



06-00180
Universal Service Fund
Tennessee Regulatory Authority

August 21, 2006

Ms. Sharla Dillon
Dockets Manager
Tennessee Regulatory Authority
460 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, TN 37243-0505

Re: Self-Certification of Eligibility to Receive Universal Service
Funds for Fiscal Year 2007, Docket No. 06-00180 for
Concord Telephone Exchange, Humphreys County Telephone
Company, Tellico Telephone Company and Tennessee
Telephone Company.

Dear Ms. Dillon:

Enclosed are the 13 copies omitted from the original filing dated August 14th. We
apologize for the omission.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Bruce Mottern".

Bruce H. Mottern
Director, Revenue & Earnings

Enclosures

P.O. BOX 22995
KNOXVILLE, TN 37933-0995
9737 COGDILL ROAD, SUITE 230
KNOXVILLE, TN 37932
TELEPHONE: 865.966.4700
FAX: 865.675.3881



200-AUG 21 P.M. 06

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

August 14, 2006

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
c/o Shara Dillon, Docket Manager
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
460 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, TN 37243-0505

06-05180

Dear Chairman Kyle,

Attached is the letter for Section 254(e) Certification of TDS Telecom companies to receive USF Disbursements for Year 2007 and Schedule A detailing the amounts of capital improvements made and expenses incurred in 2005.

Please contact me at (865) 671-4753 should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Bruce Mottern
KMH

Bruce H. Mottern
Director, Revenue & Earnings

Enclosures

August 14, 2006

TELCO Docket Room

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
c/o Shara Dillon, Docket Manager
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
460 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Re: Tellico Telephone Company, Inc. d/b/a TDS Telecom Self-Certification of
Eligibility to Receive Universal Service Funds for Fiscal Year 2007, Docket No.
06-00180

Dear Chairman Kyle:

This letter is submitted on behalf of Tellico Telephone Company, Inc. d/b/a TDS Telecom, an independent rural Tennessee telephone company (the "Company"). The purpose of this letter is to request that, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.314 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "TRA") certify to the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") and to the FCC that the Company is eligible to continue to receive federal high cost support in calendar year 2007.

In compliance with Ms. Standley's correspondence dated August 1, 2006, and for the reasons stated below, the Company hereby certifies that all federal high cost support, including high cost loop support, local switching support, high cost support received pursuant to the purchase of exchanges, high cost model support, and hold harmless support, that the Company is eligible to receive will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with 47 U.S.C. §254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Also in accordance with Ms. Standley's correspondence, the Company attaches information regarding the amount of federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") it received for Fiscal Year 2005 (Attachment A), and how those funds were allocated.

As discussed below, the needed certification is the result of FCC action and is required by October 1, 2006. In the absence of the certification, a rural telephone company would be deprived of its federal support for universal service at least through the first quarter of 2007. Because of this potential adverse impact on the Company, its customers, and Tennessee, the Company respectfully requests the TRA issue this certification, which is consistent with all lawful requirements, the past practice and procedure of the TRA, and the public interest.

INTRODUCTION

The need for the above-described certification by the TRA arises as a result of the FCC's action in its docket, *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of*

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 2

Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-45 and Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-256, CC Docket No. 96-45/CC Docket No. 00-256, 16 FCC Rcd 11244 (2001) ("FCC Order").

Specifically, the FCC adopted a requirement codified in 47 C.F.R. §54.314 that State Commissions must file an annual certification with the USAC and the FCC stating "that all federal high-cost support provided to such carriers [rural incumbent local exchange carriers and competitive carriers classified as eligible telecommunications carriers serving lines in an area of a rural incumbent local exchange carrier] will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended." The FCC did not specify a mechanism under which that certification might be accomplished. Rather, the FCC left it open for the State Commissions and the carriers to develop an appropriate mechanism, and the TRA has done just that.

In previous years, the TRA has properly relied on the submission of the Company that demonstrated the method by which it received federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") disbursements. This demonstration, which is also provided below, fully satisfies the Company's obligation to demonstrate that its federal USF disbursements are used in a manner provided for in Section 254(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,¹ and Section 54.314 of the FCC's rules.

Accordingly, developing this request for certification, the Company has compiled information regarding the extent to which checks and balances currently exist governing the Company and all other interstate "average schedule" rural incumbent local exchange carriers receiving universal service support. The operation of these processes, as described in the "Background" section below, ensure that the USF directed to the Independent is both properly quantified and utilized in accordance with the provision and maintenance of the facilities and services for which the federal USF is intended.

BACKGROUND

The federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other rural incumbent local exchange companies that are the subject of this certification are divided into two categories: Local Switching Support ("LSS"); and High Cost Loop Support ("HCLS"). The FCC in conjunction with the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service has created each of these mechanisms. This means that representatives from State Commissions have also been involved in the development of these mechanisms through their representation in the Joint Board process.

LSS rules established by the FCC use the embedded costs of the rural ILECs associated with switching investments, depreciation, maintenance, expenses, taxes and an FCC established rate of return. Again, this is based upon certified cost studies submitted by each rural ILEC and reviewed by NECA or FCC-prescribed average schedule formulae. This amount is used to offset the rural ILECs' interstate switching revenue requirement.

¹ Section 254(e) of the Act states that a carrier that receives federal USF "shall use that support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended."

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 3

difference between that revenue requirement, again as set forth in the company's annual interstate cost study, makes up the switching rate which is charged to interexchange carriers.

The HCLS for rural ILECs is based upon each company's embedded, unseparated loop costs or application of the average schedule formulae. These costs are calculated using a set of complex algorithms approved by the FCC, the inputs for which are scrutinized by NECA. Pursuant to the FCC Order, safety net additive support is support above the HCLS cap for carriers that make significant investment in rural infrastructure in years in which HCLS is capped. To receive safety net additive support, a rural carrier must show that growth in telecommunications plant in service (TPIS) per line is at least 14 percent greater than the study area's TPIS in the prior year. Carriers seeking to qualify for safety net additive support must provide written notice to USAC that a study area meets the 14 percent TPIS trigger.

All of these programs are administered through the USAC. USAC, as a private, not-for-profit corporation, is responsible for the administration of the federal USF that is established to provide every state and territory of the United States with access to affordable telecommunications service through the federal USF. USAC has contracted with NECA to assist in data collection necessary for the remittance of universal service funds. What this means is that each company submits, no less frequently than annually, detailed information requested by NECA in the USF data collection process. USF data used in the USF calculations by NECA must also be filed with the FCC in October of each year. This data contains the regulated financial inputs into the algorithm as well as the number of loops that will receive universal service support.

**TELLICO TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC. D/B/A TDS TELECOM
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT IT
QUALIFIES FOR THE NEEDED FOR §54.314 CERTIFICATION**

The Company respectfully submits that, given the number and nature of the checks and balances already in place, the TRA may lawfully and appropriately rely upon this self-certification by the Company. The requirements, procedures, and processes to which the Company adheres, as set forth above, provide the necessary and sufficient basis for the TRA to provide its certification to USAC and the FCC, and to thereby ensure that the Company and its customers will not be deprived of the USF funding to which the Company and its customers are entitled pursuant to all applicable rules and regulations. Essentially, under the existing rules and processes discussed above, the federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other incumbent rural telephone companies are, in fact, an integral part of the rural ILEC's recovery of expenditures incurred in the provision of universal service, maintenance and upgrading of its provision of universal service.

In many respects, the required certification process is very similar to the self-certifications that were involved in the designation of the rural ILECs as eligible telecommunications carriers ("ETCs") in the initial instance. The TRA, consistent with the practices of other state utility authorities throughout the nation, utilized this process in the initial designation of ETCs, thereby fulfilling its right, as established by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, to designate a carrier as an ETC.

Accordingly, the grant of this request for certification is consistent with past practice and procedure. The very same procedure and process undertaken by the TRA that is valid for designation of the Company to be eligible to receive funds is also rationally valid as the basis for the necessary certification that the Company *will* use those funds, once received, for the purposes for which they are intended. Again, for the Company and other rural ILECs, there are processes and safety mechanisms in place that include audits of the information that is submitted to USAC and FCC to ensure that the basis for the calculation of the funds is appropriate.

The proposed grant of certification for the Company, as requested herein, is also consistent with the certification mechanism the FCC has established for carriers that are not regulated by state commissions. The FCC in the FCC Order set out a self-certification mechanism for those carriers (tribal entities and others that are not regulated by state commissions). See 16 FCC Rcd at 11318 (para.189). The Company, as discussed above, represents to the TRA that it adheres to and complies with all required processes, and that its expenditures of USF funds will be consistent with the applicable rules and foster the provision of facilities and services for which the funding is intended. This representation and affirmation by the Company to the TRA is consistent with that required by the FCC with respect to certification of a carrier not subject to the authority of the State.


CONCLUSION

The need to respond to the FCC's certification requirement in a timely and efficient manner is critical to the Company and other rural incumbent telephone companies, their customers and the public interest in general. Pursuant to the FCC's rules, if certification is not accomplished by October 1, 2006, then support for universal service in the areas served by the Company and other rural carriers within the State of Tennessee will not be provided for at least the first quarter of 2007. The resulting need to address the company's revenue deficiencies is otherwise unnecessary provided that timely certification is achieved.

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 5


The Company provides the representation and affirmation set forth above, together with the background information in support of the certification request and that attached, in order to assist the TRA in the timely implementation of the FCC's certification requirement in a manner that is consistent with all applicable rules and regulations, the TRA's past practices and procedures, and the public interest. Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests that the TRA afford this request for certification pursuant to §54.314 of the FCC's rules and regulations expedited consideration and grant.

Sincerely,



Kevin G. Hess
Senior Vice President
Government & Regulatory Affairs

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 14th day of August, 2006.



Irmgard F. Metz, Notary Public
Dane County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires June 10, 2007.

**TDS TELECOM
TELLICO TELEPHONE COMPANY
2006 ETC CERTIFICATION FILING**

Schedule A

UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND REVENUES RECORDED DURING 2005

		<u>Amount</u>
Total USF Recorded in 2005	HCL	\$ 508,398
	LTS	-
	LSS	332,520
	ICLS	567,270
		<u>\$ 1,408,188</u>

Source: General Ledger, HCL Account 5082110, LSS and LTS embedded in 508.22, ICLS embedded in Acct. 5082.10

CAPITAL ADDITIONS RECORDED IN 2005

	<u>Additions</u>
General Support Assets	\$ 68,988
Central Office Assets	356,268
Cable & Wire Facilities	295,255
Total Capital Additions Recorded in 2005	<u>\$ 720,511</u>

Source: 2005 Tennessee Regulatory Authority Annual Report, Page 4

OPERATING EXPENSES

	<u>2005</u>
Network Support Expense	\$ 14,164
General Support Expense	114,762
Central Office Switching	110,917
Central Office Transmission	123,399
Cable & Wire Facilities	416,480
Network Operations	813,637
Depreciation and Amortization	2,080,103
Customer Operations	1,150,650
Corporate Operating	1,091,875
Operating Other Taxes	723,862
Total Operating Expenses	<u>\$ 6,639,849</u>

Source - 2005 TRA Annual Report, Pages 9,10 and 11

August 14, 2006

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
c/o Shara Dillon, Docket Manager
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
460 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Re: Concord Telephone Exchange, Inc. d/b/a TDS Telecom Self-Certification of
Eligibility to Receive Universal Service Funds for Fiscal Year 2007, Docket No.
06-00180

Dear Chairman Kyle:

This letter is submitted on behalf of Concord Telephone Exchange, Inc. d/b/a TDS Telecom, an independent rural Tennessee telephone company (the "Company"). The purpose of this letter is to request that, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.314 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "TRA") certify to the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") and to the FCC that the Company is eligible to continue to receive federal high cost support in calendar year 2007.

In compliance with Ms. Standley's correspondence dated August 1, 2006, and for the reasons stated below, the Company hereby certifies that all federal high cost support, including high cost loop support, local switching support, high cost support received pursuant to the purchase of exchanges, high cost model support, and hold harmless support, that the Company is eligible to receive will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with 47 U.S.C. §254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Also in accordance with Ms. Standley's correspondence, the Company attaches information regarding the amount of federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") it received for Fiscal Year 2005 (Attachment A), and how those funds were allocated.

As discussed below, the needed certification is the result of FCC action and is required by October 1, 2006. In the absence of the certification, a rural telephone company would be deprived of its federal support for universal service at least through the first quarter of 2007. Because of this potential adverse impact on the Company, its customers, and Tennessee, the Company respectfully requests the TRA issue this certification, which is consistent with all lawful requirements, the past practice and procedure of the TRA, and the public interest.

INTRODUCTION

The need for the above-described certification by the TRA arises as a result of the FCC's action in its docket, *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of*

Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-45 and Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-256, CC Docket No. 96-45/CC Docket No. 00-256, 16 FCC Rcd 11244 (2001)(“FCC Order”).

Specifically, the FCC adopted a requirement codified in 47 C.F.R. §54.314 that State Commissions must file an annual certification with the USAC and the FCC stating “that all federal high-cost support provided to such carriers [rural incumbent local exchange carriers and competitive carriers classified as eligible telecommunications carriers serving lines in an area of a rural incumbent local exchange carrier] will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.” The FCC did not specify a mechanism under which that certification might be accomplished. Rather, the FCC left it open for the State Commissions and the carriers to develop an appropriate mechanism, and the TRA has done just that.

In previous years, the TRA has properly relied on the submission of the Company that demonstrated the method by which it received federal Universal Service Fund (“USF”) disbursements. This demonstration, which is also provided below, fully satisfies the Company’s obligation to demonstrate that its federal USF disbursements are used in a manner provided for in Section 254(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,¹ and Section 54.314 of the FCC’s rules.

Accordingly, developing this request for certification, the Company has compiled information regarding the extent to which checks and balances currently exist governing the Company and all other interstate “average schedule” rural incumbent local exchange carriers receiving universal service support. The operation of these processes, as described in the “Background” section below, ensure that the USF directed to the Independent is both properly quantified and utilized in accordance with the provision and maintenance of the facilities and services for which the federal USF is intended.

BACKGROUND

The federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other rural incumbent local exchange companies that are the subject of this certification are divided into two categories: Local Switching Support (“LSS”); and High Cost Loop Support (“HCLS”). The FCC in conjunction with the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service has created each of these mechanisms. This means that representatives from State Commissions have also been involved in the development of these mechanisms through their representation in the Joint Board process.

LSS rules established by the FCC use the embedded costs of the rural ILECs associated with switching investments, depreciation, maintenance, expenses, taxes and an FCC established rate of return. Again, this is based upon certified cost studies submitted by each rural ILEC and reviewed by NECA or FCC-prescribed average schedule formulae. This amount is used to offset the rural ILECs’ interstate switching revenue requirement.

¹ Section 254(e) of the Act states that a carrier that receives federal USF “shall use that support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.”

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 3

difference between that revenue requirement, again as set forth in the company's annual interstate cost study, makes up the switching rate which is charged to interexchange carriers.

The HCLS for rural ILECs is based upon each company's embedded, unseparated loop costs or application of the average schedule formulae. These costs are calculated using a set of complex algorithms approved by the FCC, the inputs for which are scrutinized by NECA. Pursuant to the FCC Order, safety net additive support is support above the HCLS cap for carriers that make significant investment in rural infrastructure in years in which HCLS is capped. To receive safety net additive support, a rural carrier must show that growth in telecommunications plant in service (TPIS) per line is at least 14 percent greater than the study area's TPIS in the prior year. Carriers seeking to qualify for safety net additive support must provide written notice to USAC that a study area meets the 14 percent TPIS trigger.

All of these programs are administered through the USAC. USAC, as a private, not-for-profit corporation, is responsible for the administration of the federal USF that is established to provide every state and territory of the United States with access to affordable telecommunications service through the federal USF. USAC has contracted with NECA to assist in data collection necessary for the remittance of universal service funds. What this means is that each company submits, no less frequently than annually, detailed information requested by NECA in the USF data collection process. USF data used in the USF calculations by NECA must also be filed with the FCC in October of each year. This data contains the regulated financial inputs into the algorithm as well as the number of loops that will receive universal service support.

**CONCORD TELEPHONE EXCHANGE, INC. D/B/A TDS TELECOM
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT IT
QUALIFIES FOR THE NEEDED FOR §54.314 CERTIFICATION**

The Company respectfully submits that, given the number and nature of the checks and balances already in place, the TRA may lawfully and appropriately rely upon this self-certification by the Company. The requirements, procedures, and processes to which the Company adheres, as set forth above, provide the necessary and sufficient basis for the TRA to provide its certification to USAC and the FCC, and to thereby ensure that the Company and its customers will not be deprived of the USF funding to which the Company and its customers are entitled pursuant to all applicable rules and regulations. Essentially, under the existing rules and processes discussed above, the federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other incumbent rural telephone companies are, in fact, an integral part of the rural ILEC's recovery of expenditures incurred in the provision, maintenance and upgrading of its provision of universal service.

In many respects, the required certification process is very similar to the self-certifications that were involved in the designation of the rural ILECs as eligible telecommunications carriers ("ETCs") in the initial instance. The TRA, consistent with the practices of other state utility authorities throughout the nation, utilized this process in the initial designation of ETCs, thereby fulfilling its right, as established by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, to designate a carrier as an ETC.

Accordingly, the grant of this request for certification is consistent with past practice and procedure. The very same procedure and process undertaken by the TRA that is valid for designation of the Company to be eligible to receive funds is also rationally valid as the basis for the necessary certification that the Company *will* use those funds, once received, for the purposes for which they are intended. Again, for the Company and other rural ILECs, there are processes and safety mechanisms in place that include audits of the information that is submitted to USAC and FCC to ensure that the basis for the calculation of the funds is appropriate.

The proposed grant of certification for the Company, as requested herein, is also consistent with the certification mechanism the FCC has established for carriers that are not regulated by state commissions. The FCC in the FCC Order set out a self-certification mechanism for those carriers (tribal entities and others that are not regulated by state commissions). See 16 FCC Rcd at 11318 (para.189). The Company, as discussed above, represents to the TRA that it adheres to and complies with all required processes, and that its expenditures of USF funds will be consistent with the applicable rules and foster the provision of facilities and services for which the funding is intended. This representation and affirmation by the Company to the TRA is consistent with that required by the FCC with respect to certification of a carrier not subject to the authority of the State.


CONCLUSION

The need to respond to the FCC's certification requirement in a timely and efficient manner is critical to the Company and other rural incumbent telephone companies, their customers and the public interest in general. Pursuant to the FCC's rules, if certification is not accomplished by October 1, 2006, then support for universal service in the areas served by the Company and other rural carriers within the State of Tennessee will not be provided for at least the first quarter of 2007. The resulting need to address the company's revenue deficiencies is otherwise unnecessary provided that timely certification is achieved.

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 5


The Company provides the representation and affirmation set forth above, together with the background information in support of the certification request and that attached, in order to assist the TRA in the timely implementation of the FCC's certification requirement in a manner that is consistent with all applicable rules and regulations, the TRA's past practices and procedures, and the public interest. Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests that the TRA afford this request for certification pursuant to §54.314 of the FCC's rules and regulations expedited consideration and grant.

Sincerely,



Kevin G. Hess
Senior Vice President
Government & Regulatory Affairs

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 14th day of August, 2006.



Irmgard F. Metz, Notary Public
Dane County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires June 10, 2007.

**TDS TELECOM
CONCORD TELEPHONE COMPANY
2006 ETC CERTIFICATION FILING**

Schedule A

UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND REVENUES RECORDED DURING 2005

		<u>Amount</u>
Total USF Recorded in 2005	HCL	\$ -
	ICLS	960,172.00
	LSS	742,344.00
	LTS	-
		<u>\$ 1,702,516.00</u>

Source: General Ledger, HCL Account 5082110, LSS and LTS embedded in 508.22, ICLS embedded in Acct. 5082.10

CAPITAL ADDITIONS RECORDED IN 2005

	<u>Additions</u>
General Support Assets	\$ 206,318.00
Central Office Assets	825,189.00
Cable & Wire Facilities	737,474.00
Total Capital Additions Recorded in 2005	<u>\$ 1,768,981.00</u>

Source: 2005 Tennessee Regulatory Authority Annual Report, Page 4

OPERATING EXPENSES

	<u>2005</u>
Network Support Expense	\$ 33,978
General Support Expense	251,390
Central Office Switching	236,035
Central Office Transmission	200,695
Cable & Wire Facilities	727,627
Network Operations	1,172,864
Depreciation and Amortization	4,687,377
Customer Operations	2,758,050
Corporate Operating	2,835,257
Operating Other Taxes	2,076,673
Total Operating Expenses	<u>\$ 14,979,946.00</u>

Source - 2005 TRA Annual Report, Pages 9,10 and 11

August 14, 2006

TRA DOCKET ROOM

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
c/o Shara Dillon, Docket Manager
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
460 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Re: Tennessee Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom Self-Certification of
Eligibility to Receive Universal Service Funds for Fiscal Year 2007, Docket No.
06-00180

Dear Chairman Kyle:

This letter is submitted on behalf of Tennessee Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom, an independent rural Tennessee telephone company (the "Company"). The purpose of this letter is to request that, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.314 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "TRA") certify to the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") and to the FCC that the Company is eligible to continue to receive federal high cost support in calendar year 2007.

In compliance with Ms. Standley's correspondence date August 1, 2006, and for the reasons stated below, the Company hereby certifies that all federal high cost support, including high cost loop support, local switching support, high cost support received pursuant to the purchase of exchanges, high cost model support, and hold harmless support, that the Company is eligible to receive will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with 47 U.S.C. §254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Also in accordance with Ms. Standley's correspondence, the Company attaches information regarding the amount of federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") it received for Fiscal Year 2005 (Attachment A), and how those funds were allocated.

As discussed below, the needed certification is the result of FCC action and is required by October 1, 2006. In the absence of the certification, a rural telephone company would be deprived of its federal support for universal service at least through the first quarter of 2007. Because of this potential adverse impact on the Company, its customers, and Tennessee, the Company respectfully requests the TRA issue this certification, which is consistent with all lawful requirements, the past practice and procedure of the TRA, and the public interest.

INTRODUCTION

The need for the above-described certification by the TRA arises as a result of the FCC's action in its docket, *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of*

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 2

Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-45 and Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-256, CC Docket No. 96-45/CC Docket No. 00-256, 16 FCC Rcd 11244 (2001) ("FCC Order").

Specifically, the FCC adopted a requirement codified in 47 C.F.R. §54.314 that State Commissions must file an annual certification with the USAC and the FCC stating "that all federal high-cost support provided to such carriers [rural incumbent local exchange carriers and competitive carriers classified as eligible telecommunications carriers serving lines in an area of a rural incumbent local exchange carrier] will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended." The FCC did not specify a mechanism under which that certification might be accomplished. Rather, the FCC left it open for the State Commissions and the carriers to develop an appropriate mechanism, and the TRA has done just that.

In previous years, the TRA has properly relied on the submission of the Company that demonstrated the method by which it received federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") disbursements. This demonstration, which is also provided below, fully satisfies the Company's obligation to demonstrate that its federal USF disbursements are used in a manner provided for in Section 254(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,¹ and Section 54.314 of the FCC's rules.

Accordingly, developing this request for certification, the Company has compiled information regarding the extent to which checks and balances currently exist governing the Company and all other interstate "average schedule" rural incumbent local exchange carriers receiving universal service support. The operation of these processes, as described in the "Background" section below, ensure that the USF directed to the Independent is both properly quantified and utilized in accordance with the provision and maintenance of the facilities and services for which the federal USF is intended.

BACKGROUND

The federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other rural incumbent local exchange companies that are the subject of this certification are divided into two categories: Local Switching Support ("LSS"); and High Cost Loop Support ("HCLS"). The FCC in conjunction with the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service has created each of these mechanisms. This means that representatives from State Commissions have also been involved in the development of these mechanisms through their representation in the Joint Board process.

LSS rules established by the FCC use the embedded costs of the rural ILECs associated with switching investments, depreciation, maintenance, expenses, taxes and an FCC established rate of return. Again, this is based upon certified cost studies submitted by each rural ILEC and reviewed by NECA or FCC-prescribed average schedule formulae. This amount is used to offset the rural ILECs' interstate switching revenue requirement.

¹ Section 254(e) of the Act states that a carrier that receives federal USF "shall use that support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended."

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 3

difference between that revenue requirement, again as set forth in the company's annual interstate cost study, makes up the switching rate which is charged to interexchange carriers.

The HCLS for rural ILECs is based upon each company's embedded, unseparated loop costs or application of the average schedule formulae. These costs are calculated using a set of complex algorithms approved by the FCC, the inputs for which are scrutinized by NECA. Pursuant to the FCC Order, safety net additive support is support above the HCLS cap for carriers that make significant investment in rural infrastructure in years in which HCLS is capped. To receive safety net additive support, a rural carrier must show that growth in telecommunications plant in service (TPIS) per line is at least 14 percent greater than the study area's TPIS in the prior year. Carriers seeking to qualify for safety net additive support must provide written notice to USAC that a study area meets the 14 percent TPIS trigger.

All of these programs are administered through the USAC. USAC, as a private, not-for-profit corporation, is responsible for the administration of the federal USF that is established to provide every state and territory of the United States with access to affordable telecommunications service through the federal USF. USAC has contracted with NECA to assist in data collection necessary for the remittance of universal service funds. What this means is that each company submits, no less frequently than annually, detailed information requested by NECA in the USF data collection process. USF data used in the USF calculations by NECA must also be filed with the FCC in October of each year. This data contains the regulated financial inputs into the algorithm as well as the number of loops that will receive universal service support.

**TENNESSEE TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A TDS TELECOM
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT IT
QUALIFIES FOR THE NEEDED FOR §54.314 CERTIFICATION**

The Company respectfully submits that, given the number and nature of the checks and balances already in place, the TRA may lawfully and appropriately rely upon this self-certification by the Company. The requirements, procedures, and processes to which the Company adheres, as set forth above, provide the necessary and sufficient basis for the TRA to provide its certification to USAC and the FCC, and to thereby ensure that the Company and its customers will not be deprived of the USF funding to which the Company and its customers are entitled pursuant to all applicable rules and regulations. Essentially, under the existing rules and processes discussed above, the federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other incumbent rural telephone companies are, in fact, an integral part of the rural ILEC's recovery of expenditures incurred in the provision, maintenance and upgrading of its provision of universal service.

In many respects, the required certification process is very similar to the self-certifications that were involved in the designation of the rural ILECs as eligible telecommunications carriers ("ETCs") in the initial instance. The TRA, consistent with the practices of other state utility authorities throughout the nation, utilized this process in the initial designation of ETCs, thereby fulfilling its right, as established by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, to designate a carrier as an ETC.

Accordingly, the grant of this request for certification is consistent with past practice and procedure. The very same procedure and process undertaken by the TRA that is valid for designation of the Company to be eligible to receive funds is also rationally valid as the basis for the necessary certification that the Company *will* use those funds, once received, for the purposes for which they are intended. Again, for the Company and other rural ILECs, there are processes and safety mechanisms in place that include audits of the information that is submitted to USAC and FCC to ensure that the basis for the calculation of the funds is appropriate.

The proposed grant of certification for the Company, as requested herein, is also consistent with the certification mechanism the FCC has established for carriers that are not regulated by state commissions. The FCC in the FCC Order set out a self-certification mechanism for those carriers (tribal entities and others that are not regulated by state commissions). See 16 FCC Rcd at 11318 (para.189). The Company, as discussed above, represents to the TRA that it adheres to and complies with all required processes, and that its expenditures of USF funds will be consistent with the applicable rules and foster the provision of facilities and services for which the funding is intended. This representation and affirmation by the Company to the TRA is consistent with that required by the FCC with respect to certification of a carrier not subject to the authority of the State.


CONCLUSION

The need to respond to the FCC's certification requirement in a timely and efficient manner is critical to the Company and other rural incumbent telephone companies, their customers and the public interest in general. Pursuant to the FCC's rules, if certification is not accomplished by October 1, 2006, then support for universal service in the areas served by the Company and other rural carriers within the State of Tennessee will not be provided for at least the first quarter of 2007. The resulting need to address the company's revenue deficiencies is otherwise unnecessary provided that timely certification is achieved.

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 5


The Company provides the representation and affirmation set forth above, together with the background information in support of the certification request and that attached, in order to assist the TRA in the timely implementation of the FCC's certification requirement in a manner that is consistent with all applicable rules and regulations, the TRA's past practices and procedures, and the public interest. Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests that the TRA afford this request for certification pursuant to §54.314 of the FCC's rules and regulations expedited consideration and grant.

Sincerely,



Kevin G. Hess
Senior Vice President
Government & Regulatory Affairs

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 14th day of August, 2006.



Irmgard F. Metz, Notary Public
Dane County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires June 10, 2007

**TDS TELECOM
TENNESSEE TELEPHONE COMPANY
2006 ETC CERTIFICATION FILING**

Schedule A

UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND REVENUES RECORDED DURING 2005

		<u>Amount</u>
Total USF Recorded in 2005	HCL	\$ 1,022,294
	LSS	-
	LTS	-
	ICLS	2,402,106
		<u>\$ 3,424,400</u>

Source: General Ledger, HCL Account 5082110, LSS and LTS embedded in 508.22, ICLS embedded in Acct. 5082.10

CAPITAL ADDITIONS RECORDED IN 2005

	<u>Additions</u>
General Support Assets	\$ 298,910
Central Office Assets	1,749,626
Cable & Wire Facilities	1,628,614
Total Capital Additions Recorded in 2005	<u>\$ 3,677,150</u>

Source: 2005 Tennessee Regulatory Authority Annual Report, Page 4

OPERATING EXPENSES

	<u>2005</u>
Network Support Expense	\$ 109,978
General Support Expense	350,379
Central Office Switching	739,527
Central Office Transmission	405,683
Cable & Wire Facilities	2,183,295
Network Operations	5,173,334
Depreciation and Amortization	10,671,233
Customer Operations	7,044,549
Corporate Operating	8,042,893
Operating Other Taxes	4,924,206
Total Operating Expenses	<u>\$ 39,645,077</u>

Source - 2005 TRA Annual Report, Pages 9,10 and 11

August 14, 2006

2006 AUG 14 10:00 AM
TENN. JUDICIAL ROOM

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
c/o Shara Dillon, Docket Manager
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
460 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Re: Humphreys County Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom Self-Certification of
Eligibility to Receive Universal Service Funds for Fiscal Year 2007, Docket No.
06-00180

Dear Chairman Kyle:

This letter is submitted on behalf of Humphreys County Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom, an independent rural Tennessee telephone company (the "Company"). The purpose of this letter is to request that, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.314 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "TRA") certify to the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") and to the FCC that the Company is eligible to continue to receive federal high cost support in calendar year 2007.

In compliance with Ms. Standley's correspondence dated August 1, 2006, and for the reasons stated below, the Company hereby certifies that all federal high cost support, including high cost loop support, local switching support, high cost support received pursuant to the purchase of exchanges, high cost model support, and hold harmless support, that the Company is eligible to receive will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with 47 U.S.C. §254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Also in accordance with Ms. Standley's correspondence, the Company attaches information regarding the amount of federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") it received for Fiscal Year 2005 (Attachment A), and how those funds were allocated.

As discussed below, the needed certification is the result of FCC action and is required by October 1, 2006. In the absence of the certification, a rural telephone company would be deprived of its federal support for universal service at least through the first quarter of 2007. Because of this potential adverse impact on the Company, its customers, and Tennessee, the Company respectfully requests the TRA issue this certification, which is consistent with all lawful requirements, the past practice and procedure of the TRA, and the public interest.

INTRODUCTION

The need for the above-described certification by the TRA arises as a result of the FCC's action in its docket, *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of*

Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-45 and Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-256, CC Docket No. 96-45/CC Docket No. 00-256, 16 FCC Rcd 11244 (2001) ("FCC Order").

Specifically, the FCC adopted a requirement codified in 47 C.F.R. §54.314 that State Commissions must file an annual certification with the USAC and the FCC stating "that all federal high-cost support provided to such carriers [rural incumbent local exchange carriers and competitive carriers classified as eligible telecommunications carriers serving lines in an area of a rural incumbent local exchange carrier] will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended." The FCC did not specify a mechanism under which that certification might be accomplished. Rather, the FCC left it open for the State Commissions and the carriers to develop an appropriate mechanism, and the TRA has done just that.

In previous years, the TRA has properly relied on the submission of the Company that demonstrated the method by which it received federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") disbursements. This demonstration, which is also provided below, fully satisfies the Company's obligation to demonstrate that its federal USF disbursements are used in a manner provided for in Section 254(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,¹ and Section 54.314 of the FCC's rules.

Accordingly, developing this request for certification, the Company has compiled information regarding the extent to which checks and balances currently exist governing the Company and all other interstate "average schedule" rural incumbent local exchange carriers receiving universal service support. The operation of these processes, as described in the "Background" section below, ensure that the USF directed to the Independent is both properly quantified and utilized in accordance with the provision and maintenance of the facilities and services for which the federal USF is intended.

BACKGROUND

The federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other rural incumbent local exchange companies that are the subject of this certification are divided into two categories: Local Switching Support ("LSS"); and High Cost Loop Support ("HCLS"). The FCC in conjunction with the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service has created each of these mechanisms. This means that representatives from State Commissions have also been involved in the development of these mechanisms through their representation in the Joint Board process.

LSS rules established by the FCC use the embedded costs of the rural ILECs associated with switching investments, depreciation, maintenance, expenses, taxes and an FCC established rate of return. Again, this is based upon certified cost studies submitted by each rural ILEC and reviewed by NECA or FCC-prescribed average schedule formulae. This amount is used to offset the rural ILECs' interstate switching revenue requirement.

¹ Section 254(e) of the Act states that a carrier that receives federal USF "shall use that support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended."

difference between that revenue requirement, again as set forth in the company's annual interstate cost study, makes up the switching rate which is charged to interexchange carriers.

The HCLS for rural ILECs is based upon each company's embedded, unseparated loop costs or application of the average schedule formulae. These costs are calculated using a set of complex algorithms approved by the FCC, the inputs for which are scrutinized by NECA. Pursuant to the FCC Order, safety net additive support is support above the HCLS cap for carriers that make significant investment in rural infrastructure in years in which HCLS is capped. To receive safety net additive support, a rural carrier must show that growth in telecommunications plant in service (TPIS) per line is at least 14 percent greater than the study area's TPIS in the prior year. Carriers seeking to qualify for safety net additive support must provide written notice to USAC that a study area meets the 14 percent TPIS trigger.

All of these programs are administered through the USAC. USAC, as a private, not-for-profit corporation, is responsible for the administration of the federal USF that is established to provide every state and territory of the United States with access to affordable telecommunications service through the federal USF. USAC has contracted with NECA to assist in data collection necessary for the remittance of universal service funds. What this means is that each company submits, no less frequently than annually, detailed information requested by NECA in the USF data collection process. USF data used in the USF calculations by NECA must also be filed with the FCC in October of each year. This data contains the regulated financial inputs into the algorithm as well as the number of loops that will receive universal service support.

**HUMPHREYS COUNTY TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A TDS TELECOM
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT IT
QUALIFIES FOR THE NEEDED FOR §54.314 CERTIFICATION**

The Company respectfully submits that, given the number and nature of the checks and balances already in place, the TRA may lawfully and appropriately rely upon this self-certification by the Company. The requirements, procedures, and processes to which the Company adheres, as set forth above, provide the necessary and sufficient basis for the TRA to provide its certification to USAC and the FCC, and to thereby ensure that the Company and its customers will not be deprived of the USF funding to which the Company and its customers are entitled pursuant to all applicable rules and regulations. Essentially, under the existing rules and processes discussed above, the federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other incumbent rural telephone companies are, in fact, an integral part of the rural ILEC's recovery of expenditures incurred in the provision, maintenance and upgrading of its provision of universal service.

In many respects, the required certification process is very similar to the self-certifications that were involved in the designation of the rural ILECs as eligible telecommunications carriers ("ETCs") in the initial instance. The TRA, consistent with the practices of other state utility authorities throughout the nation, utilized this process in the initial designation of ETCs, thereby fulfilling its right, as established by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, to designate a carrier as an ETC.

Accordingly, the grant of this request for certification is consistent with past practice and procedure. The very same procedure and process undertaken by the TRA that is valid for designation of the Company to be eligible to receive funds is also rationally valid as the basis for the necessary certification that the Company *will* use those funds, once received, for the purposes for which they are intended. Again, for the Company and other rural ILECs, there are processes and safety mechanisms in place that include audits of the information that is submitted to USAC and FCC to ensure that the basis for the calculation of the funds is appropriate.

The proposed grant of certification for the Company, as requested herein, is also consistent with the certification mechanism the FCC has established for carriers that are not regulated by state commissions. The FCC in the FCC Order set out a self-certification mechanism for those carriers (tribal entities and others that are not regulated by state commissions). See 16 FCC Rcd at 11318 (para.189). The Company, as discussed above, represents to the TRA that it adheres to and complies with all required processes, and that its expenditures of USF funds will be consistent with the applicable rules and foster the provision of facilities and services for which the funding is intended. This representation and affirmation by the Company to the TRA is consistent with that required by the FCC with respect to certification of a carrier not subject to the authority of the State.


CONCLUSION

The need to respond to the FCC's certification requirement in a timely and efficient manner is critical to the Company and other rural incumbent telephone companies, their customers and the public interest in general. Pursuant to the FCC's rules, if certification is not accomplished by October 1, 2006, then support for universal service in the areas served by the Company and other rural carriers within the State of Tennessee will not be provided for at least the first quarter of 2007. The resulting need to address the company's revenue deficiencies is otherwise unnecessary provided that timely certification is achieved.

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman
TENNESEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
August 14, 2006
Page 5


The Company provides the representation and affirmation set forth above, together with the background information in support of the certification request and that attached, in order to assist the TRA in the timely implementation of the FCC's certification requirement in a manner that is consistent with all applicable rules and regulations, the TRA's past practices and procedures, and the public interest. Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests that the TRA afford this request for certification pursuant to §54.314 of the FCC's rules and regulations expedited consideration and grant.

Sincerely,



Kevin G. Hess
Senior Vice President
Government & Regulatory Affairs

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 14th day of August, 2006.



Irmgard F. Metz, Notary Public
Dane County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires June 10, 2007.

TDS TELECOM
HUMPHREYS COUNTY TELEPHONE COMPANY
2006 ETC CERTIFICATION FILING

Schedule A

UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND REVENUES RECORDED DURING 2005

		<u>Amount</u>
Total USF Recorded in 2005	HCL	\$ 149,899
	LSS	50,040
	LTS	-
	ICLS	99,882
		<u>\$ 299,821</u>

Source: General Ledger, HCL Account 5082110, LSS and LTS embedded in 508.22, ICLS embedded in Acct. 5082.10

CAPITAL ADDITIONS RECORDED IN 2005

	<u>Additions</u>
General Support Assets	\$ 21,341
Central Office Assets	116,480
Cable & Wire Facilities	13,191
Total Capital Additions Recorded in 2005	<u>\$ 151,012</u>

Source: 2005 Tennessee Regulatory Authority Annual Report, Page 4

OPERATING EXPENSES

	<u>2005</u>
Network Support Expense	\$ 4,459
General Support Expense	10,910
Central Office Switching	24,238
Central Office Transmission	31,459
Cable & Wire Facilities	67,017
Network Operations	175,699
Depreciation and Amortization	312,181
Customer Operations	165,503
Corporate Operating	235,759
Operating Other Taxes	290,836
Total Operating Expenses	<u>\$ 1,318,061</u>

Source- 2005 TRA Annual Report, Page 9, 10 and 11