BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

R. DALE GRIMES TEL: (615) 742-6244 FAX: (615) 742-2744 dgrimes@bassberry.com

AMSOUTH CENTER
315 DEADERICK STREET, SUITE 2700
NASHVILLE, TN 37238-3001
(615) 742-6200

www.bassberry.com

January 5, 2007

OTHER OFFICES

NASHVILLE MUSIC ROW
KNOXVILLE
MEMPHIS
A
DOCKET ROOF

VIA HAND-DELIVERY

Chairman Sara Kyle c/o Sharla Dillon Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Re: Petition Of Tennessee American Water Company To Change And Increase Certain Rates And Charges So As To Permit It To Earn A Fair And Adequate Rate Of Return On Its Property Used And Useful In Furnishing Water Service To Its Customers; Docket No. 06-00290

Dear Chairman Kyle:

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen (15) sets of copies of Statement Of Tennessee American Water Company In Opposition To Chattanooga Manufacturers Association's Request That Contested Case Hearing To Be Conducted In Chattanooga, Tennessee.

Please return two copies of the Responses, which I would appreciate your stamping as "filed," and returning to me by way of our courier.

Should you have any questions concerning any of the enclosed, please do not hesitate to contact me.

With kindest regards, I remain

Very truly yours,

R. Dale Grimes

RDG/ms Enclosures Chairman Sara Kyle January 5, 2007 Page 2

n, 15 M

cc: Michael A. McMahon (w/enclosure)
Timothy C. Phillips, Esq. (w/enclosure)
Henry Walker, Esq. (w/enclosure)
David C. Higney, Esq. (w/enclosure)
Mr. John Watson (w/enclosure)
Mr. Michael A. Miller (w/enclosure)

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:)				
)				
PETITION OF TENNESSEE AMERICAN	Docket No. 06-00290				
WATER COMPANY TO CHANGE AND)				
INCREASE CERTAIN RATES AND)				
CHARGES SO AS TO PERMIT IT TO)		2007		
EARN A FAIR AND ADEQUATE RATE)	20	0		
OF RETURN ON ITS PROPERTY USED)	-	200	m	
AND USEFUL IN FURNISHING WATER)		1	5	
SERVICE TO ITS CUSTOMERS)	55	Ch	171	
		[7]	_0	-	
		20		1	
STATEMENT OF TENNESSEE	AMERICAN WATER COMPANY	0	617	Sand	
IN OPPOSITION TO CHATTANOOGA MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION'S					
REQUEST THAT CONTESTED CAS	SE HEARING TO BE CONDUCTED	D IN	_		

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE

Petitioner Tennessee American Water Company ("Petitioner") respectfully submits that the motion of the Chattanooga Manufacturers Association ("CMA") for the hearing in this matter to be held in Chattanooga, Tennessee, should be denied. The CMA has not established any grounds to justify this extraordinary request, which would burden the Directors, staff, and legal counsel of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, the parties, their counsel, all their records, files, office equipment, computers, supplies, and other necessities, with superfluous travel to another city for a hearing of this matter. The CMA has made no showing that subjecting everyone involved to such massive inconvenience, increased cost, and arbitrary impracticality would result in rates that are one bit more just and reasonable than would be obtained in the TRA's official Hearing Room in Nashville.

The primary basis asserted in support of the motion -- to enhance individual ratepayers' opportunity to be heard -- could be cited in any case before the TRA where affected ratepayers live outside Nashville. But the TRA does not need to adopt an itinerant existence to discharge its

public duties or to make sure the interests of ratepayers are properly considered. The ratepayers are well represented in this proceeding by three unopposed sets of intervenors: the CMA, the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General, and the City of Chattanooga itself. These interests are represented by experienced legal counsel, most of whom are located in Nashville, and all of whom have represented these same interests in numerous rate cases brought by Petitioner and other utilities such as Chattanooga Gas Company. Certainly any individual who may wish to be heard other than through these representative intervenors has every opportunity to exercise that right by attending the hearing at the TRA's normal location in Nashville.

The CMA also suggests that the hearing should be relocated to Chattanooga because a substantial number of the witnesses likely to be presented in this case are located in Chattanooga. It is more likely, however, that the majority of witnesses will <u>not</u> be from Chattanooga as in recent rate cases involving Chattanooga utilities. Nonetheless, while it may suit a handful of witnesses to have the hearing come to them in Chattanooga, that does not warrant uprooting the entire regulatory agency, Directors, staff, and all, as well as all the many other necessary participants in the proceeding, and relocating them to makeshift quarters in a different city.

Not only is the CMA's request unjustified, it is also unprecedented in the modern era of the TRA. Numerous important cases have been heard by the TRA in Nashville in the past decade, even though the affected ratepayers were located in other parts of the State. Moreover, the CMA appears to be arbitrarily selective in targeting its repeated requests to hold Petitioner's cases outside Nashville. A review of recent Chattanooga Gas dockets has not detected a single formal request by the CMA that the TRA travel to Chattanooga to hold its hearing in those cases.

The hearing should take place as usual in the Hearing Room of the offices of the TRA, which is located on the Ground Floor at 460 James Robertson Parkway, Nashville, Tennessee. Petitioner submits that the Hearing Room of the offices of the TRA in Nashville, Tennessee, is the best location for such hearing as (1) it is the location of the offices, staff, and resources of the TRA, (2) it is the location of the attorneys for the Petitioner, (3) it is the location of the majority of the attorneys for the Intervenors, (4) it is the most convenient location for the witnesses of the Petitioner, and (5) it best serves the interests of justice. The CMA's motion offers nothing to justify doing otherwise.

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that the motion be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Dale Grimes (#6223)

J. Davidson French (#15442)

BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC

315 Deaderick Street, Suite 2700

Nashville, TN 37238-3001

(615) 742-6200

Counsel for Petitioner

Tennessee American Water Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via the method(s) indicated, on this the sth day of January, 2007, upon the following:

[] Hand [] Mail [] Facsimile [] Overnight	Michael A. McMahan Special Counsel City of Chattanooga (Hamilton County) Office of the City Attorney Suite 400 801 Broad Street Chattanooga, TN 37402
Hand Mail Section Facsimile Overnight	Timothy C. Phillips, Esq. Vance L. Broemel, Esq. Office of the Attorney General Consumer Advocate and Protection Division P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202
[→ Hand [] Mail [] Facsimile [] Overnight	Henry M. Walker, Esq. Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC Suite 700 1600 Division Street P.O. Box 340025 Nashville, TN 37203
[Hand [] Mail [Facsimile [Overnight	David C. Higney, Esq. Grant, Konvalinka & Harrison, P.C. 633 Chestnut Street, 9 th Floor Chattanooga, TN 37450 P. Dlunch

6288550.2