## BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ATTORNEYS AT LAW

AMSOUTH CENTER
315 DEADERICK STREET, SUITE 2700
NASHVILLE, TN 37238-3001
(615) 742-6200

www.bassberry.com

OTHER OFFICES

NASHVILLE MUSIC ROW KNOXVILLE MEMPHIS

April 12, 2007

## VIA HAND-DELIVERY

R. DALE GRIMES

TEL: (615) 742-6244

FAX. (615) 742-2744

dgrimes@bassberry.com

Chairman Sara Kyle c/o Sharla Dillon Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

filed electronically in docket office on 04/12/07

Re: Petition Of Tennessee American Water Company To Change And Increase Certain Rates And Charges So As To Permit It To Earn A Fair And Adequate Rate Of Return On Its Property Used And Useful In Furnishing Water Service To Its Customers; Docket No. 06-00290

Dear Chairman Kyle:

Enclosed please find an original copy of Tennessee American Water Company's First Supplemental Response to the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division's Discovery Request Number Two, Part I, Question 10 and 12. The documents attached to this Response (TAWC-HC-02000-02001) are marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL." Please file these documents under seal pursuant to the Amended Supplemental Protective Order.

Should you have any questions concerning any of the enclosed, please do not hesitate to contact me.

With kindest regards, I remain

Yours very truly,

R. Dale Grimes

L/peimissin

RDG/ms Enclosures Chairman Sara Kyle April 11, 2007 Page 2

cc: Hon. Pat Miller (w/o enclosure)

Hon. Ron Jones (w/o enclosure)

Hon. Eddie Roberson (w/o enclosure)

Ms. Darlene Standley, Chief of Utilities Division (w/o enclosure)

Richard Collier, Esq. (w/o enclosure)

Mr. Jerry Kettles, Chief of Economic Analysis & Policy Division (w/o enclosure)

Ms. Pat Murphy (w/o enclosure)

Michael A. McMahon, Esq. (w/enclosure)

Frederick L. Hitchcock, Esq. (w/enclosure)

Vance Broemel, Esq. (w/enclosure)

Henry Walker, Esq. (w/enclosure)

David Higney, Esq. (w/enclosure)

Mr. John Watson (w/enclosure)

Mr. Michael A. Miller (w/enclosure)

TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY Docket No. 06-00290

Supplemental Response to CAPD Discovery Request No. 10

Responsible Witness:

Michael Miller/or others

Question:

10. Provide a detailed reconciliation book to taxable income of Deferred Federal

Income Tax Expense for the test year ended June 30, 2006 amount of \$894,154

per Exhibit No. 2, Schedule 6. Include in your response detailed work papers of

all temporary differences generating the Deferred Federal Income Tax Expense.

Also, show any prior year adjustments for book to tax reconciliation, for example

\$209,202 per the response to TRA Discovery Request #49, page 1 of 2.

Supplemental Response:

Attached you will find the reconciliation and source documents for the \$348,360

and \$19,008 of amortization of FAS 109 regulatory assets-deferred tax expense

previously provided on the tax reconciliation for the base period ended June

2006 as provided in response to CAPD-02-Q010 and Q012. While TAWC

reconciled to the per books number we found that the amortization of the account

186045 (column 2 on the supporting documents) had been incorrectly recorded

using the 2002 amortization year for the base period ending June 2006 and the

correcting entry for that was recorded in July 2006. TAWC in fact understated

the FAS 109 amortizations in the historical test-year by \$98,814 as shown at the

bottom section of the excel file.

FAS 109 is a balance sheet approach to deferred income taxes where the

deferred income tax expense must conform to an effective tax rate (ETR)

determined from the impact of both permanent and temporary differences. It is

required under FAS 109 that the ETR properly reflect the impact as those

difference reverse themselves over the life of the assets driving those book to tax

differences.

The basis for the FAS 109 amortizations is: In the early years of assets when the tax depreciation, amortized, exceeded book depreciation, amortized, the build up of the reg asset created timing difference that served to drive the ETR below the statutory income tax rates. During this period the ratepayers received the benefit of those timing differences under FAS109. Once those assets reach the break over point where the book depreciation, amortized, exceeded tax those reversals drive the effective tax rate above the statutory income tax rates. FAS 109 properly applies and matches the impact of those book/tax differences to the customers properly over the life of the assets.

As for the flow-thru credit of \$120,222 for deferred FIT expense and \$23,879 for deferred SIT expense. The amortizations (mentioned above) as they are recorded on the books impact taxable income and that must also be accounted for properly. Under FAS 109 that impact is captured in the ETR calculation itself and the flow-thru credit to expense is captured in the FAS 109 deferred income tax expenses recorded to obtain the proper ETR for per books pre-tax income.

TAWC is providing the 2006 EFT calculations which incorporate all of the differences and FAS 109 entries necessary to determine the EFT. On a monthly basis there is no distinct calculation of the flow through piece. A distinct calculation can be made and TAWC has asked its tax department to make the calculation for the historical test-year and will provide that later information this as it becomes available.

TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
Docket No. 06-00290
Supplemental Response to CAPD Discovery Request No. 12

Question:

12. Provide a detailed reconciliation book to taxable income of Deferred State

Income Tax Expense for the test year ended June 30, 2006 amount of \$231,004

per Exhibit No. 2, Schedule 6. Include in your response detailed work papers of

all temporary differences generating the Deferred Federal Income Tax Expense.

Also, show any prior year adjustments for book to tax reconciliation.

Michael Miller/or others

Supplemental Response:

Responsible Witness:

Attached you will find the reconciliation and source documents for the \$348,360

and \$19,008 of amortization of FAS 109 regulatory assets-deferred tax expense

previously provided on the tax reconciliation for the base period ended June

2006 as provided in response to CAPD-02-Q010 and Q012. While TAWC

reconciled to the per books number we found that the amortization of the account

186045 (column 2 on the supporting documents) had been incorrectly recorded

using the 2002 amortization year for the base period ending June 2006 and the

correcting entry for that was recorded in July 2006. TAWC in fact understated

the FAS 109 amortizations in the historical test-year by \$98,814 as shown at the

bottom section of the excel file.

FAS 109 is a balance sheet approach to deferred income taxes where the

deferred income tax expense must conform to an effective tax rate (ETR)

determined from the impact of both permanent and temporary differences. It is

required under FAS 109 that the ETR properly reflect the impact as those

difference reverse themselves over the life of the assets driving those book to tax

differences.

The basis for the FAS 109 amortizations is: In the early years of assets when the tax depreciation, amortized, exceeded book depreciation, amortized, the build up of the reg asset created timing difference that served to drive the ETR below the statutory income tax rates. During this period the ratepayers received the benefit of those timing differences under FAS109. Once those assets reach the break over point where the book depreciation, amortized, exceeded tax those reversals drive the effective tax rate above the statutory income tax rates. FAS 109 properly applies and matches the impact of those book/tax differences to the customers properly over the life of the assets.

As for the flow-thru credit of \$120,222 for deferred FIT expense and \$23,879 for deferred SIT expense. The amortizations (mentioned above) as they are recorded on the books impact taxable income and that must also be accounted for properly. Under FAS 109 that impact is captured in the ETR calculation itself and the flow-thru credit to expense is captured in the FAS 109 deferred income tax expenses recorded to obtain the proper ETR for per books pre-tax income.

TAWC is providing the 2006 EFT calculations which incorporate all of the differences and FAS 109 entries necessary to determine the EFT. On a monthly basis there is no distinct calculation of the flow through piece. A distinct calculation can be made and TAWC has asked its tax department to make the calculation for the historical test-year and will provide that information later as it becomes available.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via the method(s) indicated, on this the 12th day of April, 2007, upon the following:

[ ] [ ] [x]	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	Michael A. McMahan Special Counsel City of Chattanooga (Hamilton County) Office of the City Attorney Suite 400 801 Broad Street Chattanooga, TN 37402
	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	Timothy C. Phillips, Esq. Vance L. Broemel, Esq. Office of the Attorney General Consumer Advocate and Protection Division 425 5th Avenue North, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Nashville, TN 37243
[ ] [ ] [ ]	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	Henry M. Walker, Esq. Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC Suite 700 1600 Division Street Nashville, TN 37203
[ ] [ ] [x]	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	David C. Higney, Esq. Grant, Konvalinka & Harrison, P.C. 633 Chestnut Street, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor Chattanooga, TN 37450
[ ] [ ] [x]	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight Email	Frederick L. Hitchcock, Esq. Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C. 1000 Tallan Building Two Union Square Chattanooga, TN 37402

6387364.1