BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:	ecember 12, 2006	
PETITION OF CYPRESS COMMUNIC OPERATING COMPANY, INC. TO UT ASSUMED NAME	-	DOCKET NO. 06-00274

ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF AN ASSUMED NAME

This matter came before Chairman Sara Kyle, Director Eddie Roberson, and Director Ron Jones of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this Docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on December 4, 2006, upon the Petition of Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc. ("Cypress" or the "Company") to utilize an assumed name.

At a regularly scheduled Authority Conference on August 5, 2002, in Docket No. 02-00763, this Authority approved a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity allowing the Company to resell telecommunications services within Tennessee. At a regularly scheduled Authority Conference on September 30, 2003, in Docket No. 03-00316, this Authority authorized the Company to provide competing local and interexchange telecommunications services within Tennessee, and an Order was issued on October 1, 2003. On November 7, 2006, Cypress filed a *Petition* in this Docket stating that the Company has converted from a Corporation to a Limited Liability Company under Delaware law, changing its name to Cypress Communications Operating Company, LLC, and further requesting that the Authority approve the Company's use of the assumed name.

Based upon careful consideration of the record of this matter and upon a finding that the Company has satisfied the requirements of Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1220-4-1-.08, a majority of the panel voted to approve the name change.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Zothi (the beg

Kyle, Chairman

Eddie Roberson, Director

Ron Jones, Director

¹ Director Jones abstained from voting and asserted that Tenn. Comp. R. & Reg. 1220-4-1-.08 does not require Directors' approval for a name change, and further asserted that requiring such approval is in direct opposition to the Authority's decision in Rulemaking Docket No. 04-00072 and is contrary to the plain language of the Rule.