LAW OFFICES

GULLETT, SANFORD, ROBINSON & MARTIN, PLLC

GARETH S. ADEN G. RHEA BUCY CHRISTOPHER W. CARDWELL GEORGE V. CRAWFORD, JR. GEORGE V. CRAWFORD III A. SCOTT DERRICK THOMAS H. FORRESTER MARY TAYLOR GALLAGHER MARY BETH HAGAN MARCY S HARDEF M. TAYLOR HARRIS, JR. DAN HASKELL ANDRA J. HEDRICK LINDA W. KNIGHT JOEL M. LEEMAN ALLEN D. LENTZ MOLLIE E. MAHAN

STREET ADDRESS:
315 DEADERICK STREET, SUITE 1100
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37238

MAILING ADDRESS:
POST OFFICE BOX 198888
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37219-8888

TELEPHONE (615) 244-4994
FACSIMILE (615) 256-6339

WWW.GSRM.NET

December 29, 2006

JOSEPH MARTIN, JR.
MARISSA A. MOSES
WM. ROBERT POPE, JR.
JACK W. ROBINSON, JR.
JACK W. ROBINSON, SR.
WESLEY D. TURNER
PHILLIP P. WELTY
MARK H. WESTLAKE
OF COUNSEL
TREVOR W. HOWELL
JOHN D. LENTZ
SULLIVAN F. MARSDEN

B. B. GULLETT
1905-1992
VALERIUS SANFORD
1923-2001

Hon. Sara Kyle, Chairman c/o Sharla Dillon, Docket Manager Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

RE: Application of Community Initiative for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Provide Natural Gas Services in Certain Areas of Macon County, Tennessee (including within the City of Red Boiling Springs); to Approve the Transfer of Certain Assets and Customers of RBS Gas Utility, Inc.; to Approve Franchise from The City of Red Boiling Springs; to Confirm Cancellation of Special Contract of RBS Utility, Inc.; and to Set Rates and Approve Tariff

Docket No.: 06-00281

Dear Chairman Kyle:

Enclosed for filing is the Pre-Filed Testimony of William H. (Hal) Novak.

Community Initiative published Notice of the upcoming TRA hearing just as soon as it learned that the hearing would take place on January 8. Thus, enclosed for filing is a copy of the Notice, as published in the *Tennessean*. The *Tennessean's* circulation covers Macon County (including Red Boiling Springs), and CI published in it in order to get the Notice out promptly, since the local Macon County newspapers only publish weekly. Further, enclosed for filing is a copy of the Notice, as published in the *Macon County Chronicle*.

As you will note, there is an original and fourteen copies of each of these enclosures. Please stamp one copy of all of the documents "filed" and return it to me for my files.

Should you have any questions with respect to this filing, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Jack W. Robinson, Jr.

Enclosures

December 29, 2006 Page 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing document, together with the enclosures, upon the City of Red Boiling Springs, Tennessee, c/o Jon A. Wells, Esq., city attorney, 206 Court Square, P. O. Box 116, Lafayette, Tennessee 37083-0116; Macon County, Tennessee, c/o County Mayor Shelvy Linville, Room 201 Macon County Courthouse, Lafayette, Tennessee 37083; the City of Lafayette, Tennessee, c/o Jon A. Wells, Esq., city attorney, 206 Court Square, P. O. Box 116, Lafayette, Tennessee 37083-0116; the Consumer Advocate Division, Tennessee Attorney General's Office, Attn.: Timothy Phillips, Esq., Senior Counsel, 425 Fifth Avenue North, Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0491; J. Graham Matherne, Esq., attorney for Receiver of RBS Gas Utility, Inc., Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs LLP, Suite 1500, 2525 West End Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee 37203-1423; John A. Gupton III, Esq., attorney for Nestle Waters North America, Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, P.C., 211 Commerce Suite, Suite 1000, Nashville, TN 37201, by depositing a copy of the same in the United States mail, first class mail, postage prepaid, this 29th day of December, 2006

Jack W. Kodilisoli, J

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

Nashville, Tennessee

IN RE:

APPLICATION OF COMMUNITY INITIATIVE FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE NATURAL GAS SERVICES IN CERTAIN AREAS OF MACON COUNTY, TENNESSEE (INCLUDING WITHIN THE CITY OF RED BOILING SPRINGS); TO APPROVE THE TRANSFER OF CERTAIN ASSETS AND CUSTOMERS OF RBS GAS UTILITY, INC.; TO APPROVE FRANCHISE FROM THE CITY OF RED BOILING SPRINGS; TO CONFIRM CANCELLATION OF SPECIAL CONTRACT OF RBS UTILITY, INC.; AND TO SET RATES AND APPROVE TARIFF

Docket No.: 06-00281

PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM H. NOVAK

- Q. Would you state your name, business address and occupation for the record,please?
- A. My name is William H. Novak. My business address is 19 Morning Arbor Place,

 The Woodlands, TX, 77381. I am the owner of WHN Consulting, a utility
- 5 consulting and expert witness services company. I was engaged by AUI to assist
- it and Community Initiative ("CI" or "the Company") with this filing.
- 7 Q. Please provide a summary of your background and professional experience.
- 8 A. I have both a Bachelors degree in Business Administration with a major in
- Accounting, and a Masters degree in Business Administration from Middle
- Tennessee State University. I am also licensed to practice as a Certified Public
- 11 Accountant in Tennessee.
- My work experience has centered around regulated utilities for over 24 years.
- Before establishing WHN Consulting, I was Chief of the Energy & Water
- Division of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority where I had either presented
- testimony or advised the Authority on a host of regulatory issues for over 19
- years. In addition, I was previously the Director of Rates & Regulatory Analysis
- for two years with Atlanta Gas Light Company, a natural gas distribution utility
- with operations in Georgia and Tennessee. I also served for two years as the Vice
- 19 President of Regulatory Compliance for Sequent Energy Management, a natural
- 20 gas trading and optimization company in Texas.
- 21 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 22 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present to the TRA the underlying support for
- 23 the rates and charges proposed by CI in its application to the TRA for a Certificate
- 24 of Public Convenience and Necessity.
- Q. What is the basis for the proposed rates that were included in the Company's

26 filing?

Page 1 06-00281: Novak, Direct

A. Because the historical books and records from the previous owner of RBS Gas

Utility could not be relied upon, the Company was forced to prepare a long-term

financial forecast for each of the next five (5) years as shown on Company Exhibit

WHN-1, Schedule 1.

5 Q. Please explain how the Company's revenue forecast was prepared.

A.

A.

We began with a forecast of base rate revenues for 2007 as shown on Company Exhibit WHN-1, Schedule 2. To calculate the pro forma 2007 revenues, we used the expected sales volumes and customer counts and then applied them to both the current and proposed rate structures. The Company's proposed rate structure will produce an increase in revenues of approximately \$144,000 from the base rates currently being charged by the Receiver. Most of this revenue increase (approximately \$110,000) will come from the Industrial customer class which includes only one (1) customer that was previously being served under a special contract that has recently expired.

To calculate revenues for the remainder of the five-year budget as shown on

To calculate revenues for the remainder of the five-year budget as shown on Company Exhibit WHN-1, Schedule 1, we increased the pro forma 2007 Residential and Commercial revenues under the proposed rates by ten percent each year for the remainder of the five-year budget in order to match the Company's expected new investment in utility plant. Industrial revenues were left unchanged at the 2007 pro forma amounts for the remainder of the five-year budget.

Q. How were the Company's pro forma operating expenses shown on Company Exhibit, Schedule 1 were forecasted?

We began with a forecast of salary and wages. We expect the 2007 salary and wage expense to be approximately \$192,000. Because the expected time and attention necessary to initially set the policy and procedures of running the day-to-day operations should decrease after the first year, we have reduced the annual

Page 2 06-00281: Novak, Direct

| 1 | | forecast for salary and wages by \$70,000 for each of the remaining years of the |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | five-year forecast. |
| 3 | | To calculate depreciation expense, we applied a 10% depreciation rate to the |
| 4 | | average balance of plant in service for each year of the five-year forecast. A 10% |
| 5 | | depreciation rate was used because the Company determined that the expected |
| 6 | | remaining life of the existing plant in service was approximately 10 years. |
| 7 | | The Company forecasted \$21,120, \$3,116, \$25,000 and \$2,715 respectively for |
| 8 | | the annual Office Expense, Repair & Maintenance Expense, Insurance Expense |
| 9 | | and Licenses & Permits Expense. These forecasts were based on the anticitpated |
| 0 | | costs of these services, and the same amounts were used for each year of the five- |
| 1 | | year forecast. |
| 2 | | For Professional Services, the Company included \$50,000 as the first year cost of |
| 3 | | preparing, filing and defending this case before the TRA. This cost was reduced |
| 4 | | to \$15,000 for the remaining periods of the five-year budget. |
| 5 | | After considering each of the adjustments mentioned above, the total operating |
| 6 | | expenses were forecast and ranged from a low of approximately \$231,000 in 2008 |
| 7 | | to a high of approximately \$361,000 in 2011. In addition, the net revenue and |
| 8 | | expense forecasts produce an annual net operating income ranging from a loss of |
| 9 | | approximately \$-21,000 in 2007 to a high of approximately \$83,000 in 2008. |
| 20 | Q. | Please explain the Company's rate base forecast as shown on Company |
| 21 | | Exhibit WHN-1, Schedule 1. |
| 22 | A. | We began with the purchase price of \$250,000 that the Company will pay the |
| 23 | | Receiver for the assets of RBS Gas Utility in 2007. We then added \$1.7 million |
| 24 | | to this amount over the remaining four years of the five-year budget that the |
| 25 | | Company expects to invest for inspection, mapping and system expansion. |
| 26 | | We next calculated accumulated depreciation by totaling up the depreciation |
| 27 | | expense for all years and taking the average of the beginning and ending balances. |

Page 3 06-00281: Novak, Direct

- Finally, we calculated an amount for working capital by taking one eighth (1/8) to the total operating expenses.
- 3 After considering each of the adjustments mentioned above, the total rate base
- forecast was calculated and ranged from a low of approximately \$278,000 in 2007
- to a high of approximately \$1,558,000 in 2011.

6 Q. What rate of return has the Company forecast on this projected rate base?

A. As shown at the bottom of Company Exhibit WHN-1, Schedule 1 the Company's projected overall rate of return forecast under proposed rates would be as follows:

| Average | 3.82% |
|---------|--------|
| 2011 | 1.02% |
| 2010 | 2.96% |
| 2009 | 6.52% |
| 2008 | 14.38% |
| 2007 | -7.67% |

As can be seen, for 2007 the Company expects to incur a loss primarily due to the one-time incremental costs of transitioning the utility from the Receiver. Thereafter, the Company expects to operate at a positive rate of return. The average expected rate of return for the entire five-year budget is 3.82%.

13 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

14 A. Yes, it does.

9

10

11

12

06-00281: Novak, Direct

| STATE OF TEXAS |) |
|--------------------------------|--|
| COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY |) |
| I swear that the foregoing tes | stimony is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. WILLIAM H. NOVAK |
| Sworn to and subscribed before | fore me this Aday of December, 2006. |
| My Commission Expires: 10-16 | Notary Public |
| | JESSICA MALDONADO Notary Public STATE OF TEXAS My Comm. Exp. 10-16-10 |

Income, Investment and Rate of Return Analysis of Red Boiling Springs Gas Company Acquisition

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Average |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Base Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Residential | \$142,200 A/ | \$156,420 H/ | \$172,062 H/ | \$189,268 H/ | \$208,195 H/ | \$173,629 |
| Commercial | 31,680 A/ | 34,848 H/ | 38,333 H/ | 42,166 H/ | 46,383 H/ | 38,682 |
| Industrial/Special Contract | 122,400 A/ | 122,400 A/ | 122,400 A/ | 122,400 A/ | 122,400 A/ | 122,400 |
| Total Base Revenue | \$296,280 | \$313,668 | \$332,795 | \$353,834 | \$376,978 | \$334,711 |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Salaries & Wages | \$191.615 B/ | \$121,615 I/ | \$121,615 I/ | \$121.615 I/ | \$121,615 I/ | \$135,615 |
| Depreciation Expense | 24,000 C/ | 42,500 J/ | 82,500 J/ | 127,500 J/ | 172,500 J/ | 89,800 |
| Office Expense | 21,120 D/ | 21,120 D/ | 21,120 D/ | 21,120 D/ | 21,120 D/ | 21,120 |
| Repair & Maintenance | 3.116 D/ | 3,116 D/ | 3,116 D/ | 3.116 D/ | 3,116 D/ | 3,116 |
| Professional Services | 50,000 D/ | 15,000 D/ | 15,000 D/ | 15,000 D/ | 15,000 D/ | 22,000 |
| Insurance Expense | 25,000 D/ | 25,000 D/ | 25,000 D/ | 25,000 D/ | 25,000 D/ | 25,000 |
| Licenses & Permits Expense | 2,715 D/ | 2,715 D/ | 2,715 D/ | 2,715 D/ | 2,715 D/ | 2,715 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$317,566 | \$231,066 | \$271,066 | \$316,066 | \$361,066 | \$299,366 |
| Nat Occasional Control | **** | *** | 404.700 | **** | 445.440 | ****** |
| Net Operating Income | -\$21,286 | \$82,602 | \$61,729 | \$37,768 | \$15,912 | \$35,345 |
| | | | | | | |
| Rate Base (Average): | | | | | | |
| Plant in Service | \$250,000 E/ | \$600,000 K/ | \$1,050,000 M/ | \$1,500,000 M/ | \$1,950,000 M/ | \$1,070,000 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | -12,000 F/ | -54,500 L/ | -137,000 L/ | -264,500 L/ | -437,000 L/ | -181,000 |
| Working Capital | 39,696 G/ | 28,883 G/ | 33,883 G/ | 39,508 G/ | 45,133 G/ | 37,421 |
| Rate Base | \$277,696 | \$574,383 | \$946,883 | \$1,275,008 | \$1,558,133 | \$926,421 |
| | | | | | | |
| Rate of Return | -7.67% | 14.38% | 6.52% | 2.96% | 1.02% | 3.82% |

- A/ See Sheet 2.
- B/ First year expense of \$43,535 for Field Labor; \$28,080 for Clerical Labor; and \$120,000 for Management Labor.
- C/ Average Plant in Service * 10%.
- D/ Management assumptions based on anticipated costs.
- E/ Initial Investment for purchase.
- One half (1/2) of Depreciation Expense.

- Cone nam (1/2) of Depreciation Expense.

 G/ One eighth (1/8) of Total Operating Expenses.

 H/ Ten percent (10%) increase over previous year due to plant expansion.

 I/ Post first year expense of \$43,535 for Field Labor; \$28,080 for Clerical Labor; and \$50,000 for Management Labor.
- Average of current and previous year plant in service @ 10%.
- K/ Previous Year Plant in Service + \$100,000 for inspection/mapping and \$250,000 for system expansion.
- L/ Previous Year Balance of Accumulated Depreciation + Current Year Depreciation Expense.
- M/ Previous Year Plant in Service + \$450,000 for system expansion and reserve.

Community Initiative Revenue Analysis of Red Boiling Springs Gas Company Acquisition

Exhibit WHN-1 Schedule 2

| | Mcf/Dkt Determinant A/ | Existing RBS Rate D/ | Proposed AUI Rate E/ | Existing RBS Revenues | Proposed AUI Revenues | Difference |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Residential: Mcf Volumes | 24,000 B/ | \$4.29 | \$5.00 | \$102,960 | \$120,000 | \$17,040 |
| | | | | | | |
| Customers (Bills): | | | | | | |
| Winter | 1,110 C / | 5.00 | 12.00 | 5,550 | 13,320 | 7,770 |
| Summer | 1,110 | 5.00 | 8.00 | 5,550 | 8,880 | 3,330 |
| Total Residential | | | | \$114,060 | \$142,200 | \$28,140 |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Mcf Volumes | 6,000 B / | \$4.29 | \$5.00 | \$25,740 | \$30,000 | \$4,260 |
| Customers (Bills): | | | | | | |
| Winter | 24 C/ | 5.00 | 35.00 | 120 | 840 | 720 |
| Summer | 24 | 5.00 | 35.00 | 120 | 840 | 720 |
| Total Commercial | | | | \$25,980 | \$31,680 | \$5,700 |
| Industrial & Special Contract | : | | | | | |
| Mcf Volumes | 24,000 B/ | \$0.50 | \$5.00 | \$12,000 | \$120,000 | \$108,000 |
| Customers (Bills): | | | | | | |
| Winter | 6 C/ | 0.00 | 200.00 | 0 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Summer | 6 | 0.00 | 200.00 | 0 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Total Industrial & Special Contract | | | | \$12,000 | \$122,400 | \$110,400 |
| Total Base Rate Margin | | | | \$152,040 | \$296,280 | \$144,240 |

A/ For simplicity, we assume in this analysis that 1 Mcf = 1 Dkt.

B/ Customer charges assumes 185 residential, 4 commercial and 1 industrial customer.
C/ Mcf Volumes of 24,000 each customer class closely approximates current usage.

D/ RBS Tariff Sheets less \$3.21/Mcf of gas cost in base rates.
E/ Proposed tariff sheets included in Company's Petition.