BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

December 5, 2	006	
IN RE:)	
)	
PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF)	DOCKET NO.
CENTRAL OFFICE CODE DENIAL BY THE)	06-00246
NUMBER POOLING ADMINISTRATOR)	
RELATING TO PILOT CORPORATION)	

ORDER APPROVING PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF CENTRAL OFFICE CODE DENIAL AND REVERSING CENTRAL OFFICE CODE DENIAL

This matter came before Director Eddie Roberson, Director Pat Miller, and Director Ron Jones of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on October 16, 2006, for consideration of the *Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial* relating to Pilot Corporation filed by BellSouth Telecommunications Inc. ("BellSouth") on September 28, 2006.

BACKGROUND

In March of 2000, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), recognizing "the near-crisis" caused by the exhaustion of telephone numbers in certain expanding geographic areas, initiated a policy designed "to slow down the rate at which central office codes (or NXXs) in those areas are assigned to carriers." Among other things, the FCC adopted a mandatory utilization data reporting requirement, a uniform set of categories of numbers for which carriers must report their utilization, and a utilization threshold framework to increase carrier accountability and provide incentives to use

¹ Numbering Resource Optimization, CC Docket No. 99-200, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 15 FCC Rcd. 7574, 7578, ¶ 2, 7658, ¶ 183, 7661-7662, ¶ 191 (2000) (hereinafter "First Report and Order"); see also 47 U.S.C. § 251(e)(1) (2004) (directing the FCC to "create or designate one or more impartial entities to administer telecommunications numbering and to make such numbers available on an equitable basis").

numbers efficiently.² Under this policy, carriers seeking additional numbering resources must apply to the North American Numbering Plan Administration ("NANPA") "or another entity or entities, as designated by the Commission" for a decision as to whether to allocate the numbers.³

On June 18, 2001, the FCC designated NeuStar, Inc. ("NeuStar") as the national thousands-block number Pooling Administrator.⁴ NeuStar, which is also serving as the NANPA, currently administers thousands-block number pooling by assigning, managing, forecasting, reporting, and processing data that will allow service providers in areas designated for thousands-block number pooling to receive telephone numbers in blocks of 1,000. On August 21, 2002, thousands-block number pooling was implemented in the Knoxville Metropolitan Statistical Area, which is in the 865 NPA. Since then, telecommunications service providers participating in number pooling in that area are required to submit their requests for additional numbering resources to the Pooling Administrator. The projected exhaust date for the 865 NPA is the Third Quarter of 2024.

On September 26, 2006, BellSouth submitted a Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Request to NeuStar to be assigned two (2) one-thousand blocks of the telephone numbers to meet the demands of its customer, Pilot Corporation ("Pilot"). BellSouth's application for additional numbering resources was submitted to fulfill Pilot's request of 1,500 consecutive numbers in the two and three-thousand blocks to meet the company's expansion needs.

NeuStar, citing BellSouth's failure to meet its central office code assignment guidelines, denied BellSouth's request on September 26, 2006. The guidelines require that a rate center must have a 75% number utilization rate and that its estimated number exhaust date not exceed six months. The Knoxville Rate Center has a 62.9% number utilization rate but did not meet the second guideline

³ See 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(a) (2004); see also First Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd. at 7647-7640, ¶¶ 143-48 (providing background on the development of the pooling administrator).

² See First Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd. at 7594, ¶¶ 40-41, 7609-7610, ¶ 84, 7615, ¶¶ 97-98.

⁴ Numbering Resource Optimization, CC Docket No. 99-200, Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 17 FCC Rcd. 252, 258 ¶ 11 (2001) (hereinafter "Third Report and Order"); see also 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(a) (2004).

because the projected exhaust date is 72 months. BellSouth has not been able to fulfill this customer's request because the company lacks a satisfactory central office code that it can assign to the customer.

On September 28, 2006 BellSouth filed with the Authority its *Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial*. BellSouth asserts that its inability to supply Pilot with the requested numbers prevents BellSouth from providing the quality of service its customer expects.⁵ BellSouth requests that the Authority reverse the Pooling Administrator's denial of its application and order the release of numbering resources.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Review by the TRA of the Pooling Administrator's denial of BellSouth's application is authorized by the FCC.⁶ According to the FCC,

We agree with the commenting parties that a safety valve mechanism should be established, and we delegate authority to state commissions to hear claims that a safety valve should be applied when the NANPA or Pooling Administrator denies a specific request for numbering resources. State commissions should only apply a safety valve mechanism as a last resort and, to the extent possible, use it as a stop gap measure to enable carriers in need of additional numbering resources to continue to serve their customers. We adopt one specific safety valve to address the numbering resource requirements of carriers experiencing rapid growth in a given rate area. We also clarify that states may grant requests by carriers that receive a specific customer request for numbering resources that exceeds their available inventory. Finally, we give states some flexibility to direct the NANPA or Pooling Administrator to assign additional numbering resources to carriers that have demonstrated a verifiable need for additional numbering resources outside of these specifically enumerated instances.⁷

State commissions conducting this review must act consistently with the FCC's policy of facilitating fair and efficient numbering administration in the United States and ensuring that numbering resources are available to all telecommunications service providers on a fair and equitable basis.⁸

⁵ Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial, pp. 4-5 (September 28, 2006).

⁶ Third Report and Order, 17 FCC Rcd. at 280-281, ¶ 61; see also 47 C.F.R. § 54.15(g)(3)(iv) (2004).

⁷ Third Report and Order, 17 FCC Rcd. at 280-281, ¶ 61.

⁸ See, e.g., Numbering Resource Optimization, CC Docket No. 99-200, Order, 16 FCC Rcd. 15842, 15847, ¶ 8 (2001) ("[T]he state commissions, to the extent that they act under the authority delegated herein, must ensure that numbers are made available on an equitable basis; that numbering resources are made available on an efficient and timely basis; that whatever policies the state commissions institute with regard to numbering administration not unduly favor or disfavor any particular telecommunications industry segment or group of telecommunications consumers; and that the state commissions not unduly favor one telecommunications technology over another."); see FCC Announces GSA Approval of North American Numbering Council Through October 4, 2003, CC Docket No. 92-237, Public Notice, 16 FCC Rcd. 18502 (2001).

At a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on October 16, 2006, the panel assigned to this docket considered BellSouth's *Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial.*After consideration of the record, the public interest, and this agency's responsibility to promote competition in the telecommunications industry, the panel voted unanimously to approve BellSouth's request for expedited review and to reverse the Pooling Administrator's denial of BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial filed by BellSouth is granted.
- 2. The Pooling Administrator's decision to deny BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources in the Knoxville Rate Center is reversed as stated herein.
- 3. The Pooling Administrator is ordered to assign two (2) one-thousand-block numbers to BellSouth for the Knoxville Rate Center, Switch Designation KNVLTNBEDS0.

Eddie Roberson, Director

Pat Miller, Director

Ror Anes, Director

⁹ See Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-123 (2004).