

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

333 Commerce Street Suite 2101 Nashville, TN 37201-3300

joelle.phillips@bellsouth.com

Joelle J. Phillips
Senior State Operations Counsel

omor otate operations counse

615 214 6311 Fax 615 214 7406

November 1, 2006

filed electronically in docket office on 11/01/06

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Hon. Sara Kyle, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37238

Re:

dPi Teleconnect LLC v. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Docket No. 05-00310

Dear Chairman Kyle:

As you are aware, on February 6, 2006, the Authority granted the *Joint Motion for Abatement* filed by BellSouth and dPi. In that *Motion*, the parties agreed to abate the Tennessee proceeding until 30 days from the issuance of a dispositive order in the North Carolina docket. On October 12, 2006, the North Carolina Utilities Commission entered its dispositive order denying dPi's *Motion for Reconsideration*. BellSouth provided the Authority with a copy of that order on October 20.

I am in receipt of the October 30, 2006 letter on behalf of dPi, in which dPi asserts that "no action should be taken on this case until a final decision is rendered in [dPi's] appeal [of the North Carolina Utilities Commission order]." The Joint Motion for Abatement specifically referenced abatement until 30 days following a dispositive order issued in the case that was pending before the Commission in North Carolina. In fact, the North Carolina Commission case was specifically cited in the Joint Motion for clarity. BellSouth did not agree to hold the matter in abatement while dPi appealed the order of the Commission in North Carolina, and BellSouth does not agree to do so now.

This matter has now been pending for nearly a year, and dPi should be prepared to proceed. Given the end of the abeyance period, it is now time for dPi to either act on its complaint or to dismiss it. At this point, if the Tennessee complaint is not dismissed, then BellSouth is entitled to put on evidence, as it did in North Carolina, establishing that dPi is not entitled to any relief. BellSouth should not be delayed further in bringing this matter to resolution

Hon. Sara Kyle, Chairman November 1, 2006 Page 2

Accordingly, BellSouth requests that this matter be assigned to a hearing officer and an immediate status conference be convened at which a procedural schedule can be established to bring this matter to a close.

Cordially,

Jøelle Phillips

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	·	1, 2006, a copy of the foregoing
document was served on the following, via the method indicated:		
[]	Hand	Christopher Malish
[]	Mail	Foster Malish Blair & Cowan LLP
[]	Facsimile	1403 W. Sixth Street
	Overnight	Austin, TX 78703
X	Electronic	chrismalish@fostermalish.com