2207 Olan Mills Drive Chattanooga TN 3742

TEOTIME.

2886 APR 21 FIND 31 RECEIVED

IR.A. DOCKET RENIEG. AUTHORITY

APR 2 0 PM

ENERGY & WATER DIVISION

April 17, 2006

Pat Murphy, Manager Utilities Division Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville TN 37243-0505

Dear Mrs. Murphy:

Inclosed is Chattanooga Gas Company's response to the March 3, 2006 Tennessee Regulatory Authority Staff Data Request in Docket 03-00209. An electronic copy was provided by e-mail on April 13, 2006.

If you have any questions, please call me at 757 616 7510.

Sincerely,

Archie R. Hickerson

Director-Regulatory Affairs

C: Paul Greene



Staff Data Request March 3, 2006

GAS COST PORTION OF UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS UNDER THE PGA RULE DOCKET 03-00209

- 1. For each customer account number listed on Attachment 1 provide an Excel spreadsheet in electronic format detailing the following:
 - la. Customer Account Number
 - b. Date of each individual write-off on or after March 9, 2004
 - c. Total amount of each individual write-off
 - d. Amount of the total of each individual write-off that represents gas cost
 - e. Amount of the total of each individual write-off that represents margin
 - f. The gas cost percentage rounded to two decimal points (i.e., 65.27%) of each individual write-off
 - g. The margin percentage rounded to two decimal points of each individual write-off
 - h. Date of each individual payment made against each write-off after March 9, 2004
 - i. Total amount of each individual payment made against each write-off
 - i. Amount of each individual payment applied to gas cost
 - k. Amount of each individual payment applied to margin
 - 1. The gas cost percentage rounded to two decimal points of each individual payment against the write-off
 - m. The margin percentage rounded to two decimal points of each individual payment against the write-off
 - n. The difference between the gas cost percentage of the original write-off and the gas cost portion of each individual payment made against the original write-off rounded to two decimal points

Response: Please see attached EXCEL spreadsheet.

2. Explain in detail the cause of any variances shown in your response to (1.n.) above. If any variances exist explain if the accounting system can be modified to address the variances.

Response:

Customer 151140466: The total written off was \$29.28. Of this amount \$7.39 was margin and \$21.89 was gas cost.

The total collected was \$97.73. This amount was for the \$29.28 written off, and for payment charges of \$13.82 and a reconnection charge including applicable tax of \$54.63 that were not included in the amount that was written off.

<u>Customer 626029436</u>: The total written off was \$63.74. Of this amount \$28.54 was margin and \$35.20 was gas cost.

The total collected was \$91.05. This amount was for the \$28.54 written off and a service application fee including applicable tax of \$27.31 that was not included in the account that was written-off.

Customer 1130454242:

The total written off was \$3,383.25. Of this amount \$1,047.03 was margin and \$2,336.22 was gas cost. The \$3,538.97 collected includes a late payment fees of \$155.75 that was not included in the \$3,383.25 that was written off.

Customer 11562186520

The total written off was \$133.21. Of this amount \$33.73 was margin and \$99.48 was gas cost. The amount collected was the same as the amount written off which was \$133.21. There were no fees applicable to this customer's account in which the amount collected was applied to gas cost and margin.

Customer 1709935394:

The total written-off was \$104.77 in which \$50.03 was margin (47.75%) and \$54.74 was gas cost (52.25%).

The amount collected was \$16.49. Of this amount \$6.33 was applied to gas cost and \$8.44 was applied to margin. The account written-off consisted of four bills that written off on April 4, 2005. When the \$16.49 was collected it was applied on a first in first-out basis to the four bills. The reconciliation is shown on the attached Excel Spread sheet.

Customer 1775082268:

The total written-off was \$141.20 in which \$45.07 was margin and \$96.13 was gas cost.

The amount collected was \$141.20. Of this amount \$96.13 was applied to gas cost and \$45.07 was applied to margin.

Customer 3445970091

The total written off was \$31.03. Of this amount \$30.21 was margin and \$.82 was gas cost. The amount collected was \$48.23. The \$31.03 written off does not include \$.81 late payment fees, \$16.39 service application fee including applicable tax. These amounts comprise the variance between the amount written off and the total collected.

Customer 3558503551

The total written off was \$63.65. Of this amount \$40.42 was margin and \$23.23 was gas cost. The amount collected was \$69.28. The \$63.65 written off does not include late payment fees of \$5.63 which is the variance between the amount written off and the total collected

Customer 6000974298: The total written-off was \$30.63. Of this amount \$12.69 was margin and \$17.94 was gas cost.

The total collected was \$47.02. This amount was for the \$30.63 written-off and service establishment fee including applicable tax of \$16.39 that were not included in the account that was written-off.

Customer 7448934206

For customer 7448934206: The total written off was \$20.83. Of this amount \$8.26 margin and \$12.57 was gas cost. The amount collected was the same as the amount written off which was \$20.83. There were no fees applicable to this customer's account in which the amount collected was applied to gas cost and margin.

Customer 7714586532

Customer 7714586532 has the same occurrence as customer 1709935394 in that the remaining amount has not been collected for this account. Only \$19.00 of the original \$63.27 has been recovered. Of this amount \$8.08 was applied to gas cost and \$11.92 was applied to margin. The account written-off consisted of two bills that written off on April 4, 2005. When the \$19.00 was collected it was applied on a first in first-out basis. The reconciliation is shown on the attached Excel Spread sheet.

Customer 7771558409

The total written-off was \$120.52. Of this amount \$45.60 was margin and \$74.92 was for gas. The total amount collected was \$176.17 of which \$74.92 was treated as recovery of written off gas cost, and \$45.60 was treated as margin. The difference in the amount written off and the amount recovered is due to the late payment and reconnection fees including applicable tax not included in the original amount written off.

Customer 7947357518

The total written off was \$105.98. Of this amount \$21.36 margin and \$84.62 was gas cost. The amount collected was \$122.37. The \$105.98 written off does not include a service application fee including applicable tax of \$16.39 which is the variance between the amount written off and the total collected.

Customer 9783211593

The total written off was \$227.49. Of this amount \$77.56 margin and \$149.93 was gas cost. The amount collected was \$269.25. The \$227.49 written off does not include late payment fees, reconnection fee including applicable tax of \$41.76 which is the variance between the amount written off and the total collected.

Customer 9970585471: Total written-off amount was \$541.73. Total gas cost amount was \$339.33 and \$202.40 margin.

Only \$275.37 was collected. Of this amount \$99.09 was treated as margin and \$176.28 was treated as gas cost. The account written-off consisted of two bills written off on

April 4, 2005. When the \$275.37 was collected, it was applied on a first in first-out basis to the individual bills.

The reconciliation is shown on the attached Excel Spread sheet.

As explained the variances between the amount collected and the amounts written-off are the result of the customer failing to pay the entire bill that was written-off, (the customer remains off the system), or charges (reconnect fees, late payment fees, etc.) that were not included in the original bad debt written -off. When a customer makes a partial payment, the partial payment is applied to the individual bills on a first-in first-out basis. Since the percentage of bill that relate to PGA differ each month the application of a partial payment may not equal the percentage for the total bill written-off.

3. Describe fully the procedure(s) followed in allocating partial payments made to written off accounts between gas cost recovery and margin recovery.

Response:

Payments, including partial payments, are allocated to gas cost recovery using the ratio of PGA portion of the bills to the total amount of the bill that was written-off. The ratio is developed for each individual bill that is written off.

Please respond to the following questions with a yes or no answer and then explain.

- 4. Some companies have shown a collection agency fee netted against the gas cost portion of recoveries. Staff realizes that monthly reports were submitted prior to the Motion that was adopted by the Authority at its April 4, 2005 Conference and the companies' Response to that Motion filed on June 1, 2005.
 - a. Has the company filed any monthly report showing a collection agency fee netted or to be netted against the gas cost portion of recoveries?

Response:

Yes. As explained in response to the March 7, 2005 Chattanooga Gas Company previously netted collection agency fees against collections. However, since the Authority adopted at its April 4, 2005 Motion, Chattanooga Gas has not filed any monthly report showing a collection agency fee netted or to be netted against the gas cost portion of recoveries. Prior to filing the ACA for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005, that included the recovery of the gas portion of bad debt, Chattanooga Gas recorded a journal entry to reverse the collection fees that were netted against recoveries in prior periods. Chattanooga Gas has not subsequently netted the collection agency fees against the gas cost portion of recoveries.

b. If the company responds affirmatively to (a.) above, can the company recast uncollectible reports excluding netting of the collection agency fee if requested to do so?

Yes.

5. Is the company's accounting system capable of applying payments and partial payments against one or more written off accounts by applying the same percentage of each individual write-off to the recovery or multiple recoveries using the first-in-first-out method 100% of the time with no exceptions?

Example: (for one customer account)

Jan. 1	Write-off	\$100	Gas Cost	\$75	Margin	\$25
Mar. 4	Recovery	\$20	Gas Cost	\$15	Margin	\$5
June 24	Write-off	\$200	Gas Cost	\$100	Margin	\$100
Sept.4	Recovery	\$180	Gas Cost	\$110	Margin	\$70

Response:

Yes. Partial payments are applied to the gas portion of bad debts on the individual bills that make of the total of the account written-off on a first-in first out basis. (There are three examples of how such payments are handled in the response to item 1 above. (Accounts 1709935394, 7714586532, and 9970585471).

The example included in this item, however, would not occur. A customer who had a bill written-off in January would not have another bill written-off in June unless the customer had fully paid off the bill that made up the Jan 1, written-off. For there to be a January 1, write off, the customer's service would have been terminated for no-pay. The customer's service would not be reinstated unless the customer paid the full \$100 owed. A subsequent payment of \$20 in March would not be sufficient to reinstate service. Since the customer would be off the system, there would not be additional \$200 to be written off in June.

Chattanooga Gas Company
GAS COST PORTION OF UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS UNDER THE PGA RULE
DOCKET 03-00209
Staff Datu Request
3-Mar-06
Item 1

	Date of Each Individual Write - off on or after Merch 9. 2004 (b)	Each Individual	Write - off that Represents Gas	of Each Individual Write - off that Represents	Rounded to two decimal points of		payment made against each write - off after March 9 2004	payment	individual payment applied to	payment applied to	percentage rounded to two decimal points for each individual payment against	percentage rounded to two decimal points of each individual payment against	Difference between gas cost percentage of the original write off and the ges cost portion of each individual payment made against the original write - off rounded to two decimal points (n)	Total Payment	Payment Applicable to Written off Amount	Paymants Applicable to Late Payment Fees Reconnect Fees etc and the related taxes that were in the catculation of the included in Amount Written- Off
151140466	5/24/2005		\$21.89				7/27/2005	\$29 28	\$21.89	\$7 39	74 76%					
626029436							6/24/2005	\$63.74	35.2						63 74	27 31
1130454242	9/28/2004	3 383 25	2.336 22	1 047 03	69 05%	30 95%	11/24/2004	3.383 25	2 336 22	1 047 03	69 05%				3,383 25	155 72
1562186520							6/30/2005		99 48	33 73	74 68%	25 32%	0 00%	133 21	133 21	
1709935394							6/7/2005							16 49	16 49	
1775082268							7/9/2004									-
3445970091							5/27/2005									17 20
3558503551							5/27/2005									5 63
6000974298							6/11/2004							47 02	30 63	16 39
7448934206				8 26			6/11/2004	20 83	12 57	8 26				20 83	20 83	
7714586532							5/6/2005		8 08					19 00	19 00	
7771558409							5/20/2005				62 16%	54 43%			120 52	55 65
7947357518							5/27/2005	105 98				20 15%		122 37	105 98	16 39
9783211593							6/11/2004	227 49			65 91%			269 25	227 49	41 76
9970585471	5/27/2004	541 73	339 33	202 4	62 54%	37 36%	7/9/2004	275 37	176 28	99 09	64 02%	35 98%	1 38%	275 37	\$ 275 37	\$

Chattanooga Gas Company GAS COST PORTION OF UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS UNDER THE PGA RULE DOCKET 03-00209 Staff Data Request 3-Mar-06 Item 2

9970585471

336.20

\$ 541.73 \$ 339.33

215 22

100 5/27/2004

64 02%

	,	Total	• ;		Date Charge	PGA Percent of	Payment			Full		t t	urer value el etale sue fra		
<u>.</u>	ccount	Debit	PGA·	Business	Off	Total	Exists?	. Ar	nount	Payment?		Payme	ent Allocated	to PGA	
17	09935394	15 02	5.76	100	4/4/2005	0.383489	yes	\$	15 02 y	yes	='	\$		5.76	
17	09935394	19 40	7 57	100	4/4/2005	0.390206	yes	\$	1 47 r	no		\$		0.57	
17	09935394	53 26	34 68	100	4/4/2005	0 651145									
17	09935394	17 09	6 73	100	4/4/2005	0.393798									
		\$ 104.77	\$ 54.74					\$	16.49			\$		6.33	
•				•											
, :31		 Total		8 8 8 8 6	, Date	PGA Percent of			2,481/3 ×)	200 PM	100 mm	1 111.00	
'		Total			Charge	Percent of	Payment	Pa	yment	Full				21 . 24.	
. A	ccount	Debit	PGA	Business	ogr Off and	Total	Exists?	Αr	nount : l	Payment?		Payme	ent Allocated	to PGA	
77	14586532	43 77	32.03	100	4/28/2005	0 73178			-						
77	14586532	19 50	8.29	100	4/28/2005	0.425128	yes	\$	19 00 r	no		\$		8.08	
. :->		\$ 63.27	\$ 40.32					\$	19.00	_		\$		8.08	
	_														
						•	•			-					
		Articles	$v \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \times \operatorname{sch}^{n}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$		Date	PGA :			Mar Mar			* 'al' (**) 'ge	- 200 大野 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
1.5		Total			Charge	Percent of	Payment	Pa	yment	Full 🗒					
	ccount	Debit	PGA	Business	Off	Total	Exists?	An	nount I	Payment?		Payme	ent Allocated I	to PGA	
99	70585471	205 53	124 11	100	5/27/2004	60 39%					•		•		

\$275 37 no **\$ 275.37** \$176 28 **176.28**