9, 2005 issued by Atmos Chairman Robert Best, attached hereto as Exhibit 3 to my testimony. According to this document, AEC has internal rules against many predatory practices including conflicts of interest, promoting competition and fair dealing, and honesty with regulators and other government officials. Therefore, a Utility Code of Conduct adopted by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority for Atmos would simply serve to incorporate an existing AEC corporate policy into Tennessee law and give the TRA the power to enforce it.

Q. Does Stand Energy support Mr. Novak's suggestion for a Transportation Storage Option?

- A. Yes. Placing gas in storage is one of the few ways to "physically hedge" against natural gas price volatility. If AEC has excess storage capacity available, as asserted by Mr. Novak that asset should be maximized to benefit the ratepayers who pay for it via a reduction of the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA). Storage gas availability would also help all gas marketers mitigate the disastrous affects of daily balancing penalties and operational flow order (OFO) penalties proposed by AEC.
- Q. Should AEC be allowed to impose balancing penalties on gas marketers and their Tennessee customers when AEC is not penaltized by the relevant interstate pipeline for that same conduct?
- A. No. In many states we have described the appropriate policy as "No Harm No Foul". When penalties are incurred, they should be based upon, and related to, actual costs incurred by AEC. If no additional costs are incurred by AEC, no penalties should result. Any penalties imposed should be based only on the costs incurred by AEC.
- Q. Has Stand Energy ever seen an unregulated utility affiliate, such as AEM, successfully manage the transportation assets of the host utility, in this case AEC, to the benefit of ratepayers?
- A. No. The most recent example we are aware of is in Ohio with Vectren, the utility, and ProLiance, the unregulated affiliate and asset manager. ProLiance simply could not stop helping itself to assets paid for by the Vectren ratepayers. Now, only several years removed from the discovery of that bad conduct, ProLiance is no longer managing Vectren's assets and Vectren is now completely "exiting the merchant function" in Chio.
- What affect would be realized by unbundling Atmos' interstate pipeline capacity assets?
- A. If Atmos' rates are redesigned consistent with Mr. Novak's suggestions, more customers such as Harrison Construction will be able to transport gas and reduce their costs and benefit from increased competition in the supply of gas. Smaller non-transporting customers would also benefit if the revenues from gas marketers were used to reduce interstate pipeline demand costs for the smaller non-transporting customers as suggested by Mr. Novak.
- Q. How important is telemetry for gas transportation customers?
- A. Stand Energy's experience is that the utility, the customer and the gas marketer/supplier can much better manage gas supply issues, especially on systems with daily balancing requirements, with real-time gas measurement (telemetry) from the customer's meter set. There are numerous types of telemetry equipment available on the market. Basically it's a modem attached to the gas meter with a phone line which sends a signal via the phone line or internet to the gas supplier. The gas supplier then uses that data to adjust

gas deliveries, in real time, either up or down to avoid utility imbalance penalties. Stand Energy believes that AEC should only be allowed to charge customers the actual cost of the least expensive telemetry equipment capable of performing the needed task. The amount and term of customer telemetry payments should be reasonable and not restrictive. Operational Flow Order and banking and balancing penalties should not be imposed until the large transporting customers have telemetry installed and operational.

Q. What result would Harrison Construction like to see in this case?

Harrison Construction would like to see Mr. Novak's suggestions incorporated into the Atmos' tariffs and the TRA's rules relative to rate design, transportation (interstate pipeline capacity), asset management and competitive issues. The TRA should adopt a Code of Conduct or affiliate transaction guidelines applicable to Atmos and its unregulated marketing affiliate, Atmos Energy Marketing in order to prohibit anti-competitive behavior between AEC and its marketing affiliate. The above-described improvements will promote a much more competitive marketplace in the Atmos service territory in Tennessee that will benefit not only Harrison Construction, but all of the Atmos ratepayers if the rates and rules are properly and fairly designed.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes.

Α.

VERIFICATION

I, John M. Dosker, declare that I am authorized by Stand Energy Corporation to testify on its behalf, that I have prepared the foregoing written testimony and that the statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

John M. Dosker General Counsel Stand Energy Corporation

STATE OF Olis

COUNTY OF Vamillon

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 24th day of Qugust, 2007.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 2-7-2011

KATHY L. KELLEMS Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires February 7, 2011

EXHIBIT 1

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION RECEIVED

FEB 26 2007

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complainant

v.

: Case No. 2005-00057

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

Respondent

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S BRIEF REGARDING MOTION TO HOLD PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE IN ABEYANCE

Comes now the Attorney General, by and through his Office of Rate Intervention, and states as follows for his brief regarding the Commission's order dated February 16, 2007, requiring the parties to brief the issue of whether the Attorney General's motion to hold the procedural schedule for the instant case in abeyance pending final resolution of case no. 2006-00464 renders the instant case moot:

The Attorney General on February 1, 2005 filed the instant complaint alleging over-earning by Respondent. Atmos responded on February 11, 2006, denying any over-earning and moved to dismiss the complaint. On February 25, 2005 the Attorney General filed his response, arguing that he had indeed established a prima facie case. In that response, the Attorney General noted that

Atmos simply <u>refused</u> to provide responses to some of his requests for information. ¹

Despite the fact that the Attorney General established a prima facie case, and despite the obvious unwillingness of Atmos to cooperate, the Commission still did not issue a procedural schedule or any other order compelling Atmos' full responses. Moreover, the Commission failed to initiate an investigation as it is required to under KRS 278.260, and as precedence required. ²

Months passed.

Since the Commission had still not issued any ruling on this matter, the Attorney General on September 13, 2005 moved for an immediate ruling that Atmos was over-earning, to establish a procedural schedule, to determine the amount of the excessive over-earning, and to reduce the rates charged prospectively to reasonable amounts. The Attorney General *urged* the Commission to rule on this matter:

"Given the delay that has already occurred since the filing of the Complaint, it is impossible to afford the Attorney General and other interested parties sufficient time to conduct meaningful discovery, hold a hearing and issue a decision prior to the beginning or end of this heating season. Under a system governed by the [filed] rate doctrine, justice delayed becomes justice denied. To provide reasonable rates prospectively, the Attorney General respectfully demands that a procedural schedule and hearing immediately be established in this docket."

¹ Response brief of February 25, 2005, pp. 2-3; citing In the Matter of Kanawha Hall v. Equitable Production Co. (2004-00307), order of Oct. 2, 2004, pp. 2-3.

Id. at p. 6, see in particular n. 4.

³ Attorney General's Response Brief of September 13, 2005, at p. 2.

The Attorney General made this motion in the fall of 2005, shortly after Hurricane Katrina hit the U.S. Gulf coast, which set in motion some of the most significant gas price increases in U.S. history. The Attorney General brought to the Commission's attention the impending adverse impact that lay ahead for ratepayers:

"Given . . . that the approaching winter will present ratepayers with significant increases for the natural gas cost portion of their bills, it is imperative that the Commission rule that the company is overearning and immediately set in course a procedural schedule and hearing to reduce the non-gas rates so that customers are not burdened with the support of inflated earnings for Atmos on top of increases in gas prices." 4

More months passed.

From the time of the filing of the instant complaint (February 1, 2005) until the time the Commission finally ruled on the Attorney General's request for a procedural schedule (February 2, 2006), average natural gas prices in Kentucky rose from \$10.61/mcf to \$14.48/mcf, an increase of 36.48%.⁵ But the Commission did not rule on the Attorney General's motion until the height of the gas crisis, in February 2006, one full year after the Attorney General filed the instant complaint.

It was not until February 2, 2006, however, that the Commission agreed that the Attorney General had established a prima facie case, and issued a procedural schedule. Nonetheless, the Commission's staff, in an informal

^{&#}x27;Id.

⁵ Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration; http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/ng/ng_pri_sum_dcu_nus_m.htm

conference held on February 14, 2006, resulting with the issuance of the procedural schedule, began that conference by asking the Atmos representatives whether they planned on filing a rate case. Although that informal conference was held in a case alleging over-earning, it immediately became evident that the writing was on the wall – the Commission had already made up its mind that it would never make any finding of over-earning (despite the fact that the Commission never initiated an investigation [as is required under KRS 278.260] nor any other measures designed to objectively determine the facts).

Pursuant to the procedural schedule, the Attorney General issued discovery requests that sought, *inter alia*, pro forma adjustments to the historic test period ending Sept. 30, 2005. On March 30, 2006 Atmos filed a motion to quash production of these pro forma adjustments, claiming they were "irrelevant," and "speculative." The Attorney General responded to this motion on April 7, 2006,6 noting:

"Regardless of who claims the rate is unreasonable and, consequently, who bears the burden of proof, **only the utility** is in possession of the necessary facts to make the analyses of revenues and expenses that will allow the determination of whether the rate is reasonable. Because the utility is the only party in possession of the facts pertaining to its expenses and revenues, it is the challenging party that bears the burden of producing the information upon which the analyses are to be conducted, including the production of information not already in existence. Were it otherwise, KRS 278.260 would be just empty words incapable of providing relief. Requiring the utility to produce this information, since it is the only party in possession of the data necessary to perform the requisite analyses, does not change the

⁶ The Attorney General notes for the record that this pleading is not contained on the PSC website.

burden of proof; rather, it simply provides the evidentiary basis for making the determination."⁷ [emphasis added]

The Attorney General further noted that failure to allow him to pursue the pro forma adjustments would hobble his attempts to discover the necessary information to develop his case, participate in a meaningful hearing, and exercise his statutorily mandated right and duty to represent Atmos' Kentucky ratepayers.8

Quite remarkably, however, the Commission ultimately granted Atmos' request to avoid having to respond to the pro forma adjustments, by limiting the temporal scope of the data sought to only the test year and the immediate preceding year. 9 Both Atmos and the Commission knew well that the Attorney General would not be able to fully establish Atmos' over-earning without the data from those pro forma adjustments. 10 The Commission's ruling granting Atmos' motion to quash was made all the more remarkable by the fact that in a prior utility complaint case, the Commission reserved to itself the right to obtain the same sort of data originating outside the test year.

In particular, in Case No. 9859 (In the Matter of: An Investigation Into the Reasonableness of the Earnings of Brandenburg Telephone Company Inc.),¹¹ the Commission initiated an investigation pursuant to KRS 278.260 into the utility's

⁷ <u>Id</u>. at pp. 3-4.

Id. at pp. 6-7.

⁹ See Order of May 9, 2006, pp. 3-5.

¹⁰ See Supplemental Testimony of Robert Henkes, pp. 4-11; see also Attorney General's Responses to PSC Staff's Discovery Requests, nos. 1-3.

¹¹ The Commission stated expressly that its investigation of Brandenburg's earnings was being conducted pursuant to KRS 278.260 in its order dated 20 July 1988, page 1.

earnings. The utility was required to respond to four sets of information requests in addition to filing its response showing the reasons why its rates should not be reduced to achieve a more reasonable return on its investment. Like the information requests posed by the Attorney General in the instant case, the information requests posed by the Commission itself in <u>Brandenburg</u> demanded the utility to produce information not already in existence, produce information and conduct analyses based on assumptions it might not otherwise choose to use, and to produce information that both pre- and post-dated the test year. 13

The Commission saw fit to require such information under <u>Brandenburg</u>, but not in the instant case. Instead, the Commission in the instant case

¹² See Order of 4 May 1988, page 1.

¹³ Included among the Commission's information requests were requests for:

⁽a) An amended Pro Forma income statement having as its starting point the end of the test period which pro formed the items for the 12 month period following the test period where the required computation of expenses and revenues were to be done in accord with the parameters established by the Commission in its information request (See Order of 19 June, 1987, pp. 1-2.);

⁽b) Detailed workpapers showing all amounts used to arrive at end of period and pro forma adjustments, including an explanation as to how the base amounts were developed and brought forward and what data constituted the basis for the adjustment (Id., pp. 2-3);

⁽c) Information predating and postdating the test year period (See Order of 22 July 1987, p. 1, 2);

⁽d) Information not already in existence in response to the request to normalize revenues within the test period (<u>Id.</u>, p. 2);

⁽e) Information not already in existence and subsequent to the test year in response to a request to estimate hearing expenses (Id., p. 4);

⁽f) Information supporting projections of income and investment levels during and subsequent to the test year, account balances subsequent to the test year as available, and the treatment of uncollectible accounts for years prior to the test year (See Order of 19 June 1987, p. 2);

⁽g) Information supporting projected wage increases subsequent to the test year, projected rates of growth in certain expenses, and an analysis of the change in costs and expenses when certain changes in billing were anticipated to begin after the test year (Id., p. 3);

⁽h) Analysis of changes seen when comparing Annual Reports and the test year income and pro forma statements, information not in existence (Id., p. 4); and

⁽i) Analysis of the dollar impact of a theoretical depreciation study on test period depreciation expense, information not in existence that assumes facts other than those the utility would voluntarily assume (Id., p. 5).

responded to the Attorney General's argument regarding the <u>Brandenburg</u> precedent by stating that the complainant bears the burden of proof, and that "... requiring it [Atmos] to provide pro forma adjustments at this time would inappropriately shift the burden of proof from the Complainant."¹⁴ The Commission thereby confused and intermingled the burden of proof with the burden of production. The effect of this confusion was to eviscerate KRS 278.260 to render it meaningless – anyone can make a complaint allegation, **but by definition** the complaint will fail because the precedential effect of this ruling precludes complainants from obtaining meaningful discovery. The Commission has thereby created a dual system of justice in which <u>it</u> can obtain all the information it chooses, but precludes a <u>complainant</u> from doing likewise.

Perhaps worse yet, this ruling provides shelter to utility companies from any outside scrutiny. Such results are wholly contrary to the statute's plain meaning, and more importantly, run afoul of even basic notions of simple justice, due process and equal protection.

Moreover, the Commission has quite simply failed to adhere to its own statutory duty to initiate an investigation as mandated in KRS 278.260. The record shows the Commission never tendered any discovery requests to Atmos—none at all. Prudent regulatory oversight would dictate that when a complainant has established a prima facie case that the regulated entity earned well in excess of the level of return set by the regulatory agency, then that agency would want

¹⁴ Order of May 9, 2006, p. 3.

to determine why the agency failed to reach this same conclusion. After all, the Attorney General's establishment of a prima facie case of Atmos' over-earning was based in large part upon the same financial data Atmos submitted to the Commission. ¹⁵ Yet instead of inquiring further to determine why the Commission itself did not reach the same conclusion, the Commission not only failed to investigate, but worse yet nailed the door shut so that <u>no one else</u> could shed the light of day on the issue. ¹⁶

Despite the fact the Attorney General had established a prima facie case for over-earning, the Commission's orders essentially precluded him from being able to establish the over-earning. And now Atmos, perhaps via invitation of the Commission's comments at the February 14, 2006 informal conference, has filed a rate case. Atmos' ratepayers, however, will not be able to receive any refunds for the period of over-earning, due to the dictates of the filed rate doctrine.

On November 17, 2006, Atmos moved the Commission to dismiss the instant case as being moot, since it just recently filed a rate case. The Commission denied Atmos' motion. ¹⁷ The Attorney General had argued that he does not bear

¹⁵ The Attorney General also notes that Atmos settled a case in Colorado involving charging consumers excessive rates. Additionally, the Texas Railroad Commission staff recently recommended a ruling requiring Atmos to reduce its rates by \$23,000,000, and issue a refund of \$2.6 million. Furthermore, the Tennessee Regulatory Authority is also investigating allegations of over-earning (docket no. 05-00258): http://www2.state.tn.us/tra/dockets/0500258.htm.

16 The Attorney General also points out for the record that during the pendency of the instant complaint case against Atmos Energy Corporation – and after the Commission's ruling severely limiting the Attorney's General's scope of discovery – in the last week of July, 2006 then-Kentucky Public Service Commissioner Greg Coker resigned from the PSC to accept a position with Atmos Energy Corporation. See article from Lexington Herald Leader, Sept. 21, 2006:

http://www.topix.net/content/kri/4221816453219141866526103877812443334670.

See Order of February 9, 2007.

the burden of proof in this proceeding, and that instead KRS 278.260 mandates that the Commission initiate an investigation. However, the Commission overruled this argument, instead arbitrarily finding that the Attorney General was an "applicant" (a provision not found in KRS 278.260), and therefore bore the burden of proof (despite the fact that the statute says nothing about the complainant bearing the burden of proof). ¹⁸

The Commission also in its Order dated February 9, 2007 established a revised procedural schedule. The revised order in the instant case has strict deadlines that butt up against the deadlines set forth in the Atmos rate case procedural schedule, which the Commission issued on the same day the procedural schedule in the instant case was filed. On February 13, 2007 the Attorney General filed a motion to hold the procedural schedule in the instant case in abeyance, given the fact that its principal expert in both the instant case, and the Atmos rate case (2006-00464) was due to be out of the country, and given the Attorney General's extraordinarily heavy work load and the small staff of his Office of Rate Intervention.

The Commission responded to this motion by ordering the parties to file briefs on what <u>it</u> deemed the issue of whether such motion makes the instant case moot. The Attorney General respectfully suggests that the Commission is moving with circuitous logic. If the instant case is to any degree made moot by the filing of Atmos' new rate case, it is due to the one-year delay by the

¹⁸ <u>Id</u>.

Commission in failing to take any measures to investigate the allegation of overearning, and to issue a procedural schedule. This Commission has already done everything it can to shape the parameters of this case so that the Attorney General simply has no way of discovering sufficient evidence of Atmos' overearning during the relevant periods. The deck was stacked long ago, and this Commission in its order of February 13, 2007 placed the final nail in the coffin.

The Attorney General simply cannot complete the task which the Commission demands of him under the current procedural schedule regarding Atmos as so ordered on February 12, 2007. The Attorney General believes that the one-year delay by the Commission to take any action at all in the instant case means it is highly unlikely that Atmos' ratepayers will receive any monetary relief from Atmos' over-earning in the period complained of. Even if the Commission should issue a finding of over-earning, any potential rate adjustment is likely to be illusory given the concurrent pendancy of Atmos' rate case.

WHEREFORE, the Attorney General respectfully: (a) renews his request for the Commission to require Atmos to submit the pro forma adjustments as originally requested; (b) requests that the Commission reconsider its previous finding that the Attorney General as complainant bears the burden of proof in the instant proceeding, as such finding is contrary to the plain meaning of KRS 278.260; and (c) hold the procedural schedule in abeyance pending resolution of Atmos' rate case (2006-00464).

Respectfully submitted,

GREGORY D. STUMBO ATTORNEY GENERAL

DENNIS G. HOWARD II LAWRENCE W. COOK

ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL

1024 CAPITAL CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 200

FRANKFORT KY 40601-8204

T (502) 696-5453

F (502) 573-8315

Notice of Serving and Filing in Paper and Electronic Medium

Assistant Attorney General

EXHIBIT 2

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

MODIFICATION TO WESTERN KENTUCKY)
GAS COMPANY, A DIVISION OF ATMOS)
ENERGY CORPORATION (WKG) GAS COST)
ADJUSTMENT TO INCORPORATE AN)
EXPERIMENTAL PERFORMANCE-BASED)
RATEMAKING MECHANISM (PBR))

ORDER

On December 19, 1997, Western Kentucky Gas Company ("Western") filed its application with the Commission to include an experimental performance-based rate-making ("PBR") mechanism in its tariffs. During a three-year experimental period, the proposed PBR would provide an incentive for Western to lower its gas cost to the fullest extent possible. Western's gas procurement performance would be measured against market-based benchmarks, with Western and its customers sharing equally in any savings achieved or expenses incurred as measured against the established benchmark. The PBR mechanism proposed by Western had an effective date of January 19, 1998. The Commission suspended the tariff for five months and held a hearing on May 6, 1998. The Office of the Attorney General ("AG") and Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers ("KIUC") were granted intervention in the proceeding.

Western's goals for its PBR plan are lower regulatory costs, up-front regulatory oversight as opposed to after-the-fact prudence reviews, successful cost management, an environment in concert with the transition to a more competitive local service structure, and

improving service quality which benefits each customer group. It also cited objectives of sending clear signals through establishment of benchmark standards, using market-based benchmarks to assure prudent performance, and using external criteria to provide a better measurement of performance.

Western's proposed PBR plan includes most components of its gas cost. The mechanism is composed of three distinct mechanisms and a balancing adjustment. Part A is an incentive mechanism for gas commodity costs. Part B is an incentive mechanism for pipeline transportation costs, which includes capacity release. Part C is an incentive mechanism for off-system sales. Part D is the balancing adjustment which will true up the over- and under-collection of amounts billed through Parts A through C.

After considering the evidence of record in this case and being advised, the Commission finds that:

- 1. Western's PBR Part A Gas Commodity Costs should be approved as proposed, with the understanding that no labor-related expenses or those typically classified as O&M expenses will be recovered through the Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA") mechanism.
- 2. Western's PBR Part B Transportation Costs should be approved as proposed with the understanding that the benchmark for pipeline transportation costs should be calculated using any discounted rate that it is currently being charged by any pipeline supplier, so that it does not immediately profit from simply maintaining the status quo. Western indicated at the hearing that its intention was to so calculate this benchmark.

As previously stated, no labor-related or O&M expenses are to be recovered through the GCA.

- 3. Western's PBR Part C Off-system Sales should be approved as proposed, with no labor-related or O&M expenses to be included for recovery through the GCA. Additionally, the tariff correction proposed by Western at the hearing of this case should be approved so that the Out-of-Pocket Storage Costs associated with Tennessee Gas Pipeline No-Notice Service will be priced at the average price of the gas in storage.
 - 4. Western's Part D Balance Adjustment should be approved as proposed.
- 5. Due to the experimental nature of this program, reporting requirements should be imposed. To properly monitor this mechanism, Western should file a quarterly report containing details of each transaction entered into along with spreadsheets and all supporting schedules intended to be used for tracking transactions for each component of the PBR. Affiliate transactions should be separately identified. Western should include with its reports a narrative explanation of transactions, including any use of financial instruments and hedging activities, and with special attention given to transportation opportunities chosen and rejected.
- 6. In a letter dated May 18, 1998 addressed to the Commission's General Counsel, Western stated that its parent, Atmos Energy Corporation, operates under a "Code of Affiliate Conduct" in the state of Georgia. It also indicated that it voluntarily follows this same code, for the most part, in transactions in other states. In order to ensure that affiliate transactions are conducted "at arm's length" pending the Commission's adoption of an appropriate code of conduct for all utilities, Western should comply with

every section of the Code for Affiliate Conduct which is required by the Georgia Public Service Commission.

7. During the hearing and in correspondence concerning this case, the AG requested that Western provide its customers with a circular explaining the PBR mechanism and the effect the mechanism will have on their rates. The AG also requested that a 1-800 telephone number be listed on the bill so that customers can call with questions about the mechanism. During the hearing and in correspondence, Western indicated that it had no conceptual problems with these requests. Western should file into the record of this proceeding the circular it prepares for its customers and verify that a 1-800 number has been published, on bills or in the circular, for customers who have questions about the program.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- Western's proposed Experimental Performance-Based Ratemaking Mechanism as approved herein is approved for a period of three years effective on and after the date of this Order.
- Within 30 days of the date of this Order, Western shall file its revised tariffs reflecting the Experimental Performance-Based Ratemaking Mechanism as approved herein.
 - Western shall file reports as directed herein.
- 4. Within 60 days of the date of this Order, Western shall file with this Commission the circular it prepares to inform its customers of the PBR.

At the end of the experimental period Western shall file with this Commission
the cumulative results of the PBR program along with its assessment of its success in
realizing its stated goals and objectives.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 1st day of June, 1998.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Vice Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST

Executive Director

EXHIBIT 3



Message to All Directors, Officers and Employees of Atmos Energy Corporation

The Atmos Energy Corporation Code of Conduct begins with our deep commitment to fairness, mutual respect and participation, which are reflected in the principles set forth in this Code. We also are committed to abide by the letter and spirit of all laws and regulations that apply to our business. Any violations of the Code of Conduct by employees will be grounds for appropriate disciplinary action, including possible termination. Executive officers and outside directors are responsible to the Company's Audit Committee and the full Board of Directors for any such violations.

This Code of Conduct is designed to be a framework within which decisions confronting our directors, officers and employees must be made and it applies to all directors, officers and employees of Atmos Energy Corporation. Once you have read this Code of Conduct, all directors, officers and employees must sign and date the related declaration and return it to the Legal Department in Dallas, Texas.

It is my firm belief that if we adhere to the principles reflected in this Code, we can prosper and truly be proud of our achievements. I am pleased to provide you with this Code and to share with you this commitment to the highest standards of business ethics at Atmos Energy Corporation.

Sincerely. Robert W. Best

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION CODE OF CONDUCT

Guidance and Resources

The Company's success depends in part on each director, officer and employee abiding by the principles in this Code of Conduct. Accordingly, directors, officers and employees are encouraged to read the Code in full. The Company has available a number of resources, people and processes to answer your questions and to provide guidance in interpreting any provision of this Code of Conduct.

Directors may direct any questions or concerns or report any suspected violations of this Code of Conduct to the General Counsel of the Company, who has been designated by the Board of Directors as the Company's Corporate Compliance Officer. Directors must also report any known or suspected violations of the Code to the Chairman of the Audit Committee. Officers and employees may direct questions or concerns, and may report any suspected violations of this Code of Conduct, to your supervisor, any member of management, the Legal Department or the Corporate Compliance Officer. All information provided, including your identity, will be kept confidential to the greatest extent possible unless required to be disclosed by law or for safety purposes. Additionally, the Company has engaged a firm to receive reports on an anonymous basis of any suspected violations of the Code of Conduct through the Atmos Energy Compliance Hotline. The toll-free number for the Hotline is 1-866-543-4065. Any suspected violations of the Code of Conduct may also be reported anonymously over the Internet at the Atmos Energy Compliance Reporting Website at:

https://www.compliancehelpline.com/welcomeAtmosEnergy.jsp.

Respect for People

Each director, officer and employee is important to the success of the Company and must be treated fairly and with respect. Being treated fairly means that individuals are judged according to their own merits and not according to arbitrary factors. The Company is committed to providing a work environment that is free from discrimination and/or harassment of any type, including without limitation, discrimination and/or harassment on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, age, national origin, sexual orientation, citizenship status, disability, or veteran's status. All directors, officers and employees are expected to treat others equitably and fairly. The Company is an equal opportunity employer in hiring and promotion practices, benefits and wages.

Safety

The Company assigns the highest priority to the safety of its directors, officers, employees, customers and the public and maintains a comprehensive employee safety program for the prevention of workplace accidents. Each officer and employee must abide by Company procedures and safe work practices and use all appropriate personal protective equipment. Health and safety information must be accurately recorded, and any violations of health and safety laws or regulations must immediately be reported to a supervisor or through the Company's Compliance Hotline or the Compliance Reporting Website. No officer or employee will be discriminated against in any way for having brought any safety concerns to the attention of management. No director, officer or employee may possess or use weapons on Company premises or in the conduct of Company business.

Drugs and Alcohol

The Company and our customers expect all officers and employees to report to work fit for duty. Being fit for duty means being mentally and physically able to perform our jobs in a safe, efficient and reliable manner. The Company strictly prohibits the unlawful use, possession, manufacture or distribution of any controlled substances on Company property, on Company time or while using Company-supplied vehicles. The Company also prohibits the abuse of legal drugs or medications that may impair performance. The Company retains the right to search all Company property. Any confiscated illegal substances or material evidence will be turned over to appropriate authorities as required by law.

Conflicts of Interest

To maintain the highest degree of integrity in the conduct of the Company's business as well as the independent judgment and actions of all directors, officers and employees, no director, officer or employee should engage in any activity or advance any personal interest that conflicts, or appears to conflict, with the interests of the Company. A conflict of interest is any situation in which a director, officer or employee has two or more duties or interests which are mutually incompatible and may conflict with the proper and impartial discharge of such person's duties, responsibilities or obligations to the Company. For example, an employee may not work for a competitor or supplier of the Company without the Company's knowledge and consent.

Directors should disclose to the Chairman of the Audit Committee and to the Corporate Compliance Officer all situations in which they, or members of their immediate families, have a significant direct or indirect financial interest in others who have transactions with the Company, other than minor investments in large publicly-owned companies. Officers and employees should disclose to their supervisors all situations in which they, or members of their immediate families, have a significant direct or indirect financial interest in others who have transactions with the Company, other than minor investments in large publicly-owned companies. You also must be sensitive to issues of security, confidentiality and conflicts of interest if your spouse or another member of your immediate family is a competitor or supplier of the Company or is employed by one. You should disclose such a situation to your supervisor.

Gifts and Entertainment

The giving and receiving of gifts or entertainment by any director, officer or employee of the Company or by members of his or her immediate family can potentially create problems for such person and the Company. Such acts may be construed as attempts to influence the performance of duties or to favor certain individuals or companies. It is our policy that no director, officer or employee or a member of his or her immediate family

may request, accept or give any gifts or entertainment, the giving or receipt of which violates any law, rule or regulation. Any gift that could create an obligation to the donor or recipient or influence the business relationship with the donor or recipient should not be accepted or offered. The Legal Department must be consulted prior to providing gifts or entertainment to third parties, including candidates for public office, public officials or government employees.

Competition

All of the Company's activities are governed by federal and state antitrust and trade regulation statutes. There are many types of activities that in some cases may be violations of federal and state antitrust laws.

For example, certain types of discussions, meetings or arrangements with competitors of the Company, and any agreement, whether formal or informal, or any joint activity involving the Company and any other party, the intent or effect of which is to fix prices, allocate markets or otherwise reduce competition, may violate the antitrust laws. Competitive information must be gathered with care, in accordance with all applicable laws. We must conduct all relations with competitors, including social activities, as if they were completely in the public view. Competitor relations may later be subject to probing examination and unfavorable interpretation.

Fair Dealing

Each director, officer and employee shall attempt to deal fairly and in good faith with each of the Company's customers, shareholders, employees, regulators, suppliers, competitors and others. No director, officer or employee shall in any way attempt to take or take unfair advantage of any person through concealment, manipulation, misrepresentation, fraud, misuse of confidential information or any other unfair dealing practice or act.

Company Assets

Each director, officer and employee has a duty to safeguard Company assets, including cash, inventories, physical plants and equipment, computers, computer software, records, customer information, manpower and Company names and trademarks. Company assets should be used for Company business only.

Corporate Opportunities

No director, officer or employee may (i) personally take for himself or herself opportunities that he or she may discover through the use of Company property, information or position; (ii) use Company property, information or position for personal gain; or (iii) compete directly or indirectly with the Company in the conduct of its business. Directors, officers and employees owe a duty to the Company to advance the Company's interests when the opportunity arises.

Confidential Information

Much of the information the Company uses is confidential, privileged, proprietary or of competitive value to the Company. This confidential information may have been developed by the Company or may belong to others. We are required to keep it confidential. In both instances, we must be careful to guard against disclosure of the information to any individuals outside the Company. All directors, officers and employees must exercise the utmost care when dealing with confidential information and are responsible for maintaining the confidentiality of such information, including Company computer systems and sensitive employee information, such as salary, bonus or performance appraisal data.

The obligation to treat this information as confidential does not end when the director, officer or employee is no longer associated with the Company. No director, officer or employee may disclose any confidential information to a new employer or to any other party after ceasing to be associated with the Company.

Compliance with Laws, Rules and Regulations

The Company is committed to abide by the letter and spirit of all laws, rules and regulations that apply to our business and to our employees, including insider trading laws. For example, from time to time you may receive information about the Company, one of its affiliates, or about another company with whom we do business, that could be valuable to an investor if it were made public. No director, officer or employee may use such "insider" non-public information when trading or recommending securities. No director, officer or employee may buy or sell securities of the Company when in possession of "material nonpublic information" relating to the Company. In addition, directors, officers and employees should also be very careful not to disclose such information to family, friends or any person outside the Company who could act on such information, even if the director, officer or employee receives no benefit from the actions.

Examples of inside information include dividend changes; stock splits or additional offerings; earnings or loss estimates; information relating to possible mergers, acquisitions or sales; developments in major litigation; or other items of Company-wide impact such as financing plans, major contracts or expansion plans.

Accuracy in Documentation

Books and records are to be kept according to generally accepted accounting principles. Accurate and auditable records of all financial transactions must be maintained. Officers and employees must ensure that all Company documents are completed accurately, fully and timely and are properly authorized. The making of false or misleading entries, records or documentation is strictly prohibited. Officers and employees must cooperate fully with the Accounting and Financial Reporting departments, as well as our independent public accountants, by providing

complete and accurate information to them to ensure that all of the Company's books and records are accurate. Pursuant to the provisions of the Company's "Employee Complaint Procedures for Accounting and Auditing Matters," attached hereto as Appendix A, all officers and employees of the Company may report any good-faith complaints regarding accounting and auditing matters directly to the General Counsel, as the Corporate Compliance Officer of the Company, or on an anonymous basis by calling the Company's Compliance Hotline at 1-866-543-4065 or reporting such complaint over the Internet at the Company's Compliance Reporting Website at https://www.compliance-helpline.com/welcomeAtmosEnergy.jsp. Such procedures have been established by the Company's Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, in accordance with the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related rules of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Questionable Payments Policy

No director, officer or employee may participate in the unethical receipt or payment of Company funds or the maintenance of any unrecorded cash or non-cash funds or assets for the purpose of any such receipts or payments. No director, officer or employee shall take part in any improper payments, bribes, kickbacks or influence payments to any government officials, employees or agents, the purpose of which is to obtain favored treatment with respect to the Company's business or operations.

Honesty with Regulators and Other Government Officials

As a company engaged in the public utility business, a number of federal and state commissions, agencies and other governmental entities extensively regulate us. We must ensure that no inaccurate or misleading reports, certifications, claims or statements are made to any government agency or officials. When dealing with government officials and personnel, directors, officers and employees should also avoid even the appearance of impropriety. Activities must be avoided that could be perceived as attempts to improperly influence and obtain or reward favorable treatment.

Environmental Commitment

It has been and will continue to be the intent of the Company to conduct business in an environmentally responsible manner. The Company is committed to minimizing the release of any substance that may cause environmental damage; minimizing the creation of waste and disposing of all waste through safe and responsible methods; and minimizing environmental risks by employing safe technologies and operating procedures and by being prepared to respond appropriately to accidents and emergencies. The consequences of failing to adhere to our environmental policy may be serious. The Company, as well as individual directors, officers and employees, may be liable not only for the costs of cleaning up pollution resulting from the Company's and our employees' activities, but also for significant civil and criminal penalties.

Political Activities

A number of laws govern the Company's behavior in the political arena. We must be careful to obey these laws. No corporate funds, assets, materials or services may be used to support a particular candidate or political party except as provided by, and in compliance with, all applicable laws and regulations. All directors, officers and employees are encouraged to exercise their rights as citizens to vote. Any director, officer or employee who serves in a public office does so as an individual and not as a representative of the Company. Interested persons are encouraged, but not required, to join political action committees formed by their peers. Under no circumstances shall any director, officer or employee be compensated or reimbursed for any personal political contribution.

Compliance with the Code of Conduct

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and the Management Committee of the Company have the responsibility for administering the provisions of the Code of Conduct. However, the day to day responsibility for interpretation and coordination of this Code of Conduct has been delegated to the Corporate Compliance Officer and the Legal Department.

All directors, officers and employees benefit from an atmosphere of ethical conduct. Every director, officer and employee has a duty to adhere to this Code. Directors and officers shall report, in person or in writing, any known or suspected violations of laws, governmental regulations or provisions of this Code to the Corporate Compliance Officer. Directors also must report any known or suspected violations to the Chair of the Company's Audit Committee.

All employees who are aware of suspected misconduct, illegal activities, fraud, abuse of Company assets or violations of the provisions of this Code of Conduct are responsible for reporting such matters to their supervisors, any available management personnel, the Legal Department or the Corporate Compliance Officer. As discussed above under "Accuracy in Documentation," all officers and employees of the Company must submit any good-faith complaints regarding accounting and auditing matters directly to the General Counsel, as the Corporate Compliance Officer of the Company. These contacts may be made anonymously by calling the Company's Compliance Hotline at 1-866-543-4065 or over the Internet at the Company's Compliance Reporting Website at:

https://www.compliance-helpline.com/welcomeAtmosEnergy.jsp.

If you choose to identify yourself, your identity will be kept strictly confidential to the extent possible. No retaliatory action of any type, including discharge, demotion, suspension, harassment or discrimination in any manner, will be taken toward those directors, officers or employees who bring forward a complaint. Complaints will be taken seriously and will be subject to thorough internal investigation to the extent required, and to appropriate corrective actions, including disclosure to appropriate authorities.

Waivers

The provisions of this Code may be waived for directors or executive officers, including the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, only by a resolution of the Company's Audit Committee. The provisions of this Code may be waived for employees who are not directors or executive officers by the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. Any waiver of this Code granted to a director or executive officer, including the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, will be publicly disclosed as required by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Summary

This Code of Conduct is intended to emphasize the commitment to integrity and fairness by the Company, its Board of Directors and its officers. The Code is not intended to be a complete list of acceptable and unacceptable actions, but merely provides general guidance as to how the Company expects directors, officers and employees to conduct themselves. This Code of Conduct does not constitute a contract of employment or create any contractual rights in favor of the Company or any of its employees. It may be necessary to update or modify this Code to ensure that the Company's Corporate Compliance Program remains effective. The Company reserves the right to modify, eliminate or add to the provisions of this Code of Conduct, with or without advance notice. However, any such amendments to this Code will be publicly disclosed as required by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

If you have any questions about the Code of Conduct, consult your supervisor, any member of Company management, the Legal Department or the Corporate Compliance Officer. You may also call the Company's Compliance Hotline at 1-866-543-4065 or contact us over the Internet at the Company's Compliance Reporting Website at:

https://www.compliance-helpline.com/welcomeAtmosEnergy.jsp.

Approved by the Board of Directors

Amended and Restated August 9, 2005

APPENDIX A

Employee Complaint Procedures for Accounting and Auditing Matters

Any employee of Atmos Energy Corporation or its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") may submit a good-faith complaint regarding accounting and auditing matters to the management of the Company without fear of dismissal or retaliation of any kind. The Company is committed to achieving compliance with all applicable securities laws and regulations, accounting standards, accounting controls and audit practices. The Company's Audit Committee will oversee treatment of employee concerns in this area.

In order to facilitate the reporting of employee complaints, the Company's Audit Committee has established the following procedures for (1) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters ("Accounting Matters") and (2) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

Receipt of Employee Complaints

- Employees with concerns regarding Accounting Matters may report their concerns to the General Counsel of the Company.
- Employees may forward complaints on a confidential or anonymous basis to the General Counsel through the Company's Compliance Hotline at 1-866-543-4065 or over the Internet at the Company's Compliance Reporting Website at:

https://www.compliance-helpline.com/welcomeAtmosEnergy.jsp.

Scope of Matters Covered by These Procedures

The procedures relate to employee complaints relating to any questionable accounting or auditing matters, including, without limitation, the following:

- fraud or deliberate error in the preparation, evaluation, review or audit of any financial statement of the Company;
- fraud or deliberate error in the recording and maintaining of financial records of the Company;
- deficiencies in, or noncompliance with, the Company's internal accounting controls;
- misrepresentation or false statement to or by a senior officer or accountant regarding a matter contained in the financial records, financial reports or audit reports of the Company; or
- deviation from full and fair reporting of the Company's financial condition.

Treatment of Complaints

- Upon receipt of a complaint, the General Counsel will (i) determine whether the
 complaint actually pertains to Accounting Matters and (ii) when possible, acknowledge
 re-ceipt of the complaint to the sender.
- Complaints relating to Accounting Matters will be reviewed under Audit Committee direction and oversight by the General Counsel, Internal Audit function or such other persons as the Audit Committee determines to be appropriate. Confidentiality will be maintained to the fullest extent possible, consistent with the need to conduct an adequate review.
- Prompt and appropriate corrective action will be taken when and as warranted in the judgment of the Audit Committee.
- The Company will not discharge, demote, suspend, threaten, harass or in any manner discriminate against any employee in the terms and conditions of employment based upon any lawful actions of such employee with respect to good-faith reporting of complaints regarding Accounting Matters or otherwise as specified in Section 806 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Reporting and Retention of Complaints and Investigations

The General Counsel will maintain a log of all complaints, tracking their receipt, investigation and resolution and shall prepare a periodic summary report thereof for the Audit Committee. Copies of complaints and such log will be maintained in accordance with the Company's document retention policy.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing will be emailed and/or mailed by first class mail to the following parties of record on this 28 day of August, 2007.

William T. Ramsey, Esq. A. Scott Ross, Esq. Neil & Harwell, PLC 2000 One Nashville Place 150 Fourth Avenue North Nashville, TN 37219-2498

John Paris, President Kentucky/Mid-States Division Atmos Energy Corporation 2401 New Hartford Road Owensboro, KY 42303

Douglas C. Walther Associate General Counsel Atmos Energy Corporation Post Office Box 650205 Dallas, TX 75265-0205

Pat Childers VP-Regulatory Affairs Atmos/United Cities Gas Corp. 810 Crescent Centre Drive, Ste 600 Franklin, TN 37064-5393

Vance L. Broemel Joe Shirley Robert E. Cooper, Jr. Office of the Attorney General Consumer Advocate and Protection Division PO Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202 Henry Walker Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 PO Box 340025 Nashville, TN 37203

D. Billye Sanders

Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of William H. Novak filed on August 21, 2007

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

August 21, 2007

August	#1, #UU /		
In re: Petition of Atmos Energy Corporation for Approval of Adjustment of Its Rates and Revised Tariff))	Docket No. 07-00105	
PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF			
WILLIAM H. NOVAK			

- 1 Q. Would you state your name, business address and occupation for the record,
- 2 please?
- 3 A. My name is William H. Novak. My business address is 19 Morning Arbor Place,
- The Woodlands, TX, 77381. I am the owner of WHN Consulting, a utility
- 5 consulting and expert witness services company.
- 6 Q. Please provide a summary of your background and professional experience.
- 7 A. I have both a Bachelors degree in Business Administration with a major in
- 8 Accounting, and a Masters degree in Business Administration from Middle
- 9 Tennessee State University. I am also licensed to practice as a Certified Public
- 10 Accountant in Tennessee.
- My work experience has centered around regulated utilities for over 25 years.
- 12 Before establishing WHN Consulting, I was Chief of the Energy & Water
- Division of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority where I had either presented
- testimony or advised the Authority on a host of regulatory issues for over 19
- 15 years. In addition, I was previously the Director of Rates & Regulatory Analysis
- 16 for two years with Atlanta Gas Light Company, a natural gas distribution utility
- 17 with operations in Georgia and Tennessee. I also served for two years as the Vice
- 18 President of Regulatory Compliance for Sequent Energy Management, a natural
- 19 gas trading and optimization company in Texas.
- 20 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 21 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present Atmos Intervention Group's ("AIG's")
- 22 recommended structural changes (other than rates) to the industrial tariffs of
- 23 Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos" or "the Company") for the TRA's
- 24 consideration. I have also prepared draft industrial tariff sheets that incorporate
- 25 these recommendations as Exhibits AIG-1 through AIG-6.
- 26 Q. Are these the same tariff changes that you proposed in Docket 05-00258?

- 1 A. No. We have updated our proposals to reflect the TRA's industrial rate design for Chattanooga Gas Company in Docket 06-00175.
- 3 Q. Please summarize the tariff changes that you are recommending.
- 4 A. AIG proposes that the following changes be made to the Company's existing tariffs:
- 6 l. A revision to the Company's existing Small Commercial/Industrial Gas
 7 Service tariffs (Rate Schedule 220) as shown on Exhibit AIG-1;
- 8 2. A revision to the Company's existing Large Commercial/Industrial Gas
 9 Service tariffs (Rate Schedule 230) as shown on Exhibit AIG-2;
- 10 3. Consolidation and revision of the Company's existing
 11 Demand/Commodity Gas Service and Optional Gas Service (Rate
 12 Schedules 240 and 250) as shown on Exhibit AIG-3;
- 4. A new gas Transportation Storage Option (Rate Schedule 255) tariff,
 offered to Transportation Customers as shown on Exhibit AIG-4;
- New language for the Company's existing Transportation (Rate Schedule
 260) tariff as shown on Exhibit AIG-5; and
- 6. Introduction of a new Low Volume Transportation with Firm Backup
 (Rate Schedule 265) tariff as shown on Exhibit AIG-6 that will allow
 more customers access to purchase their own gas supplies and also
 encourage competition among gas suppliers.
- Q. Please describe your recommended changes to the Company's Commercial & Industrial (Rate Schedules 220 and 230) tariffs as shown on Exhibits AIG
 1 and AIG-2.
- A. We recommend that Rate Schedule 220 be revised to include service to only smaller commercial/industrial customers with annual gas consumption of less than 4,000 Ccf per year. We further recommend that Rate Schedule 230 be revised to reflect commodity service to medium sized commercial and industrial

- 1 customers whose annual gas usage exceeds 4,000 Ccf per year. Currently, the
 2 Company requires annual gas consumption in excess of 135,000 Ccf per year to
 3 distinguish between these two tariff sheets. In addition, we would also
 4 recommend that Rate Schedule 230 be further changed to implement a three tier
 5 declining step block structure consisting of monthly consumption at 3000 Ccf,
 6 4000 Ccf and 5000 Ccf. For the third tier, we are recommending that the
- 8 Q. Have other gas utilities adopted rate designs similar to what you are now proposing here?

commodity rate be set at 50% of the 1st tier rate.

7

- 10 A. Yes. This rate design structure is consistent with the structure approved by the
 11 TRA for small and medium sized commercial/industrial customers of
 12 Chattanooga Gas Company in Docket 06-00175.
- 13 Q. Why have you modeled Chattanooga Gas Company's rates in this testimony?
- 14 A. These commercial and industrial tariff sheets were based on the results of a class
 15 cost of service study that was performed by Chattanooga Gas Company with
 16 input from the Chattanooga Manufacturer's Association. In addition, it's our
 17 position that declining block steps represent an equitable rate structure for both
 18 smaller and larger commercial/industrial customers in that it reflects the fact that
 19 a gas utility's costs of service declines as its sales volumes increase.
- Q. Please describe your recommended changes to the Company's Large
 Commercial & Industrial (Rate Schedules 240 and 250) tariff as shown on
 Exhibit AIG-3.
- A. We recommend that Rate Schedules 240 and Rate 250 be consolidated into one rate schedule, with both fixed and variable components in the Customer Base Use Charge, along with a modification of the existing Demand Charge. We also recommend that the existing rate steps be changed to reflect the same tier structure approved by the TRA in Chattanooga Gas Company's last rate case.

- Q. Why are you proposing that the rate structure be modified into a fixed demand charge and commodity rate for all customers on this tariff?
- A. This rate structure closely reflects the straight fixed variable rates that are charged to gas utilities from their interstate pipelines for capacity charges. This type of rate structure recovers a portion of capacity costs through a demand charge which is independent of the total volumetric throughput and rewards those customers that have higher load factors.
- 8 Q. Please explain how a customer will opt for firm or interruptible service
 9 under this rate schedule. ?
- 10 A. Customers will contract for firm entitlement and pay a demand charge allocation 11 to be credited to the purchased gas adjustment. For those customers contracting 12 100% firm, the firm contract entitlement will be billed at the billing demand.
- 13 Q. Won't this change produce a higher total customer charge for the existing 14 interruptible customers presently served under Rate Schedule 250?
- Yes. For the interruptible customers presently being served under the Company's 15 A. existing Rate Schedule 250, this change will produce an increase in their monthly 16 17 customer charge. These customers receive a higher value of service relative to 18 the Company's other customers. For example, the existing Rate 250 customers 19 make no contribution to the Company's interstate pipeline demand costs, yet they have use of this demand capacity for almost the entire year with very few 20 21 interruptions. In addition, these customers have "no-notice" capabilities, which 22 allows them to "swing" or move back and forth between the Company's sales and transportation rate schedules with the Company bearing the burden of assuming 23 24 their gas scheduling and nominations. Finally, the Company assumes a singificanly greater credit risk for these customers. For these reasons, we are 25 26 recommending that the monthly customer charges to this class be increased to 27 reflect a more accurate cost of providing this service.

- 1 Q. Please describe your recommended proposal for a Transportation Storage
- 2 Option (Rate Schedule 255) as shown on Exhibit AIG-4.
- 3 A. Over the past twelve years, there has been an exodus of large
- 4 commercial/industrial customers that were previously served through the
- 5 Company's bundled sales rate schedules that are now buying gas through a gas
- 6 marketer. Subsequently, many of the storage assets that were needed to serve the
- 7 Company's customers are stranded, and could provide better value through a
- 8 Transportation Storage rate that would assist transportation customers with
- 9 mitigating gas volatility risks and exposure in the marketplace. Furthermore,
- since transportation customers do make a contribution to the Company's base
- rates, including the Company's return on storage inventory, a pro-rata amount of
- storage should be made available to transportation customers.
- 13 Q. Would this Storage Tariff Option compromise reliability of service to the
- 14 Company's other rate classes?
- 15 A. No. This service would be recallable by the Company if their other customers
- 16 have any gas supply risks. However, this situation would only occur when the
- 17 Company has a gas supply shortage and is unable to buy gas on the market.
- 18 Q. How would the Storage Tariff Option be implemented?
- 19 A. Under our proposed tariff, the Company would calculate the Excess Storage
- Volumes which are based on the Company's unutilized storage volumes for the
- 21 past year. This volume would be reviewed by the TRA and posted on August 1
- 22 under this program.
- 23 Q. How does the Transportation Storage Option benefit the Company's
- 24 transportation customers?
- 25 A. The Transportation Storage Option provides transportation customers with some
- ability to mitigate potential spikes in natural gas pricing. Given the price
- volatility of natural gas, transportation customers today are actually paying more

- just to get price stability. To the extent that the Company has excess storage
- 2 capacity available, their asset manager is currently profiting from selling this
- same storage and then sharing it with the Company. This change ensures that the
- 4 value of this storage flows directly to the Company's customers, and is not
- 5 diverted to the Company's asset manager.
- 6 Q. How will this Transportation Storage Option benefit the Company's other
- 7 bundled sales customers?
- 8 A. Revenues from this service will reflect true market prices of this service, and
- 9 100% of these revenues will then be credited to the Purchased Gas Adjustment
- which will reduce the gas costs for the Company's other bundled sales customers.
- This service allows the full market value of these storage assets to be realized
- with all of the proceeds flowing to the Company's customers instead of the
- existing sharing formula with the Company's asset manager.
- 14 Q. How will the minimum bid amounts be calculated?
- 15 A. The minimum bid reflects only a nominal value for this service. The market will
- determine the final bid amounts.
- 17 Q. What happens to unused gas in storage?
- 18 A. Any unused gas will be returned to the Company's inventory on April 1 of the
- 19 following year.
- 20 Q. Do other gas utilities offer this same type of storage service to their
- 21 customers?
- 22 A. Yes. This same type of storage service is offered to industrial customers of
- 23 Chattanooga Gas Company.
- 24 Q. Please describe your recommended changes to the Company's Interruptible
- 25 Transportation (Rate Schedule 260) tariff as shown on Exhibit AIG-5.

- We have numerous recommendations for the Company's Interruptible 2 Transportation Rate Schedule 260 that we feel will encourage more competition 3 and realign rates to a structure that is more cost based. 4 First, we are proposing a demand and commodity rate structure similar to the 5 rates that were approved in Chattanooga Gas Company's last rate case. We feel 6 this type of rate structure more closely reflects the straight fixed variable rate 7 design and rewards those customers with better load factors. 8 Secondly, our proposed tariff clarifies some balancing language that we feel is 9 necessary to align imbalance charges with the Company's actual costs. An 10 imbalance occurs when a transportation customer either brings in more or less gas 11 to the Company's system than they have used. The existing provisions of the Company's tariff related to balancing are based on the Company's connecting 12 13 pipeline balancing costs. However, the Company is typically allowed to 14 aggregate all of their delivery points in order to mitigate these imbalances. 15 Furthermore, most interstate pipeline tariffs automatically use the Company's 16 storage as a supply buffer to help manage their supply imbalances. Therefore, it 17 is our position that applying the provisions of a pipeline's imbalance tariff to a 18 specific transportation customer is not appropriate and unfair to the customer. 19 Instead, our recommendations for balancing are intended to provide an incentive 20 for customers to sustain a reasonable imbalance level with the Company while
 - We have also proposed new penalty language which mitigates some of the penalty exposure to large customers and allows the Company to waive penalties when they do not first incur penalties themselves. This language is intended to align the penalty charges with the Company's actual costs and associated risks.

aligning these incentives with the Company's actual cost of maintaining

imbalances.

1

21

22

23

24

25

26

A.

1	This language has been modeled after the TRA's approved tariff for CGC's
2	transportation customers.

- Q. Will your proposed changes to Rate Schedule 260 result in these transportation customers paying a lower base rate than the sales customers on Rate Schedule 250?
- 6 A. Yes. The transportation customers on Rate Schedule 260 are required to arrange 7 and manage their own gas commodity purchases. In addition, these customers may be making a contribution to the Company's demand costs depending on how 8 9 the capacity release revenue is credited to the Company's firm customers. Finally, these customers allow the Company to reduce their carrying costs of 10 purchasing gas and the associated credit risk of recovering this cost. Because the 11 cost of providing service to these transportion customers is less than it is for sales 12 customers, we have proposed a lower demand charge to reflect the lower value of 13 this service. 14
- 15 Q. Please describe your recommended proposal for a Low Volume
 16 Transportation with Firm Backup (Rate Schedule 265) tariff as shown on
 17 Exhibit AIG-6.
- A. We have proposed a Low Volume Transportion rate to give smaller customers the option of buying gas through a third party. This rate is similar to the Low Volume T-3 transportation rate that was recently approved by the TRA for Chattanooga Gas Company and it is our understanding that several commercial customers are already opting for this type of service.
 - This rate allows customers who use in excess of 4,000 Ccf per year the option of using a third party gas supplier. Similar to Chattanooga's T-3 Low Volume transportation rate, the Company would provide firm backup service under this rate schedule. However, customers that subscribe to this rate schedule would

23

24

25

26

- continue to contribute to the Company's cost of service, and pay a demand charge to be applied as a credit to the purchased gas adjustment.
- Q. Please explain why the proposed Rate 265 Low Volume Transportion rate is
 more equitable for the Company's sales customers.
- A. The Company has contracted for long term pipeline and storage assets in order to 5 6 serve their firm customers. Presently, when firm customers opt for transportation 7 service, they no longer contribute revenues for the cost of these assets which 8 results in a cost shift to the Company's other sales customers. However, it is likely that the Company's asset manager, who serves approximately 90% of the 9 Company's transportation customers, also provides service to other firm 10 customers using these same managed assets. The end result is value creation for 11 the Company's affiliate asset manager at the expense of the Company's sales 12 However, by allocating the costs of firm capacity to this rate 13 customers. schedule, and providing a firm swing service, an unfair shift in costs is avoided 14 15 which is more equitable to all of the Company's customers.
- 16 Q. Please explain how this benefit is calculated as shown on Exhibit AIG-7.
- 17 A. Exhibit AIG 7 provides a hypothetical example of the economics from Rate 18 Schedule 265. In this example, a new low volume transportation customer would 19 continue to be allocated a portion of the overall demand costs incurred by the 20 Company. This demand cost is then credited to the Company's PGA and results 21 in a reduction of \$18,000 per year in demand costs to the Company's other sales 22 Under the existing tariff, the Company's firm transportation customers. customers no longer pay a contribution to the Company's demand costs. 23
- 24 Q. Are there any other benefits of the Low Volume Transportion rate?
- 25 A. Yes. The current asset management relationship has given the Company's affiliate a virtual monopoly in certain service areas where the Company has subscribed to 100% of the interstate pipeline capacity. Under our proposal,

1		customers could contract for afternate gas supplies through competing gas
2		marketers. By unbundling the control that the Company has over pipeline
3		capacity assets, these customers will be able to reduce their costs and benefit from
4		increased competition. The Company's smaller customers who do not transport
5		their own gas, would also benefit since there would continue to be a contribution
6		to pipeline demand costs from the customers electing this tariff.
7	Q.	What are you recommendations for the costs of providing telemetering
8		service for transportation customers?
9	A.	We are proposing that the transportation customers pay the costs of telemetering,
10		and that the Company provide them with an option to pay for these costs over a
11		24 month period.
12	Q.	Mr. Novak, do you have any recommendations for the Company's other
13		commercial and industrial tariffs?
14	A.	Yes. The Company has other commercial and industrial tariffs that have either
15		not been used at all, or just used sparingly. These tariffs include:
16		Rate Schedule 221, Experimental School Service;
17		Rate Schedule 280, Economic Development Gas Service;
18		Rate Schedule 291, Negotiated Gas Service;
19		Rate Schedule 292, Cogeration Service; and
20		Rate Schedule 293, Large tonnage Air Conditioning Gas Service.
21		At present, we see very little need for continuing these tariffs. As mentioned
22		above, they have seen very little or no usage, and they have no counterparts in the
23		tariffs approved by the TRA for other gas utilities. However, if they are
24		continued, we would recommend that their rate structure be altered to fall in line
25		with the recommendations that we have made for other commercial and industrial
26		tariffs.

26

- 1 Q. Mr. Novak, do you have any recommendations for the Company's Special
- 2 Contracts?

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

A. Yes. To our knowledge, the Company currently has the following six active
 Special Contracts that have been approved by the TRA:

Docket	Company
86-07410	Saturn Corporation
97-01443	Alumax Extrusions
98-00277	Middle Tennessee State University
00-01022	Superior Industries International
01-00138	Mountain Home Energy Center
03-00540	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

- The components of these individual Special Contracts need to be reexamined after the TRA first determines the total rate adjustment necessary. It may well be that the rate advantages of these Special Contracts will now be obsolete and can be incorporated into the Company's regular tariff rates.
- 9 Q. Mr. Novak, are you proposing any specific rates for the commercial and industrial classes at this time?
- 11 A. No. Instead we have tried describe only how the rates should be structured within
 12 the individual commercial and industrial tariffs. Until the TRA first makes a
 13 decision as to the total rate adjustment amount necessary, it will be impossible to
 14 make a specific recommendation for any tariff rates. As a result, we have labeled
 15 the specific rates contained in our Exhibits as "TBD", meaning "to be
 16 determined".
 - For this rate case, we would first ask the TRA to apportion any rate change that it deems appropriate evenly across-the-board to all customer classes based on the existing gross margin in each rate class. We would then like to present the TRA

- through either supplemental testimony or post hearing briefs, with specific rate recommendations that will produce this new level of revenue.
- 3 Q. Do you have any other recommendations for the TRA to consider?
- Yes. We would ask the TRA to require the Company to file a class cost of A. 4 service study in their next rate case. Because of the accelerated pace of the 5 previous rate case docket in 2006 along with the quick turn around to this rate 6 case, there has not been enough time to prepare and present such a study for the 7 TRA's consideration. Without such a study, it is impossible to know if the rates 8 for a particular customer class are too high, thereby resulting in a subsidy to the 9 other customer classes. A similar study was filed in the last rate case for 10 Chattanooga Gas Company, and we feel that such a review is certainly warranted 11 12 in the Company's next rate case.
- 13 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.

VERIFCATION

STATE OF TENNESSEE)		
COUNTY OF DAVIDSON)		
I, William H. Novak, bein verification on behalf of Atmos Testimony and Exhibits and know to the best of my knowledge, inform	the content thereof; that the sa	ive read the	foregoing
		,	

Sworn and subscribed before me this 21st day of August, 2007.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

My Commission Expires SEPT. 25, 2010

Willist. And

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION SMALLCOMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL GAS SERVICE

Rate Schedule 220: All Service Areas

Availability

This service is available within the Company's service area to any commercial/industrial customer consistently using less than 4,000 Ccf per year for any purpose at the option of the Company, to the extent that gas is available. This schedule is not available to residences, apartment or federal housing projects.

Character of Service

Natural gas, with a heating value of approximately 1,000 Btu per cubic foot, supplied through a single delivery point and a single meter, at the delivery pressure of the distribution system in the area, or such higher delivery pressure as agreed upon by the Customer and Company.

Customer Charge

A monthly customer charge of \$<<TBD>>> is payable regardless of the usage of gas.

Monthly Rate

All Consumption, per Ccf \$<<TBD>>

Minimum Bill

The minimum net monthly bill shall be the Customer Charge per meter as described above.

Payment

Each monthly bill for service is due and payable on the date it is issued. A charge of five percent (5%) may be added to the amount of any bill remaining unpaid at the close of the first business day after fifteen (15) days following such date of issue.

Gas Lights

For all metered gas light services under this tariff, the charge for such service shall be based on actual usage through a metered source at this tariff rate. It shall be within the Company's discretion whether a gas light should be metered, however if the gas light is unmetered, the Company may estimate and determine the appropriate consumption of the light and charge the applicable rate under this rate schedule.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION MEDIUM COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL GAS SERVICE

Rate Schedule 230: All Service Areas

Availability

This service is available within the Company's service area to any commercial/industrial customer consistently using more than 4,000 Ccf per year for any purpose at the option of the Company, to the extent that gas is available.

Character of Service

Natural gas, with a heating value of approximately 1,000 Btu per cubic foot, supplied through a single delivery point and a single meter, at the delivery pressure of the distribution system in the area, or at such higher delivery pressure as agreed upon by the Customer and Company. Service under this rate schedule may be terminated by either party following twelve (12) months notice to the other party.

Not Data

Customer Charge

A monthly customer charge of S<<TBD>>> is payable regardless of the usage of gas.

Monthly Rate

	Net Nate
First 3,000 Ccf per Month	\$< <tbd>>> per Ccf</tbd>
Next 2,000 Ccf per Month	\$< <tbd>>> per Ccf</tbd>
Over 5,000 Ccf per Month	\$<<50% of Tier 1>> per Ccf

Minimum Bill

The minimum net monthly bill shall be the Customer Charge per meter location as described above.

Payment

Each monthly bill for service is due and payable on the date it is issued. A charge of five percent (5%) may be added to the amount of any bill remaining unpaid at the close of the first business day after fifteen (15) days following such date of issue.

Gas Lights

For all metered gas light services under this tariff, the charge for such service shall be based on actual usage through a metered source at this tariff rate. It shall be within the Company's discretion whether a gas light should be metered, however if the gas light is unmetered, the Company may estimate and determine the appropriate consumption of the light and charge the applicable rate under this rate schedule.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION LARGE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL DEMAND/COMMODITY GAS SERVICE

Rate Schedule 240: All Service Areas

Availability

This service is available within the Company's service area to any commercial/industrial customers consistently using at least 270,000 Ccf per year or 1,000 Ccf per day during off peak periods for any purpose at the option of the Company, to the extent gas is available.

Character of Service

Natural gas, with a heating value of approximately 1,000 Btu per cubic foot, supplied through a single delivery point and a single meter, at a delivery pressure of the distribution system in the area, or at such higher delivery pressure as agreed upon by the Customer and Company. Service under this rate schedule may be terminated by either party following twelve (12) months notice to the other party.

Customer Charge

A monthly Customer Charge of \$<<TBD>> is payable regardless of the usage of gas.

Monthly Rate

Per Unit of B	illing Demand	\$< <tbd>>></tbd>
Commodity Cha	arge	
First 1,500	Mcf Per Month	\$< <tbd>></tbd>
Next 2,500	Mcf Per Month	\$< <tbd>>></tbd>
Next 11,000	Mcf Per Month	\$< <tbd>></tbd>
Over 15,000	Mcf Per Month	\$< <tbd>></tbd>

Firm Contract Entitlement

Customers may subscribe to firm, non-interruptible service under this Rate Schedule by opting for firm service under one of the following options:

- A. The Firm Contract Entitlement is the same as the Billing Demand and the Customer is opting for 100% nonotice supply through the Company; or
- B. The Firm Contract Entitlement is contracted at a firm level as specified in an annual contract with the Company. Any volumes in excess of the Firm Contract Entitlement are considered interruptible and subject to Limitations and Curtailment as specified in this rate schedule.

Minimum Bill

The minimum net monthly bill shall be the Customers Base Use Charge plus the Monthly Demand Charge as described above.

Payment

Each monthly bill for service is due and payable on the date it is issued. A charge of five percent (5%) may be added to the amount of the bill remaining unpaid at the close of the first business day after fifteen (15) days following date of issue.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION LARGE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL DEMAND/COMMODITY GAS SERVICE

Rate Schedule 240: All Service Areas (Continued)

Billing Demand

The Billing Demand for the current month shall be redetermined effective November 1 of each successive year. The Billing Demand is the highest demand day in any of the previous billing months November, December, January, February, and March.

Whenever a customer commences taking service under this Rate Schedule, the billing demand shall be either 6% of the monthly consumption in each month until redetermined as stated above, or the actual highest daily demand day recorded if electronic gas metering monitoring is installed.

Determination of Billing Demand

The Billing Demand shall be determined at the option of the Customer by one of the following methods:

- By measuring the maximum volume of gas taken by the Customer in any one day through the use of Measurement Data Collection Equipment installed by the Company.
- When gas is delivered to a Customer through a positive displacement meter without the use of daily recording and measuring equipment, the maximum volume of gas taken in any one day during the billing month shall be six percent (6%) of the total volume of gas used by the customer during such billing month.

Measurement Data Collection Equipment

Customers served by this Rate Schedule shall be required to install Data Collection Equipment for the purpose of measuring daily volumes of natural gas taken by the customers. Customer shall be responsible for providing telephone and power to the gas metering location, and paying associated monthly usage charges for providing these utilities to metering location. Customers will be responsible for the cost and installation of the Data Collection Equipment. Company will allow customers the option of paying for Data Collection Equipment over a repayment period of 24 months.

Gas Lights

For all metered gas light services under this tariff, the charge for such service shall be based on the actual usage through a metered source at this tariff rate. It shall be within the Company's discretion whether a gas light should be metered, however, if the gas light is unmetered, the Company may estimate and determine the appropriate consumption of the light and charge the applicable rate under this rate schedule.

Limiting and Curtailing Gas Service

This schedule is subject to interruption on one-half-hour's notice given by the Company by telephone or otherwise. The Company will curtail transportation gas service to the Customers under this schedule in order to prevent a shortage of gas for the use of Customers under the Company's other rate schedules.

Customer shall immediately discontinue the use of transported gas service, to the extent of curtailment ordered, when and as directed by the Company; and authorized representatives of the Company shall have at all times the right of ingress and egress to the Customer's premises. Upon determination by the Company that the necessity for curtailment has ceased the Company shall so notify the Customer by telephone or otherwise and the Customer shall not resume service until so notified.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION LARGE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL DEMAND/COMMODITY GAS SERVICE

Rate Schedule 240: All Service Areas (Continued)

In the event Customer takes daily gas deliveries in excess of Customer's daily firm contract entitlement where such consumption is measured and recorded on a daily basis, or in the event Customer does not comply with a curtailment order as directed by the Company and takes gas in excess of the daily volume allowed by the Company in the curtailment order, such gas taken in excess of Customer's daily firm contract entitlement or such daily volumes taken in excess of curtailment volumes shall be paid for by the Customer at the greater of the rate of \$15.00 per Dth or the average daily index on curtailment days plus \$5.00, and all applicable pipeline and/or gas supplier penalties and/or charges because of the Customer's failure to comply with a curtailment order as directed by the Company. This penalty rate will only apply to unauthorized volumes of gas used by Customer in excess of 50 Mcf over the Customer's firm contract entitlement and allocated volumes from authorized shipper. These additional charges shall be in addition to all other charges payable under this Rate Schedule.

If Customer can validate that a localized interstate pipeline restriction prohibited delivery of third party gas during a curtailment, and the Company incurred no penalties from the pipeline as a direct result of Customer's unauthorized usage of gas, then Company will agree to waive any penalties pursuant to this tariff.

The payment of a charge for unauthorized over-run shall not under any circumstances be considered as giving any such Customer the right to take unauthorized over-run volumes, nor shall such payment be considered as a substitute for any other remedies available to Company against Customer for failure to respect its obligations to adhere to the provisions of its contract with the Company.

The curtailment of interruptible transportation service deliveries in whole or in part under this schedule shall not be the basis for claims against the Company for any damages sustained by the Customers

Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment

Bills for service are subject to the cost of purchased gas in accordance with the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) Rider approved by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority.

Firm Contract Entitlement will be billed based on the Company's allocated firm costs per Mcf of contract and credited to the Purchased Gas Adjustment. All Commodity gas will be billed per the Non-Firm GCA of the purchased gas adjustment.

Service Regulations

Gas service at these schedules will be furnished in accordance with the Company's General Rules and Regulations, copies of which for public reference during business hours at each of the Company's offices.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION TRANSPORTATION STORAGE OPTION

Rate Schedule 255: All Service Areas

Availability

This Transportation Storage Option (TSO) Rate Schedule is a bundled sales service available to those Customers served under the Company's Transportation Rate Schedules 260 and 265, to assist such Customers with mitigating the volatility of gas costs by providing the option of using storage volumes when such volumes can be made available by the Company.

Service under this Rate Schedule will be awarded to winning bidders for November 1 of the current year through March 31 of the following year (Heating Season). Service provided under this Rate Schedule may be interrupted on any given day provided that the Company interrupts this service only when alternate supplies cannot first be purchased by the Company.

Title to Gas

All gas dedicated to TSO annually shall remain the property of the Company. Title to said dedicated Gas shall pass from the Company to the Customer when Gas is delivered to the Customer pursuant to the terms of this Rate Schedule.

Excess Storage Volumes

Excess Storage Volumes (ESV) are the amount of storage inventory that was not utilized by Company's ratepayers in the previous withdrawal season. Such volumes are to be determined by the following formula less 50%:

ESV =(Total Storage Inventory - Total Winter Withdrawals + Total Winter Injections) x 50%

The Excess Storage Volumes will be confirmed annually with the TRA staff and posted for bidding on August 1.

Available Volumes

On August 1 of each year, the Company will post Excess Storage Volumes and daily deliverability to be made available for Customers under this Rate Schedule for the upcoming Heating Season. In addition the Company will post acceptable minimum seasonal Deliverability and Reservation rates specified below as well as the commodity rate that will be applied to the total aggregate Reserved Volumes upon delivery.

Customers eligible to receive service under TSO may submit bids to the Company on or before August 20. Bids must include the following: Customer's desired Maximum Daily Deliverability; the dollar value the Customer places on the requested Maximum Daily Deliverability Volume in the form of a monthly unit Maximum Daily Deliverability Rate; Customer's desired total Reserved Volume; and the dollar value the Customer places on the requested Reserved Volume during the Heating Season in the form of a monthly unit Reservation Rate On or before August 25 the Company will evaluate all bids and award the reserved Daily Deliverability and Reserved Volumes to the bid(s) that optimize the value of the storage asset. If a customer nominates TSO service for a given day and fails to take delivery of such amounts, then such volumes will be carried over to the subsequent day.

If two or more bids generate the same value and the requested volumes exceed the total Daily Deliverability or total Reserved Volume available for use under this Rate Schedule, the Daily Deliverability will be allocated to the winning bidders on a pro rata basis. On or before August 31, the winning bidders shall enter into a contract to purchase from the Company the requested and/or allocated Reserved Volume.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION TRANSPORTATION STORAGE OPTION

Rate Schedule 255: All Service Areas (Continued)

Deliverability

Service provided under this Rate Schedule on a daily basis is limited to the total remaining capacity of the Company after firm requirements are satisfied. In the event of a curtailment, TSO supply must be nominated and will be delivered as long as the Company's firm requirements are satisfied. If on any day, the Company is unable to meet the total TSO nominations because the demand for Gas to be delivered under this Rate Schedule exceeds the Company's ability to deliver Gas using the Company's existing capacity, nominations will be confirmed based on the highest unit rate bid for the monthly Deliverability Rate. In the event that multiple bids are the same, the volumes will be reduced prorata. In no event will a Customer's cumulative receipt of Gas under this Rate Schedule exceed the Customer's total Reserved Volume for the Heating Season.

Rates

These rates are in addition to the rates applicable to the Customer under Rate Schedules 260 and 265. The following charges shall be billed monthly during the Heating Season:

- (a) Maximum Deliverability Rate A charge per Dth applied to the Maximum Daily Deliverability that the Customer bid and the Company accepted. The minimum acceptable bid for the Maximum Deliverability Rate shall be \$3.00. A one time charge per Dth of daily deliverability will be allocated to the Customer for the winter withdrawal season. All revenue collected from this charge shall be credited to the Deferred Gas Cost Account as recovered Demand Cost under the Purchase Gas Adjustment (PGA) provisions of the Company's tariff.
- (b) Reservation Rate A charge per Dth applied to the Reserved Volume that the Customer bid and the Company accepted. The minimum acceptable bid for the Reservation Rate shall be \$.10/dekatherm. All revenue collected from this charge shall be credited to the Deferred Gas Cost Account as recovered Demand Cost under the Purchase Gas Adjustment (PGA) provisions of the Company's tariff.
- (c) Commodity Rate The rate to be applied to the Reservation Volumes will be posted on August 1 of any given year. The Commodity rate will represent a projection of the storage gas delivered to the city gate to include all variable charges including the cost of storage gas, storage commodity and withdrawal costs, and Company's FT commodity and pipeline fuel charges. Revenues collected from this charge shall be credited to the Deferred Gas Cost Account as recovered Commodity Cost under the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) provisions of the Company's tariff.

Payment for the Maximum Daily Deliverability Charge and the Reservation Charge, shall be in five equal monthly payments due on the first of the month beginning November 1. All other charges shall be due upon presentation. Payments received after the due date shall be for an amount which shall be greater by five percent (5%) than the net billing.

Notification by Customers

Qualifying Customers that have been approved for TSO volumes will notify the Company by fax or e-mail by 12:00 Noon prior to the effective Gas Day that they desire to use volumes available under this Rate Schedule. Customers will be notified via e-mail or fax when demand for gas volumes under this Rate Schedule are terminated or allocated due to deliverability limitations pursuant to the availability provisions of this Rate Schedule. Provision of Gas under this Rate Schedule will automatically end when the Customer has utilized the Customer's Reserved Volume for the applicable Heating Season.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION TRANSPORTATION STORAGE OPTION

Rate Schedule 255: All Service Areas (Continued)

Gas Volume Remaining at March 31

If a Customer does not utilize the Customer's total Reserved Volume awarded by the Company, the remaining volume as of April 1 will be transferred to the Company's system inventory (excluding Company LNG).

Rate Schedule 260: All Service Areas

Availability

This rate schedule provides for the transportation of gas received by the Company from the Connecting Pipeline Company for the Customer's account to that Customer's facilities. Service under this rate schedule is available to commercial and industrial customers using either 270,000 Ccf or more per year or 1,000 Ccf per day during off-peak periods. Qualifying customers must install and maintain adequate standby facilities and alternate fuel supply in case gas deliveries are interrupted at any time.

Definitions

For purposes hereof:

- i. "Connecting Pipeline Company" means a pipeline supplier to the Company whose facilities in the sole judgment of the Company can be utilized to transport gas to the Company for delivery by the Company to the Customer under this rate schedule.
- ii. "Transportation Imbalance" occurs when more gas is received by the Company from the Connecting Pipeline Company for the Customer's account, less the unaccounted for gas adjustment, than is delivered to that customer's facilities for the month.
- "PGA Rider" means the Company's Purchased Gas Adjustment Rider, as amended and approved by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority from time to time.

Customer Charge

A monthly Customer Charge of \$<<TBD>> is payable regardless of the usage of gas.

Monthly Rate

Per Unit of	ge Billing Demand	\$< <tbd>>></tbd>
Commodity Cl	narge	
First 1,500	Mcf Per Month	\$< <tbd>>></tbd>
Next 2,500	Mcf Per Month	\$< <tbd>></tbd>
Next 11,000	Mcf Per Month	\$< <tbd>>></tbd>
Over 15,000	Mcf Per Month	\$< <tbd>>></tbd>

Firm Contract Entitlement

Customers may subscribe to firm, non-interruptible service under this Rate Schedule by opting for a specified volume of firm contract. A Purchased Gas Adjustment Demand Component will be applied to each unit of Billing demand based on the Company's allocated firm costs per Mcf of contract and credited to the Purchased Gas Adjustment.

Rate Schedule 260: All Service Areas (Continued)

Billing Demand

The Billing Demand for the current month shall be redetermined effective November 1 of each successive year. The Billing Demand is the highest demand day in any of the previous billing months November, December, January, February, and March.

Whenever a customer commences taking service under this Rate Schedule, the billing demand shall be either 6% of the monthly consumption in each month until redetermined as stated above, or the actual highest daily demand day recorded if electronic gas metering monitoring is installed.

Determination of Billing Demand

The Billing Demand shall be determined at the option of the Customer by one of the following methods:

- 1. By measuring the maximum volume of gas taken by the Customer in any one day through the use of Measurement Data Collection Equipment installed by the Company.
- When gas is delivered to a Customer through a positive displacement meter without the use of daily recording and measuring equipment, the maximum volume of gas taken in any one day during the billing month shall be six percent (6%) of the total volume of gas used by the customer during such billing month.

Terms and Provisions of Service under this Rate Schedule

- Except as expressly modified by the provisions of this rate schedule, all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of the rate schedule (as made effective by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority from time to time) applicable to Customers shall also apply to service by the Company to Customer under this rate schedule.
- ii. Receipts and deliveries of gas hereunder shall be at uniform rates of flow with no significant fluctuations or imbalance. Any imbalances shall be corrected by the customers, insofar as practicable, during the month in which they occur. Customers may adjust its daily nominations during the month in order to correct accumulated imbalance, subject to the limitations of the Company.
- iii. Customer shall notify Company in advance of authorized shippers to transport gas for the Customers usage. Such notification shall be by fax or email confirmation to Company's Gas Control department. The quantity of gas delivered to Customer shall be based on total nominated volume of gas delivered by Customer to Company less any adjustments made by Connecting pipeline during the month.
- iv. The Customer is responsible for making all arrangements for transporting the gas from its source of supply to the Company's interconnection with the Connecting Pipeline Company unless other arrangements have been made between the Customer and the Company.
- v. If rendition of service to Customer under this rate schedule causes the Company to incur additional charges from the Connecting Pipeline Company, Customer shall reimburse Company for all charges.
- vi. Once a customer elects and has qualified for service under this rate schedule, all services will be provided under the terms and conditions of this rate schedule for a term of no less than 12 months. At any time following the first six months of service under this rate schedule, service may be terminated by either party following at least 30 days written notice to the other party.

Rate Schedule 260: All Service Areas (Continued)

Balancing Provisions:

Any difference between the quantities delivered to the Company's city gate facilities for the account of the Customer for the month, and the quantities consumed by the Customer as metered for the month, shall be the monthly imbalance. This imbalance shall be resolved monthly by "cashing out" the imbalance as it is known at that time

If the Customer consumes more gas than it has delivered to the Company, the Customer will be deemed to be "short" by the amount of the deficiency and will buy an amount of gas equal to the deficiency from the Company. The Customer shall pay a price equal to the highest Average Weekly Cost of Gas, as determined from the "Daily Price Survey" set forth in *Gas Daily* published by Platts, in the first issue of such publication following the date of the transaction plus the Ft commodity rate, applicable surcharges and fuel on the relevant pipeline times the premium percentage corresponding to the percentage of the deficiency listed in the table below.

If the Customer consumes less gas than it has delivered to the Company, the Customer will be deemed to be "long" by the amount of the surplus, and the Company will buy the amount of the surplus by paying the Customer a price equal to the lowest Weekly Average Index Cost of Gas, as determined from the "Daily Price Survey" set forth in Gas Daily published by Platts, in the first issue of such publication following the date of the transaction, Ft commodity rate, applicable surcharges and fuel on the relevant pipeline times the discount percentage corresponding to the percentage of the deficiency listed in the table below:

Percentage of the Imbalance	Short Premium	Long Discount
Equal to or less than 20%	100%	100%
Over 20%	120%	80%

The Daily Index Cost of Gas shall be derived from the prices published in *Gas Daily* in the Daily Price Survey, per Atmos WACOG source of natural gas from pipelines, and adjusted for each service area.

	+	
Interstate Pipeline A Index	Α	WA%
	+	
Interstate Pipeline B Index	В	WA%
_	+	

Where Interstate (A..X) represents interstate pipeline index serving an Atmos service area and WA% represents the percentage gas sourced from this receipt source, and A represents the highest Average Weekly Daily pricing for the applicable interstate pipeline or source point.

Agency Authorization

A customer may authorize an agent to act on its behalf with respect to the nominations, imbalance resolution, and/or billing under this rate schedule by executing an Agency Authorization From provided by the Company. To the extent that the Agent appointed by the customer is common to other customers on the Company, the Company will permit such Agent to aggregate all such qualifying customers' transportation quantities for purposes of administering service to such Agent. Once a customer has designated an agent, the agent is then authorized to act on behalf of the customer and as such, the agent can be considered in all references contained within this rate schedule. The customer may not change agents within the calendar month without permission of the Company.

Rate Schedule 260: All Service Areas (Continued)

Limiting and Curtailing Gas Service

This schedule is subject to interruption on one-half-hour's notice given by the Company by telephone or otherwise. The Company will curtail transportation gas service to the Customers under this schedule in order to prevent a shortage of gas for the use of Customers under the Company's other rate schedules.

Customer shall immediately discontinue the use of transported gas service, to the extent of curtailment ordered, when and as directed by the Company; and authorized representatives of the Company shall have at all times the right of ingress and egress to the Customer's premises. Upon determination by the Company that the necessity for curtailment has ceased, the Company shall so notify the Customer by telephone or otherwise and the Customer shall not resume service until so notified.

In the event Customer takes daily gas deliveries in excess of Customer's daily firm contract entitlement and allocated volume from a third party supplier where such consumption is measured and recorded on a daily basis, or in the event Customer does not comply with a curtailment order as directed by the Company and takes gas in excess of the daily volume allowed by the Company in the curtailment order, such gas taken in excess of Customer's daily contract entitlement or such daily volumes taken in excess of curtailment volumes and/or shall be paid for by the Customer at the greater of the rate of \$15.00 per Dth or the average daily index on curtailment days plus \$5.00, and all applicable pipeline and/or gas supplier penalties and/or charges because of the Customer's failure to comply with a curtailment order as directed by the Company. This penalty rate will only apply to unauthorized volumes of gas used by Customer in excess of 50 Mcf over the Customer's contract entitlement or allocated volumes from authorized shipper. These additional charges shall be in addition to all other charges payable under this Rate Schedule.

If Customer can validate that a localized interstate pipeline restriction prohibited delivery of third party gas during a curtailment, and the Company incurred no penalties from the pipeline as a direct result of Customer's unauthorized usage of gas, then Company will agree to waive any penalties pursuant to this tariff.

The payment of a charge for unauthorized over-run gas shall not under any circumstances be considered as giving any such Customer the right to take unauthorized over-run volumes, nor shall such payment be considered as a substitute for any other remedies available to Company against Customer for failure to respect its obligations to adhere to the provisions of its contract with the Company.

The curtailment of interruptible transportation service deliveries in whole or in part under this schedule shall not be the basis for claims against the Company for any damages sustained by the Customer.

Measurement Data Collection Equipment

Customers served by this Rate Schedule shall be required to install Data Collection Equipment for the purpose of measuring daily volumes of natural gas taken by the customers. Customer shall be responsible for providing telephone and power to the gas metering location, and paying associated monthly usage charges for providing these utilities to metering location. Customers will be responsible for the cost and installation of the Data Collection Equipment. Company will allow customers the option of paying for Data Collection Equipment over a repayment period of 24 months.

١.

Rate Schedule 260: All Service Areas (Continued)

Gas Lights

For all metered gas light services under this tariff, the charge for such service shall be based on the actual usage through a metered source at this tariff rate. It shall be within the Company's discretion whether a gas light should be metered, however, if the gas light is unmetered, the Company may estimate and determine the appropriate consumption of the light and charge the applicable rate under this rate schedule.

Terms and Provisions of Service Under This Rate Schedule

The Company will collect gross receipt tax on the incremental gross gas related charges.

The Purchased gas Adjustment computed in accordance with TRA Administrative Rule 1220-4-7 shall not apply. Other adjustments, charges/or credits as determined in accordance with the Tennessee Regulatory Authority's Rules and Regulations and applicable taxes shall be added to the above rates.

Except as expressly modified by the provisions of this rate schedule, all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of the rate schedule (as made effective by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority from time to time) applicable to Customer shall also apply to service by the Company to Customer under this rate schedule.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION LARGE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL TRANSPORTATION Interruptible Transportation

Rate Schedule 260: All Service Areas (Continued)

Gas Lights

For all metered gas light services under this tariff, the charge for such service shall be based on the actual usage through a metered source at this tariff rate. It shall be within the Company's discretion whether a gas light should be metered, however, if the gas light is unmetered, the Company may estimate and determine the appropriate consumption of the light and charge the applicable rate under this rate schedule.

Terms and Provisions of Service Under This Rate Schedule

The Company will collect gross receipt tax on the incremental gross gas related charges.

The Purchased gas Adjustment computed in accordance with TRA Administrative Rule 1220-4-7 shall not apply. Other adjustments, charges/or credits as determined in accordance with the Tennessee Regulatory Authority's Rules and Regulations and applicable taxes shall be added to the above rates.

Except as expressly modified by the provisions of this rate schedule, all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of the rate schedule (as made effective by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority from time to time) applicable to Customer shall also apply to service by the Company to Customer under this rate schedule.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION LOW VOLUME TRANSPORTATION WITH FIRM BACKUP

Rate Schedule 265: All Service Areas

Availability

This rate schedule provides for the transportation of gas received by the Company from the Connecting Pipeline Company for the Customer's account to that Customer's facilities. Service under this rate schedule is available to commercial and industrial customers using 4,000 Ccf or more per year. This rate schedule is offered as a companion to the customers existing sales rate schedule.

Definitions

For purposes hereof:

- i. "Connecting Pipeline Company" means a pipeline supplier to the Company whose facilities in the sole judgment of the Company can be utilized to transport gas to the Company for delivery by the Company to the Customer under this rate schedule.
- ii. "Transportation Imbalance" occurs when more gas is received by the Company from the Connecting Pipeline Company for the Customer's account, less the unaccounted for gas adjustment, than is delivered to that customer's facilities for the month.
- iii. "PGA Rider" means the Company's Purchased Gas Adjustment Rider, as amended and approved by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority from time to time.

Customer Charge

A monthly Customer Charge of \$<<TBD>> is payable regardless of the usage of gas.

Monthly Rate

First 3,000	Ccf Per Month	\$< <tbd>></tbd>
Next 2,000	Ccf Per Month	\$< <tbd>>></tbd>
Over 5,000	Ccf Per Month	\$< <tbd>>></tbd>

Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment

A Purchased Gas Adjustment Demand Component will be apply to each unit of Billing demand based on the Company's allocated firm costs per Mcf of contract and credited to the Purchased Gas Adjustment.

Billing Demand

The Billing Demand for the current month shall be redetermined effective November 1 of each successive year. The Billing Demand is the highest demand day in any of the previous billing months November, December, January, February, and March.

Whenever a customer commences taking service under this Rate Schedule, the billing demand shall be either 6% of the monthly consumption in each month until redetermined as stated above, or the actual highest daily demand day recorded if electronic gas metering monitoring is installed.

Terms and Provisions of Service under this Rate Schedule

 Except as expressly modified by the provisions of this rate schedule, all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of the rate schedule (as made effective by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority from time to time) applicable to Customers shall also apply to service by the Company to Customer under this rate schedule.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION LOW VOLUME TRANSPORTATION WITH FIRM BACKUP

Rate Schedule 265: All Service Areas (Continued)

- ii. Receipts and deliveries of gas hereunder shall be at uniform rates of flow with no significant fluctuations or imbalance. Any imbalances shall be corrected by the customers, insofar as practicable, during the month in which they occur. Customers may adjust its daily nominations during the month in order to correct accumulated imbalance, subject to the limitations of the Company.
- iii. Customer shall notify Company in advance of authorized shippers to transport gas for the Customers usage. Such notification shall be by fax or email confirmation to Company's Gas Control department. The quantity of gas delivered to Customer shall be based on total nominated volume of gas delivered by Customer to Company less any adjustments made by Connecting pipeline during the month.
- iv. The Customer is responsible for making all arrangements for transporting the gas from its source of supply to the Company's interconnection with the Connecting Pipeline Company unless other arrangements have been made between the Customer and the Company.
- v. If rendition of service to Customer under this rate schedule causes the Company to incur additional charges from the Connecting Pipeline Company, Customer shall reimburse Company for all charges.
- vi. Once a customer elects and has qualified for service under this rate schedule, all services will be provided under the terms and conditions of this rate schedule for a term of no less than 12 months. At any time following the first six months of service under this rate schedule, service may be terminated by either party following at least 30 days written notice to the other party.

Balancing Provisions:

Any difference between the quantities delivered to the Company's city gate facilities for the account of the Customer for the month, and the quantities consumed by the Customer as metered for the month, shall be the monthly imbalance. This imbalance shall be resolved monthly by "cashing out" the imbalance as it is known at that time.

If the Customer consumes more gas than it has delivered to the Company, the Customer will be deemed to be "short" by the amount of the deficiency and will buy an amount of gas equal to the deficiency from the Company. The Customer shall pay a price equal to the firm GCA of the Rate Schedule 230.

If the Customer consumes less gas than it has delivered to the Company, the Customer will be deemed to be "long" by the amount of the surplus, and the Company will buy the amount of the surplus by paying the Customer a price equal to the lowest Weekly Average Index Cost of Gas, as determined from the "Daily Price Survey" set forth in Gas Daily published by Platts, in the first issue of such publication following the date of the transaction, Ft commodity rate, applicable surcharges and fuel on the relevant pipeline times the discount percentage corresponding to the percentage of the deficiency listed in the table below:

Percentage of the Imbalance	Short Premium	Long Discount
Equal to or less than 20%	100%	100%
Over 20%	100%	80%

The Daily Index Cost of Gas shall be derived from the prices published in *Gas Daily* in the Daily Price Survey, per Atmos WACOG source of natural gas from pipelines, and adjusted for each service area.

	+-	
Interstate Pipeline A Index	Α	WA%
-	+	
Interstate Pipeline B Index	В	WA%
•	+	

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION LOW VOLUME TRANSPORTATION WITH FIRM BACKUP

Rate Schedule 265: All Service Areas (Continued)

Where Interstate (A, X) represents interstate pipeline index serving an Atmos service area and WA% represents the percentage gas sourced from this receipt source, and A represents the highest Average Weekly Daily pricing for the applicable interstate pipeline or source point.

Agency Authorization

A customer may authorize an agent to act on its behalf with respect to the nominations, imbalance resolution, and/or billing under this rate schedule by executing an Agency Authorization From provided by the Company. To the extent that the Agent appointed by the customer is common to other customers on the Company, the Company will permit such Agent to aggregate all such qualifying customers' transportation quantities for purposes of administering service to such Agent. Once a customer has designated an agent, the agent is then authorized to act on behalf of the customer and as such, the agent can be considered in all references contained within this rate schedule. The customer may not change agents within the calendar month without permission of the Company.

Measurement Data Collection Equipment

Customers served by this Rate Schedule shall be required to install Data Collection Equipment for the purpose of measuring daily volumes of natural gas taken by the customers. Customer shall be responsible for providing telephone and power to the gas metering location, and paying associated monthly usage charges for providing these utilities to metering location. Customers will be responsible for the cost and installation of the Data Collection Equipment. Company will allow customers the option of paying for Data Collection Equipment over a repayment period of 24 months.

Gas Lights

For all metered gas light services under this tariff, the charge for such service shall be based on the actual usage through a metered source at this tariff rate. It shall be within the Company's discretion whether a gas light should be metered, however, if the gas light is unmetered, the Company may estimate and determine the appropriate consumption of the light and charge the applicable rate under this rate schedule.

Terms and Provisions of Service Under This Rate Schedule

The Company will collect gross receipt tax on the incremental gross gas related charges.

Except as expressly modified by the provisions of this rate schedule, all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of the rate schedule (as made effective by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority from time to time) applicable to Customer shall also apply to service by the Company to Customer under this rate schedule.

HYPOTHETICAL EXAMPLE OF RATE 265 SAVINGS

In this example, an existing Rate 230 firm sales customer opts to convert to transportation service under Rate 265.

Sample Firm Customer with the following characteristics:

Annual consumption of 150,000 therms.
Billing demand of 150 dekatherms.
PGA Allocations of \$10.00 per dekatherm per month.
Billing rate contribution to billing demand is \$0.15 per therm.

Current Scenario:

- Customer elects transportation service under the Company's existing transportation tariff;
- Customer opts for gas service from the Company's marketing affiliate;
- The existing billing demand contribution of \$22,500 (150,000 therms * \$0.15 contribution rate) is lost and must be made up through higher PGA rates to other sales customers;
- The Company's marketing affiliate uses this same capacity (now idle) to deliver gas to the transportation customer.

New Scenario under AIG Proposed Rate 265:

- Customer continues to pay contribution towards the Firm PGA and Company provides firm backup service;
- Customer provides \$18,000 (150 billing demand * \$10.00 PGA Allocation *12 months) in billing demand contribution;
- Customer purchases gas from the most competitive third party;
- Control over the Company's pipeline assets are unbundled resulting in a competitive market.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing is being forwarded via U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to:

William T. Ramsey Neal & Harwell 150 4th Avenue North Suite 2000 Nashville, TN 37219

Robert E. Cooper Vance Broemel Office of the Attorney General Consumer Advocate and Protection Division P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202

Patricia Childers Atmos Energy Corporation 810 Crescent Centre Drive, Ste. 600 Franklin, TN 37067

Douglas C. Walther Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Ste. 1800 Dallas, TX 75240

D. Billye Sanders Waller Landsen Dortch & Davis, LLP 511 Union Street, Ste. 2700 Nashville, TN 37216

on this the 21st day of August 2007.

Henry M. Walker

Portions of the Transcript of the Hearing Starting on October 8, 2007

<PAGEHDR[1]> <PAGEHDR[2]>

	Page 1	
1	BEFORE THE TENNESSEE	REGULATORY AUTHORITY
2		
3	IN RE:)
4	The No.)
	PETITION OF ATMOS ENERGY) Docket No. 07-00105
5	CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF	•
_	ADJUSTMENT OF ITS RATES AND)
6 7	REVISED TARIFF)
,		
8		
	TRANSCRIPT OF PRE	HEARING CONFERENCE
9	_	
1.0	Monday, Oct	ober 8, 2007
10	MILION	EIB
11	VOLORI	r r p
12		
	APPEARANCES:	
13	For Atmos Fronces. M	r. William T. Ramsey
14	5 2	r. A. Scott Ross
		r. Vance L. Broemel
	М	r. Joe Shirley
16	M	r. Timothy Phillips
17		
	For Atmos Intervention	Transcript Wallborn
18	-	r. Henry Walker s. D. Billye Sanders
19 20	For Stand Energy: M	s. D. Billye Sanders
21		
22		
23		
24	D	
25	Reported By: Teri A. Campbell, RPR, CCR	

<PAGEHDR[1]> <PAGEHDR[2]>

	Page 2	_		
1	INDEX			
2	(October 8, 2007 - Volume I B)			
3	WITNESSES]	PAC	ES
4	JOHN DOSKER			
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Sanders	3	-	10
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey	10	-	13
7	HAL NOVAK			
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Walker	14	-	25
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ross	26	-	41
10	Cross-Examination by Ms. Sanders	41	-	43
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

- 1 (The aforementioned proceedings came
- 2 on to be heard on Monday, October 8, 2007, beginning at
- 3 approximately 3:20 p.m., before Chairman Eddie
- 4 Roberson, Director Sara Kyle, and Director Pat Miller,
- 5 when the following proceedings were had, to-wit:)

6

- 7 CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: We're back on the
- 8 record. Ms. Sanders, call your witness.
- 9 MS. SANDERS: I'd like to call
- 10 Mr. John Dosker to the stand, please.

11

- JOHN DOSKER,
- 13 was called as a witness, and having been duly sworn,
- 14 was examined and testified as follows:

15

- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MS. SANDERS:
- 18 Q. Mr. Dosker, would you state your name and
- 19 address for the record?
- 20 A. My name is John Dosker. My address is 1077
- 21 Celestial Street, Cincinnati, Ohio; suite 110.
- 22 Q. Would you state your employer and your
- 23 position with the company?
- 24 A. My employer is Stand Energy Corporation.
- 25 My position is general counsel.

- 1 Q. Are you authorized to testify on behalf of
- 2 Stand Energy Corporation and Harrison Construction
- 3 Company?
- 4 A. Yes, I am.
- 5 Q. Did you cause testimony to be prefiled in
- 6 this docket?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. Are there any corrections to that
- 9 testimony?
- 10 A. No corrections other than I obviously
- 11 addressed some subjects which are no longer part of
- 12 this docket.
- 13 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed the revised
- 14 exhibits that were filed by Mr. Novak?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am, I have.
- 16 Q. And did you agree with those exhibits? Do
- 17 you support those exhibits?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 O. Would you --
- MS. SANDERS: Well, first, I'd like to
- 21 move for admission of Mr. Dosker's prefiled testimony.
- 22 CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Without objection,
- 23 let --
- MR. RAMSEY: One objection. The
- 25 portions of his testimony that relate to matters in

- 1 another docket, we would request that those not be
- 2 included in the testimony in this docket. I believe
- 3 that begins at approximately page 3, line 21 forward,
- 4 really, of his testimony. That's really talking about
- 5 matters in the docket that's been severed from this
- 6 docket. The first part, I have no objection to.
- 7 MR. WALKER: I would simply point
- 8 out --
- 9 CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Well, let
- 10 Ms. Sanders --
- MS. SANDERS: Well, I would just say
- 12 there is some information on page 3 that deals with
- 13 Stand Energy's relationship. You know, to the extent
- 14 that there are issues that are not dealt with in this
- 15 docket, we don't mind them not being included in the
- 16 record.
- But to the extent that Mr. Dosker's
- 18 testimony can't be that easily segregated in terms of
- 19 saying from page 3 to the end, you know, I would prefer
- 20 not to do that without having the opportunity to review
- 21 his testimony.
- MR. RAMSEY: That's fine. We'll work
- 23 together and just make sure the part that is not
- 24 appropriate can be excluded. I'm sure we can work it
- 25 out.

- 1 CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: I think that's
- 2 appropriate. Okay. The testimony subject to that
- 3 revision between counsel will be entered into the
- 4 record.
- 5 MS. SANDERS: Thank you.
- 6 BY MS. SANDERS:
- 7 Q. Would you summarize your testimony for the
- 8 Authority, please, Mr. Dosker?
- 9 A. Yes. Essentially my relevant testimony
- 10 would have been to support and adopt Mr. Novak's
- 11 testimony. Stand Energy and me personally have
- 12 experience in many other states in these issues.
- 13 After reading Mr. Novak's testimony, I
- 14 found it to be, you know, right on. He's not
- 15 advocating for any particular one customer or one
- 16 particular class of customers. What he's advocating
- 17 for is a better rate design for Atmos, for all of their
- 18 customers.
- 19 It's very easy to find problems whenever
- 20 you're making substantial changes. My experience from
- 21 other states has been in the -- at the end of the day,
- 22 it results in a better, fair rate design for all
- 23 involved.
- 24 So for that reason I prefiled testimony
- 25 supporting Mr. Novak's prefiled testimony because I

- 1 thought it was beneficial to creating a more
- 2 competitive service territory here which is what my
- 3 company and our customers who have facilities here who
- 4 we're not serving at this point -- because we can't
- 5 save them any money -- that's what they want. That's
- 6 why my company is making a substantial investment in
- 7 paying attorneys and paying me to be here when we're
- 8 not making any money in Tennessee.
- 9 That's not what my presence here today is
- 10 about. It's not to protect the money that we're making
- 11 in Tennessee. It's to try to open a market where we
- 12 can come and mutually save customers money and
- 13 hopefully allow Atmos to make their statutory rate of
- 14 return. It works in other states.
- 15 Q. Mr. Dosker, Mr. Ramsey mentioned in his
- 16 opening statement that Harrison Construction is not
- 17 purchasing gas in Tennessee. Would you clarify what
- 18 Harrison Construction's gas purchasing situation is in
- 19 Tennessee?
- 20 A. As I stated in my testimony, they're an
- 21 asphalt company. For the last several years, it has
- 22 not been economical for them to purchase natural gas to
- 23 heat the asphault that they use to make roads.
- Mr. Bertotti correctly summarized the way
- 25 asphalt companies -- most of them have a dual fuel

- 1 capability. Most of them burn some kind of fuel oil.
- 2 And that's the case with Harrison Construction.
- For the last several years -- we're not
- 4 just talking here weeks or months, folks. For the last
- 5 several years, it's been mainly cheaper for Harrison to
- 6 burn fuel oil to heat the asphault than to purchase
- 7 natural gas. And I don't believe Atmos is making a
- 8 penny when they're burning fuel oil.
- 9 So Harrison's point -- and Harrison is a
- 10 small company of a very large road building consortium
- 11 which we represent in many, many states. They have an
- 12 interest in seeing better tariffs, better rate design
- 13 in Tennessee. But that's not the reason I'm here.
- 14 That's just one of the reasons.
- And Harrison would like to use natural gas
- 16 when it's economical to do so. And that's purchasing
- 17 the commodity from Stand Energy. But there's still a
- 18 problem in our view and in our client's view with the
- 19 tariffs and some of the other issues that are going to
- 20 be dealt with in some of the other dockets which I'm
- 21 not going to address today.
- But there are serious, serious problems.
- 23 And I'm confident that the Authority will agree when
- 24 these other dockets proceed --
- MR. RAMSEY: Objection. Let's talk

- 1 about the issues in this case, please.
- 2 CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Yes.
- 3 THE WITNESS: We will have Atmos
- 4 customers testifying in the other dockets.
- 5 MR. RAMSEY: Objection.
- 6 CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Sustained. Let's
- 7 stay on the merits of --
- 8 THE WITNESS: Well, I just didn't
- 9 like -- the implication was made earlier by Mr. Ramsey
- 10 and others that because Stand Energy only represented
- 11 one customer who doesn't really burn that much natural
- 12 gas that somehow that should minimize what it is, you
- 13 know, we have to say. And I would suggest that if
- 14 Atmos customers were given the opportunity to come here
- 15 and testify that you would have a line out the door.
- MR. RAMSEY: Objection. They do have
- 17 the opportunity.
- 18 CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Sustained.
- 19 Ms. Sanders.
- 20 BY MS. SANDERS:
- 21 O. Is Harrison Construction also a customer of
- 22 Atmos in other states?
- 23 A. The parent company has other asphalt
- 24 facilities in other Atmos jurisdictions.
- 25 O. Does that complete your summary?

- 1 A. I believe it does.
- MS. SANDERS: I tender the witness for
- 3 cross-examination.

4

- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. RAMSEY:
- 7 Q. Very quickly. You did file prefiled
- 8 testimony in this case, did you not, sir?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And in your prefiled testimony you
- 11 testified that you were testifying on behalf of your
- 12 customer Harrison Construction, did you not?
- 13 A. I did.
- 14 Q. And so really that's the only customer that
- 15 you can refer to in Tennessee that might have an
- 16 interest. That's the only one you named in your
- 17 testimony -- right? -- Harrison Construction?
- 18 A. It's the only one I'm willing to disclose
- 19 at this time. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, Harrison Construction is an
- 21 asphalt company. Right?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 O. They operate during the summer months
- 24 mostly. It's not very profitable to try to lay asphalt
- 25 in the cold weather. Right?

- 1 A. It's, I think, impossible to lay it in cold
- 2 weather, yes.
- 3 Q. Right. Now, do you know whether there are
- 4 other asphalt plants in the state of Tennessee who
- 5 actually do use natural gas?
- 6 A. I'm sure there are.
- 7 Q. Okay. But you're here because Harrison
- 8 Construction is one of your paying customers. They pay
- 9 you for services. Right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And so you would like to get a customer
- 12 that would pay you money to be able to provide services
- 13 to them in the natural gas area. Right?
- 14 A. We already have customers that do that.
- 15 Q. But you would like to make that money off
- 16 Harrison here in the state of Tennessee?
- 17 A. And others, yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Okay. So right now Harrison burns waste
- 19 oil. Right?
- 20 A. I believe that's true.
- 21 Q. Have been doing so for about seven years?
- 22 A. I'm not sure about that. But several
- 23 years, I believe.
- Q. And have you conducted any study to see
- 25 whether what change in any of these rates would

- 1 actually allow them to switch from waste oil to natural
- 2 gas?
- 3 A. I've done studies. And the savings that
- 4 Harrison Construction will realize will be realized in
- 5 the other dockets.
- 6 Q. Well, in this docket, you don't even know
- 7 what change in the rate design would actually make them
- 8 move over from waste oil to gas, do you?
- 9 A. I do, but I'm not at liberty to divulge
- 10 that.
- 11 Q. So we don't know whether the changes that
- 12 are proposed would do it or not. Right?
- 13 A. You don't know. No, sir, you don't.
- 14 Q. You don't either?
- 15 A. I do know.
- 16 Q. Well, then what is it?
- 17 A. I'm not at liberty to say.
- MR. RAMSEY: I move to strike his
- 19 testimony if he's not going to answer our questions.
- 20 No further questions.
- MS. SANDERS: Well, I object to the
- 22 motion to move to strike his testimony.
- THE WITNESS: Do we have a
- 24 confidentiality provision?
- MR. RAMSEY: There's already one in

- 1 place in this case.
- 2 THE WITNESS: Does it protect my
- 3 customers and my testimony?
- 4 MR. RAMSEY: I'll let your lawyer
- 5 advise you, sir.
- 6 MS. SANDERS: Well, we would need to
- 7 clear the courtroom of those who have not signed the
- 8 protective order for him to testify. That's generally
- 9 how we've dealt with that.
- 10 When witnesses need to testify to
- 11 something that's under the protective order, we clear
- 12 the courtroom of those who have not signed the
- 13 protective order. And then that is redacted from the
- 14 transcript.
- DIRECTOR MILLER: Does that mean Dale
- 16 has to leave?
- MR. GRIMES: That's only fair.
- MR. RAMSEY: I don't want to have to
- 19 clear the testimony [sic]. I think his testimony
- 20 speaks for itself. I have no further questions.
- 21 DIRECTOR MILLER: I think Dale was
- 22 trying to get out of here.
- 23 CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Okay. So the
- 24 motion is withdrawn. Okay.
- 25 Mr. Walker?

1	Page 14
1	MR. WALKER: No questions.
2	MR. BROEMEL: No questions.
3	CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Staff have any
4	questions? Directors have any questions?
5	DIRECTOR KYLE: No. Just how do you
6	like our accent down here?
7	THE WITNESS: Your accent is fine.
8	DIRECTOR KYLE: Good answer.
9	CHAIRMAN ROBERSON: Okay. The witness
10	is excused. Thank you, Mr. Dosker.
11	Mr. Walker?
12	MR. WALKER: I call Mr. Hal Novak to
13	the stand. Mr. Walker.
14	
15	HAL NOVAK,
16	was called as a witness, and having been duly sworn,
17	was examined and testified as follows:
18	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. WALKER:
21	Q. State your name, please, and what you do
22	for a living.
23	A. My name is Hal Novak. I'm a utility rate
24	consultant and expert witness. My address is 19
25	Morning Arbor Place, The Woodlands, Texas.
	<u> </u>