

**BEFORE THE
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
DOCKET NO. 05-00258
PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
GREG WALLER**

**On Behalf of
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION**

I. POSITION AND QUALIFICATIONS

1

2 **Q. Please state your name, position and business address.**

3 A. My name is Greg Waller. I am Vice President of Finance for Atmos Energy
4 Corporation's Kentucky and Mid-States Divisions. My business address is 810
5 Crescent Centre Drive, Suite 600, Franklin, TN 37067.

6 **Q. Please briefly describe your current responsibilities, and professional and
7 educational background.**

8 A. I am responsible for monitoring and analyzing the financial performance of the
9 Kentucky and Mid-States Divisions, and implementing necessary actions based
10 on those results. I also direct the development of the Division's annual budget.
11 Other responsibilities include establishing and maintaining policy, procedures,
12 and controls to ensure compliance with Corporate Accounting policies, Generally
13 Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), and regulatory requirements. I am a
14 1994 graduate of Dartmouth College, with a degree in economics, and I also hold
15 an MBA from the University of Texas. I began my career as a management
16 consultant for Towers Perin working with several oil and gas clients. In 2003, I

1 joined Atmos in the Planning and Budgeting department, and have been in my
2 current role as VP of Finance since November 2005.

3 **Q. Have you ever testified before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority or any**
4 **other regulatory commission?**

5 A. No.

6 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

7 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this Contested Case?**

8 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the Company's Net Operating Income
9 for the attrition period 12 months ended September 30, 2007. I will also explain
10 the operational and accounting changes, and the financial impact to Atmos'
11 Tennessee customers, that will result from the Company's decision to combine
12 the Mid-States and Kentucky Divisions on October 1, 2006.

13 **Q. Are you sponsoring any Schedules in this case?**

14 A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following Schedules:

15 **Schedule GW-1** – Income Statements for the 12 months ended September 30,
16 2005 and September 30, 2007.

17 **Schedule GW-2** – Detail supporting attrition period Gross Profit.

18 **Schedule GW-3** – Detail supporting attrition period Operation and Maintenance
19 expense (unadjusted).

20 **III. NET OPERATING INCOME**

21 **Q. What is the Company's total Net Operating Income for the historical period**
22 **12 months ended September 30, 2005, and the attrition period 12 months**
23 **ended September 30, 2007?**

1 A. As found in Schedule GW-1, line 11, column (A), the historical test period Net
2 Operating Income is \$14,985,887. The total Net Operating Income for the
3 attrition period is \$13,690,862, found in Schedule GW-1, line 11, column (B).

4 **Q. What is the Company's total Gross Profit (Operating Revenues less the Cost**
5 **of Gas) for the historical period 12 months ended September 30, 2005, and**
6 **the total Gross Profit for the attrition period 12 months ended September 30,**
7 **2007?**

8 A. As found in Schedule GW-1, line 3, column (A), the historical test period Gross
9 Profit is \$52,855,677. The total Gross Profit for the attrition period is
10 \$52,080,237, found in Schedule GW-1, line 3, column (B).

11 **Q. Please describe the process of projecting Gross Profit for the attrition period.**

12 A. In order to minimize the length of the period being projected and utilize the most
13 current actual data available, the Company used twelve months ended May 31,
14 2006 as the starting point of the analysis. Detailed billing determinants were used
15 to arrive at the base margin of \$50,331,624 (excluding adjustments for WNA,
16 late/service fees, Barnsley Storage fee) in Schedule GW-2, line 94, column (f).
17 To project the margin forward through the end of the attrition period, the volumes
18 were adjusted to reflect (1) known changes in large volume customer usage,
19 (2) expected changes in customer levels through the attrition period and (3)
20 expected changes in customer usage levels through the attrition period. The
21 resulting billing determinants were then priced out at current rates, to yield a
22 margin of \$50,750,025 in Schedule GW-2, line 94, column (s). Adjustments were
23 made to include the actual WNA margin (12 months ended May 31, 2006) of

1 \$1,419,139 (line 96), the projected late/service fees of \$1,729,207 (line 97), and
2 the annual Barnsley Storage fees of (\$1,818,133) (line 99) to arrive at the total
3 Gross Profit of \$52,080,237 (line 100).

4 **Q. Please describe the adjustments made for large volume customers in the**
5 **attrition period.**

6 A. The Company included known changes for every industrial and transportation
7 customer. Customer counts and volumes were adjusted to reflect plant closings,
8 planned outages, or any other change in consumption patterns projected during
9 the attrition period. Details concerning the actual adjustments by rate code, can
10 be found in Schedule GW-2.

11 **Q. Please summarize the adjustments made for customer levels in the attrition**
12 **period.**

13 A. As described in the Company's response to DR #10 of the First Joint Discovery of
14 the Staff/CAD, customer levels for the attrition period are projected by customer
15 class using historical data and anticipated net growth rates. For the period 4/1/06
16 through 9/30/06, the company used previously budgeted customer levels as
17 projections. Details concerning adjustments to the number of bills in the attrition
18 period can be found in Schedule GW-2.

19 **Q. Please summarize the adjustments made for customer usage levels in the**
20 **attrition period.**

21 A. The Company made adjustments to projected residential volumes to compensate
22 for the decline in consumption experienced over the last several years. There are
23 several reasons behind the decline in consumption including the purchase of

1 higher efficiency gas appliances and “weatherizing” the home, by adding
2 insulation and weather stripping. The average rate of decline for Atmos’
3 Tennessee customers has been approximately 1.5%, which is the amount of
4 decline assumed in the calculation of the attrition period residential volumes
5 found in Schedule GW-2.

6 **Q. What is the Company’s total Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expense**
7 **for the historical period 12 months ended September 30, 2005, and the total**
8 **O&M expense for the attrition period 12 months ended September 30, 2007?**

9 A. As found in Schedule GW-1, line 6, column (A), the historical test period
10 Operation and Maintenance expense is \$14,044,226. The total Operation and
11 Maintenance expense for the attrition period is \$14,309,933 found in Schedule
12 GW-1, line 6, column (B).

13 **Q. Please describe the process of projecting the Company’s O&M expense for**
14 **the attrition period.**

15 A. In order to project O&M expense for the attrition period, the company started
16 with the total projected expense for the 12 month period ended September 30,
17 2006. In this period, 8 months of actual data was used through May, along with
18 projected data for the last 4 months in the period. A reasonable increase
19 percentage adjustment was then added to the projected FY06 O&M expense, by
20 category, to arrive at the total O&M expense (before adjustments) for the attrition
21 period. The increase percentages are found in Schedule GW-3, column (B), and
22 an explanation of each increase by category and by division can be found in the
23 Company’s response to DR 25 of the First Joint Discovery of the Staff/CAD. In

1 addition, please see the adjustments to O&M found in Schedule THP-4, lines 15-
2 19.

3 **Q. Are there any adjustments O&M that you would like to explain?**

4 A. Yes, pursuant to Docket No. 94-02529, the Company received Commission
5 authorization in 1994 to defer all costs related to environmental control
6 requirements that were mandated by various federal and state agencies. The order
7 specified that there would be no ratemaking treatment at that time, but the
8 appropriate disposition of these costs would be determined in the Company's next
9 rate proceeding. Since the order, Atmos has worked to clean up a Manufactured
10 Gas Plant (MGP) site in Bristol, and has met requirements concerning five
11 underground storage tank sites in Tennessee. These costs have been deferred as
12 specified in the order, and have accumulated to a total of \$1,233,407. In addition,
13 Atmos is in the final phases of the clean up of a Johnson City MGP site, and
14 projects to spend approximately \$680,000 on the project during the attrition
15 period in this case. The Company believes it is entitled to full recovery of the
16 historical and projected FY07 expenses totaling \$1,913,407, and is seeking to
17 include this expense in this case. Atmos is flexible concerning the treatment of
18 this expense, but would recommend a three year amortization beginning Oct 1,
19 2006. The proposed amortization during the attrition period can be found in
20 Schedule THP-4, line 18. Adjustments will be made to this amortization as
21 necessary to account for any variation from the Company's projected FY07
22 expense.

1 **Q. What is the Company's total Depreciation & Amoritization expense for the**
2 **historical period 12 months ended September 30, 2005, and the total**
3 **Depreciation & Amoritization expense for the attrition period 12 months**
4 **ended September 30, 2007?**

5 A. As found in Schedule GW-1, line 7, column (A), the historical test period
6 Depreciation expense is \$11,521,370. The total Depreciation expense for the
7 attrition period is \$12,758,460 found in Schedule GW-1, line 7, column (B).

8 **Q. Please describe any adjustments made to the Depreciation & Amoritization**
9 **expense.**

10 A. To project the Depreciation expense in the attrition period, the Company started
11 with the total projected expense for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006 as
12 shown in the response to DR 3 of the First Joint Discovery of the Staff/CAD.
13 This amount was adjusted based on anticipated capital investment in Tennessee
14 during the attrition period. Additional adjustments were also made for proposed
15 Shared Service (SSU) depreciation rates, Pro-forma allocation factors, and the
16 elimination of inter-company leased property.

17 **Q. What is the Company's total Taxes Other Than Income expense for the**
18 **historical period 12 months ended September 30, 2005, and the total Taxes**
19 **Other Than Income expense for the attrition period 12 months ended**
20 **September 30, 2007?**

21 A. As found in Schedule GW-1, line 8, column (A), the historical test period Taxes
22 Other Than Income expense is \$6,044,532. The total Taxes Other Than Income

1 expense for the attrition period is \$6,090,833 found in Schedule GW-1, line 8,
2 column (B).

3 **Q. Please describe any adjustments made to Taxes Other Than Income expense.**

4 A. The Taxes Other Than Income expense was calculated by taking the projection
5 for the 12 month period ended September 30, 2006, and adding any known and/or
6 anticipated changes during the attrition period. In addition, please see the
7 adjustment to Taxes Other in Schedule THP-5, line 5.

8 **Q. Please describe any adjustments made to Income Tax expense.**

9 A. The Income Tax calculation for the attrition period is shown in THP, WP 10-1.

10 **IV. EMPLOYEE HEAD COUNTS**

11 **Q. Please comment on any anticipated changes to employee levels in TN during**
12 **the attrition period.**

13 A. As discussed in the Company's response to DR 18 of the First Joint Discovery of
14 Staff/CAD, employee levels have remained relatively flat since September 30,
15 2005. Since that time, the Company has experienced normal levels of attrition
16 and has filled open positions when necessary. As customer growth continues to
17 remain strong, employees will be added to appropriately compensate for the
18 increasing workload. However, Atmos does not anticipate any other material
19 changes to employee levels at this time.

20 **V. KENTUCKY AND MID-STATES DIVISION CHANGES**

21 **Q. Does Atmos plan to combine the Kentucky and Mid States Divisions?**

22 A. Yes, on October 1, 2006 the two divisions will be renamed and operate as one
23 division.

1 **Q. Will there be any known operational changes resulting from the decision to**
2 **combine the two Divisions?**

3 A. Currently, there are no plans for any major operational changes. However,
4 combining the two Divisions will allow for some restructuring of responsibilities
5 among the Officers and Managers of the Divisions. The Company began
6 implementing some of these changes in early 2005. Upon the retirement of the
7 Mid-States Division President in January 2005, John Paris, the President of the
8 Kentucky Division and Company witness in this case, immediately took over as
9 President over both divisions. In addition, the Kentucky Vice President of
10 Finance retired in April 2006, and the decision was made to continue to operate
11 both divisions with one VP of Finance. For the purpose of supervising the
12 Operations of both Divisions, the Mid-States Division is divided in two regions,
13 with one Operations Vice President responsible for the Central region and one for
14 the Eastern region. The Kentucky Division also operates with two Operations
15 Vice Presidents. In June 2006, Mid-State's Eastern Region Operations VP
16 retired, which will make allowance for several changes beginning October 1,
17 2006. The newly combined Division will operate with only three Operations Vice
18 Presidents, who will be responsible for the Northern, Central, and Southern
19 regions. Some managers under these officers will also be assigned new areas of
20 responsibility. Currently, these are the only planned changes that will take effect
21 in FY 2007. There are no other plans to close or consolidate any offices, move
22 employees, or make other physical changes to the operations of the new Division
23 at this time. Any other increased efficiencies and cost savings that can be

1 generated from the process of combining the two Divisions will be carefully
2 considered and implemented if found to be of benefit to the Company and its
3 customers.

4 **Q. Will there be any known accounting and/or allocation changes that result**
5 **from the decision to combine the two Divisions?**

6 A. Yes, when the decision was made to combine the two Divisions, the Company
7 determined that the most logical and accurate approach to cost allocations was to
8 eliminate certain rate divisions and combine others. This simplified approach will
9 remove one layer of allocations entirely, while ensuring that each rate jurisdiction
10 served by the newly combined Division office will receive a fair and accurate
11 share of the cost. Currently, both Mid-States and Kentucky have a Division
12 Headquarters general office that allocates shared administrative costs to the
13 various states (Kentucky – Div 9, Mid-States-Div 91). In addition to these
14 allocations, Mid-States also allocates costs from two regional offices. Division 88
15 is the Central Region general office, which typically supports the Company's
16 operations in Tennessee, Missouri, Iowa, and Illinois. Division 90 is the Eastern
17 Region general office, which supports operations in Tennessee, Virginia and
18 Georgia. Kentucky does not currently have any regional general offices. Both
19 the division headquarters and the regional offices in Mid-States and Kentucky use
20 "number of customers" to allocate O&M expenses. Depreciation and Taxes Other
21 than Income, are allocated based on "gross plant". Further detail concerning these
22 allocation factors is available in the Cost Allocation Manual (CAM) attached to
23 Mr. Meziere's testimony. Effective October 1, 2006 the Company will no longer

1 utilize rate divisions 88 and 90 for accounting purposes, but will combine the
2 Mid-States (Div 91) and Kentucky (Div 9) division headquarter offices, for
3 accounting purposes, to create one central rate division that houses all of the
4 newly combined Division's administrative costs. In other words, the Company
5 will keep these offices open physically, but will only house and allocate costs
6 from one central administrative rate division. The Company will then use a
7 composite allocation factor to allocate costs to all states served by the new rate
8 division, instead of the current methodology mentioned on lines 18 through 21 of
9 page 10 above. The composite factor methodology is further described in the
10 direct testimony of Jim Cagle. One change outside of the Tennessee operations,
11 which will have a small impact on the composite factor, is the decision to move
12 the Company's operations in Butler, Missouri (Div 71) from Mid-States to
13 Atmos' Colorado/Kansas Division. This represents a very small number of
14 customers, and thus will not have a material impact on the composite allocation
15 factors.

16 **Q. Why does the Company believe that combining the Kentucky and Mid-States**
17 **Headquarter offices into one division, for allocation purposes, is**
18 **appropriate?**

19 **A.** This approach will consolidate the two layers of cost allocations into one, while
20 providing a uniform, logically sound methodology. Furthermore, there will no
21 longer be employees who provide support for the Kentucky operations only, or
22 the Mid-States operations alone. Atmos believes that all costs charged to the new
23 Division Headquarters can and should be allocated to the states using the

1 “composite allocation factor”, since each Division Officer and all other
2 employees in this rate division, will provide direction, administrative support, and
3 various services for all states throughout the new division.

4 **Q. How will the decision to combine the two divisions impact the Company’s**
5 **customers financially?**

6 A. The impact to the revenue requirement resulting from the accounting changes, are
7 itemized in Schedules THP-WP 4-2, 5-1, and 6-1. In combining the divisions, the
8 company has identified efficiency savings of approximately \$475,000, and this
9 amount has been removed from the FY07 O&M allocation to the Company’s
10 Kentucky-Mid-States jurisdictions. This level of savings represents only the labor
11 and benefits associated with key management positions, which would have been
12 duplicated in the combined division. Therefore the level of savings included is
13 conservative. When the composite allocation factor projected for the attrition
14 period is applied to the realized savings, the result is approximately \$131,000 in
15 reduced expense to Tennessee customers.

16 **VI. Conclusions**

17 **Q. Do you believe that the Net Operating Income presented in this case, for the**
18 **attrition period 12 months ended September 30, 2007, includes the most**
19 **reasonable and accurate projections available?**

20 A. Yes I do.

21 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

22 A. Yes.

Atmos Energy Corporation - Tennessee Operations
Comparative Statements of Income
Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2005 and September 30, 2007 (Attrition Period)

Line No.	Description	(A) 12 months ended September 30, 2005	(B) 12 months ended September 30, 2007
1	Operating Revenues	\$170,087,139	\$195,166,303
2	Cost of Gas	117,231,462	143,086,066
3	Gross Profit	<u>\$52,855,677</u>	<u>\$52,080,237</u>
4	Operation and Maintenance Expense (unadjusted)	14,044,226	15,396,515
5	(Adjustments from THP-4)	0	(1,086,582)
6	Total Adjusted Operation and Maintenance Expenses	<u>14,044,226</u>	<u>14,309,933</u>
7	Depreciation Expense	11,521,370	12,758,460
8	Taxes Other than Income	6,044,532	6,090,833
9	Income Taxes	<u>6,259,662</u>	<u>5,230,057</u>
10	Total Operating Expenses	<u>\$37,869,790</u>	<u>\$38,389,283</u>
11	Net Operating Income	\$14,985,887	\$13,690,954

Tennessee Distribution System
Summary of Revenue at Present Rates
Actual Twelve Months Ended May 31, 2006 and Attrition Period

Docket No. 05-00258
Schedule GW-2

Line No.	Description	Actual Number of Bills	Volumes Ccf	Present Rates	Commodity Charge	Present Revenue	Customer Growth		Customer Growth Adj. Volumes	Customer Growth ADJ./REVENUES		Declining Usage Volumes	Total Adjusted Number of Bills	Total Adjusted Volumes	Total Adjusted Revenue
							(h)	(i)		(f)	(g)		(n)	(m)	
1	RESIDENTIAL														
2	210 RGS SUMMER	533,966	7,528,028	\$6.00	\$0.2257	\$4,902,871	7,872	110,986	7,639,014	\$4,975,155		(114,585)	541,838	7,524,429	4,949,293
3	210 RGS WINTER	763,223	55,451,574	\$6.00	\$0.2657	\$19,312,823	11,006	799,664	56,251,238	\$19,591,238		(843,769)	774,230	55,407,469	19,367,143
4	211 HVAC	24	5,673	\$6.00	\$0.0996	\$709	0	0	5,673	\$709			24	5,673	709
5	Total Residential	1,297,213	62,985,275			\$24,216,403	18,879	910,650	63,895,925	\$24,567,196		(958,354)	1,316,092	62,937,571	24,317,145
6															
7	COMMERCIAL														
8	220 COMMIND GS	179,272	48,222,655	\$12.00	\$0.2625	\$14,809,713	7,252	1,921,648	50,144,304	\$15,401,167			186,524	50,144,304	15,401,167
9	211 HVAC	37	6,377	\$6.00	\$0.2657	\$1,916	(13)	(2,241)	4,136	\$1,243			24	4,136	1,243
10	293 LRG TONN HVAC GS	12	181,701	\$25.00	\$0.1173	\$21,612	0	0	181,701	\$21,612			12	181,701	21,612
11	240 DEMAND/COMM GS	42		\$310.00		\$13,020	(30)	0	0	\$3,720			12	0	3,720
12	Block 1 Volumes		240,000		\$0.0996	\$23,904			240,000	\$23,904			0	240,000	23,904
13	Block 2 Volumes		97,370		\$0.0671	\$6,534			97,370	\$6,534			0	97,370	6,534
14	Block 3 Volumes		0		\$0.0329	\$0			0	\$0			0	0	0
15	Demand Volumes		22,200		\$1.6293	\$36,170			22,200	\$36,170			0	22,200	36,170
16	Total Commercial	179,363	48,770,303			\$14,912,869	7,209	1,919,408	50,689,711	\$15,494,350		0	186,572	50,689,711	15,494,350
17															
18	INDUSTRIAL														
19	220 COMMIND GS	3,390	5,216,256	\$12.00	\$0.2625	\$1,409,941	139	213,144	5,429,400	\$1,467,554			3,528	5,429,400	1,467,554
20	230 LRG COM/IND GS	224	2,687,453	\$200.00	\$0.2261	\$652,433	(14)	174,034	2,861,487	\$688,982			210	2,861,487	688,982
21	250 OPT GS (Handbills)	84		\$310.00		\$26,040	(60)		0	\$7,440				0	0
22	Block 1 Volumes		480,000		\$0.0996	\$47,808		(46,404)	433,596	\$43,186				433,596	43,186
23	Block 2 Volumes		1,062,870		\$0.0671	\$71,319		(102,754)	960,116	\$64,424				960,116	64,424
24	Block 3 Volumes		0		\$0.0329	\$0			0	\$0				0	0
25	Demand Volumes		31,920		\$1.6293	\$52,007			31,920	\$52,007				31,920	52,007
26	250 OPT GS	621		\$310.00		\$192,395	27		0	\$200,880			648	0	200,880
27	Block 1 Volumes		6,427,931		\$0.0996	\$640,222		283,474	6,711,405	\$668,456				6,711,405	668,456
28	Block 2 Volumes		7,730,222		\$0.0671	\$518,698		340,905	8,071,127	\$541,573				8,071,127	541,573
29	Block 3 Volumes		0		\$0.0329	\$0			0	\$0				0	0
30	280 - ECONOMIC DEV GS	22		\$310.00		\$6,820	2		0	\$7,440			24	0	7,440
31	Block 1 Volumes		428,200		\$0.0747	\$31,987		38,927	467,127	\$34,894				467,127	34,894
32	Block 2 Volumes		823,320		\$0.0503	\$41,413		74,847	898,167	\$45,178				898,167	45,178
33	Block 3 Volumes		0		\$0.0247	\$0			0	\$0				0	0
34	292 COGEN	24		\$25.00		\$600	0		0	\$600			24	0	600
35	Block 1 Volumes		271,820		0.0996	\$27,073			271,820	\$27,073				271,820	27,073
36	Block 2 Volumes		4,581		0.0671	\$307			4,581	\$307				4,581	307
37	Block 3 Volumes		0		0.0329	\$0			0	\$0				0	0
38		4,364	25,164,573			\$3,719,063	94	976,174	26,140,747	\$3,849,994		0		26,140,747	3,842,554
39															
40	PUBLIC AUTHORITY														
41	225 PAG GS - SUMMER	4,000	476,411	\$6.00	\$0.2257	\$131,526			476,411	\$131,526			4,000	476,411	131,526
42	225 PAG GS - WINTER	2,068	365,852	\$6.00	\$0.2657	\$109,615			365,852	\$109,615			2,068	365,852	109,615
43	211 HVAC	5	212	\$6.00	\$0.0996	\$51			212	\$51			5	212	51
44	221 EXPERIMENTAL SGS	73	657,426	\$25.00	\$0.1000	\$67,568			657,426	\$67,568			73	657,426	67,568
45		6,146	1,499,901			\$308,760	0	0	1,499,901	\$308,760		0	6,146	1,499,901	308,760
46															
47	TRANSPORTATION														
48	260 - TRANSP (230 LRG COM/INDG)	337	7,076,714	\$310.00	\$0.2261	\$1,704,515			7,076,714	\$1,704,515			337	7,076,714	1,704,515
49	260 - TRANSP (230 LRG COM/INDG) - Saturn	12	13,070,610	\$22,287.04	\$0.0000	\$267,444			13,070,610	\$267,444			12	13,070,610	267,444
50	260 - TRANSP (230 LRG COM/INDG Handbills)	12	227,420	\$310.00	\$0.2261	\$55,140			227,420	\$55,140			12	227,420	55,140
51	260 - TRANSP (240 DEMAND/COMM GS Handbills)	12		\$310.00		\$3,720			0	\$3,720			12	0	3,720
52	Block 1 Volumes		240,000		\$0.0996	\$23,904			240,000	\$23,904				240,000	23,904

Tennessee Distribution System
Summary of Revenue at Present Rates
Actual Twelve Months Ended May 31, 2006 and Attrition Period

Docket No. 05-00258
Schedule GW-2

Line No.	Description	Actual Number of Bills	Volumes Ccf	Present Rates	Commodity Charge	Present Revenue	Customer Growth Number of Bills	Customer Growth Volumes	Customer Growth Adj Volumes	Customer Growth ADJ REVENUES	1.50% Declining Usage Volumes	Total Adjusted Number of Bills	Total Adjusted Volumes	Total Adjusted Revenue
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)
53	Block 2 Volumes	430,570		\$0.0671	\$28,891				430,570	\$28,891			430,570	28,891
54	Block 3 Volumes			\$0.0329	\$0				0	\$0			0	0
55	Demand Volumes	40,003		\$1.6293	\$65,177				40,003	\$65,177			40,003	65,177
56	260 - TRANSP (240 DEMAND/COMM GS Handbills)	24	\$310.00		\$7,440				0	\$7,440		24	366,957	7,440
57	Block 1 Volumes	366,957		\$0.0747	\$27,412				366,957	\$27,412			366,957	27,412
58	Block 2 Volumes	549,000		\$0.0503	\$27,615				549,000	\$27,615			549,000	27,615
59	Block 3 Volumes	0		\$0.0246	\$0				0	\$0			0	0
60	Demand Volumes	79,860		\$1.2220	\$97,589				79,860	\$97,589			79,860	97,589
61	260 - TRANSP (250 OPT GS MASS METER)	24	\$310.00		\$7,440				0	\$7,440		24	7,440	7,440
62	Block 1 Volumes	141,520		\$0.0996	\$14,095				141,520	\$14,095			141,520	14,095
63	Block 2 Volumes	123,680		\$0.0671	\$8,299				123,680	\$8,299			123,680	8,299
64	Block 3 Volumes	0		\$0.0329	\$0				0	\$0			0	0
65	260 - TRANSP (250 OPT GS)	420	\$310.00		\$130,200		(3)	(72,000)	0	\$129,270		417	129,270	129,270
66	Block 1 Volumes	7,367,561		\$0.0996	\$733,809				7,295,561	\$726,638			7,295,561	726,638
67	Block 2 Volumes	21,644,358		\$0.0671	\$1,452,336			(2,270,650)	19,373,708	\$1,299,976			19,373,708	1,299,976
68	Block 3 Volumes	0		\$0.0329	\$0				0	\$0		80	0	0
69	260 - TRANSP (250 OPT GS Handbills)	80	\$310.00		\$24,800				0	\$24,800			0	24,800
70	Block 1 Volumes	1,620,000		\$0.0996	\$161,352				1,620,000	\$161,352			1,620,000	161,352
71	Block 2 Volumes	7,320,470		\$0.0671	\$491,204				7,320,470	\$491,204			7,320,470	491,204
72	Block 3 Volumes	0		\$0.0329	\$0				0	\$0			0	0
73	280 - ECONOMIC DEV GS	12	\$310.00		\$3,720				0	\$3,720		12	0	3,720
74	Block 1 Volumes	208,920		\$0.0747	\$15,606				208,920	\$15,606			208,920	15,606
75	Block 2 Volumes	1,124,400		\$0.0503	\$56,557				1,124,400	\$56,557			1,124,400	56,557
76	Block 3 Volumes	0		\$0.0247	\$0				0	\$0			0	0
77	280 - ECONOMIC DEV GS (Handbills)	12	\$310.00		\$3,720				0	\$3,720		12	0	3,720
78	Block 1 Volumes	240,000		\$0.0747	\$17,928				240,000	\$17,928			240,000	17,928
79	Block 2 Volumes	1,535,740		\$0.0503	\$77,248				1,535,740	\$77,248			1,535,740	77,248
80	Block 3 Volumes	0		\$0.0247	\$0				0	\$0			0	0
81	Demand Volumes	49,200			\$0				49,200	\$0			49,200	0
82	MTSU COGEN CONTRACT	12	\$400.00		\$4,800				0	\$4,800		12	0	4,800
83	Block 1 Volumes	3,647,370		\$0.0400	\$145,895			130,630	3,778,000	\$151,120			3,778,000	151,120
84	Block 2 Volumes	102,120		\$0.0220	\$2,247			(102,120)	0	\$0			0	0
85	SPECIAL CONTRACT (\$0.015 PER Ccf)	12	\$310.00		\$3,720		(12)	(3,698,460)	0	\$0		0	0	0
86	SPECIAL CONTRACT (\$0.021 PER Ccf)	12	\$310.00		\$3,720				8,151,030	\$174,892		12	8,151,030	174,892
87	SPECIAL CONTRACT (\$0.026 PER Ccf)	12	\$310.00		\$3,720				2,818,930	\$77,012		12	2,818,930	77,012
88	SPECIAL CONTRACT (\$0.042 PER Ccf)	12	\$310.00		\$3,720		(12)	(3,277,540)	0	\$0		0	0	0
89	SPECIAL CONTRACT (\$0.2625 PER Ccf)	12	\$310.00		\$3,720				3,332,420	\$878,480		12	3,332,420	878,480
90	SPECIAL CONTRACT (Handbill)	12	\$8,000.00		\$96,000			(1,462,810)	2,910,620	\$154,212		12	2,910,620	154,212
91														
92	Total Transportation	1,029	92,858,283			\$7,174,529	(27)	(10,752,950)	82,105,333	\$6,787,216	0	1,002	82,105,333	6,787,216
93											(958,354)			
94	TOTALS	1,488,115	231,278,335			\$50,331,624	26,154	(6,946,718)	224,331,617	\$51,007,516		1,509,812	223,373,263	\$50,750,025
95														
96														
97														
98														
99														
100														

Weather Normalization Adjustment (WNA)
Late/Service Fees 1,419,139
Sub Total 53,898,370
Bansley Storage Fee 1,818,133
Total 52,080,237

Atmos Energy Corporation - Tennessee Operations
Comparative Statements of Operations and Maintenance Expense
Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2006 (8 actual + 4 projected) and 2007 (Attrition Period)

Line No.	Description	(A) 12 months ended September 30, 2006 (8 actual + 4 proj)	(B) Attrition Increase %	(C) 12 months ended September 30, 2007 (Attrition Period)
	Operation and Maintenance Expenses			
1	Labor	\$3,279,966	3.5%	\$3,394,765
2	Benefits	1,285,299	2.2%	\$1,313,774
3	Materials & Supplies	239,651	2.5%	\$245,642
4	Vehicles & Equip	565,433	2.5%	\$579,556
5	Print & Postage	11,261	3.8%	\$11,694
6	Insurance	21,651	2.5%	\$22,192
7	Marketing	73,361	2.5%	\$75,195
8	Employee Welfare	54,591	2.5%	\$55,956
9	Information Technologies	1,366	2.5%	\$1,400
10	Rent, Maint., & Utilities	546,235	2.5%	\$559,891
11	Directors & Shareholders & PR	19,726	2.5%	\$20,219
12	Telecom	125,189	2.5%	\$128,318
13	Travel & Entertainment	143,870	2.5%	\$147,467
14	Dues & Donations	45,750	2.5%	\$46,894
15	Training	5,021	2.5%	\$5,147
16	Outside Services	1,372,765	2.5%	\$1,407,054
17	Provision for Bad Debt	344,783	2.0%	\$351,679
18	Miscellaneous	10,458	2.5%	\$10,720
19	Total Direct O&M	8,146,377	2.8%	\$8,377,563
20	Total Shared Service O&M	6,731,007	4.3%	\$7,018,952
21	Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses (unadjusted)	\$14,877,384	3.5%	\$15,396,515