

PTICE 11 0:30

TRULD BUSINEY NOSH

March 17 2006

Ms. Sharla Dillon Docket Manager Utilities Division 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

RE: Docket No. 03-00209 Responses to Staff Data Request dated March 3, 2006 – Gas Cost Portion of Uncollectible Accounts Under the PGA Rule.

Dear Ms. Dillon:

Enclosed are 13 copies of Atmos Energy's responses to Staff Data Request dated March 3, 2006 concerning the gas cost portion of uncollectible accounts under the PGA rule Docket 03-00209. We inadvertently sent the original to Pat Murphy. In talking to staff, they instructed me to send the remaining copies to you for distribution.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 1-972-855-9727 or Edwin.Wilkins@atmosenergy.com.
Sincerely,

Edwin Wilkins

Senior Analyst

Rate Administration

Edi W. D

Enclosures

pc: Consumer Advocate Division



March 16 2006

Ms. Pat Murphy, Manager Utilities Division 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

RE: Docket No. 03-00209 Responses to Staff Data Request dated March 3, 2006 – Gas Cost Portion of Uncollectible Accounts Under the PGA Rule.

Dear Ms. Pat Murphy:

Enclosed are Atmos Energy's responses to Staff Data Request dated March 3, 2006 concerning the gas cost portion of uncollectible accounts under the PGA rule Docket 03-00209.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 1-972-855-9727 or Edwin.Wilkins@atmosenergy.com.

Sincerely,

Edwin Wilkins

Senior Analyst

Rate Administration

Eh Will

Enclosures

pc: Consumer Advocate Division

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY



460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243 0505

DELIVERED VIA US POSTAL SERVICE AND EMAIL

March 3, 2006

Ms. Pat Childers
Vice President
Atmos Energy
Rates & Regulatory Affairs
810 Crescent Centre Drive, Suite 600
Franklin, TN 37067-6226

RE:

Docket No. 03-00209 - Petition of Chattanooga Gas Company, Nashville Gas Company, a Division of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. and United Cities Gas Company, a Division of Atmos Energy Corporation, for a declaratory ruling regarding the Collectibility of the gas costs portion of uncollectible accounts under the Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") Rules.

Dear Ms. Childers:

As you are aware, on April 4, 2005, the TRA extended the experimental period of the modified refund adjustment formula for an additional one year period. This extension was approved in order to allow time for a review of each company's deferred gas cost accounting following the implementation of the modified formula. In addition to the specific activities directed by the Authority, the Authority directed that the Staff include any and all other activities that the staff deems appropriate.

In order for the TRA Staff to finalize its review, please respond to the attached data request on or before March 17, 2006. Please contact either Paul Greene or myself if you have any questions.

Sincerely

c:

Pat Murphy, Manager

Utilities Division

Mr. Ed Wilkins, Senior Analyst, Rate Administration

Staff Data Request March 3, 2006

GAS COST PORTION OF UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS UNDER THE PGA RULE DOCKET 03-00209

- 1. For each customer account number listed on Attachment 1 provide an Excel spreadsheet in electronic format detailing the following:
 - a. Customer Account Number
 - b. Date of each individual write-off on or after March 9, 2004
 - c. Total amount of each individual write-off
 - d. Amount of the total of each individual write-off that represents gas cost
 - e. Amount of the total of each individual write-off that represents margin
 - f. The gas cost percentage rounded to two decimal points (i.e., 65.27%) of each individual write-off
 - g. The margin percentage rounded to two decimal points of each individual write-off
 - h. Date of each individual payment made against each write-off after March 9, 2004
 - i. Total amount of each individual payment made against each write-off
 - j. Amount of each individual payment applied to gas cost
 - k. Amount of each individual payment applied to margin
 - 1. The gas cost percentage rounded to two decimal points of each individual payment against the write-off
 - m. The margin percentage rounded to two decimal points of each individual payment against the write-off
 - n. The difference between the gas cost percentage of the original write-off and the gas cost portion of each individual payment made against the original write-off rounded to two decimal points
- 2. Explain in detail the cause of any variances shown in your response to (1.n.) above. If any variances exist explain if the accounting system can be modified to address the variances.
- 3. Describe fully the procedure(s) followed in allocating partial payments made to written off accounts between gas cost recovery and margin recovery.

Please respond to the following questions with a yes or no answer and then explain.

- 4. Some companies have shown a collection agency fee netted against the gas cost portion of recoveries. Staff realizes that monthly reports were submitted prior to the Motion that was adopted by the Authority at its April 4, 2005 Conference and the companies' Response to that Motion filed on June 1, 2005.
 - a. Has the company filed any monthly report showing a collection agency fee netted or to be netted against the gas cost portion of recoveries?
 - b. If the company responds affirmatively to (a.) above, can the company recast uncollectible reports excluding netting of the collection agency fee if requested to do so?

5. Is the company's accounting system capable of applying payments and partial payments against one or more written off accounts by applying the same percentage of each individual write-off to the recovery or multiple recoveries using the first-in-first-out method 100% of the time with no exceptions?

Example: (for one customer account)

Jan. 1	Write-off	\$100	Gas Cost	\$75	Margin	\$25
Mar. 4	Recovery	\$20	Gas Cost	\$15	Margin	\$5
June 24	Write-off	\$200	Gas Cost	\$100	Margin	\$100
Sept.4	Recovery	\$180	Gas Cost	\$110	Margin	\$70

ATMOS ATTACHMENT 1

Union City

Other Than Union City

4696413 190103

Atmos Energy Corporation Docket 03-00209 Response to Data Request March 3, 2006

Question 1

Tennessee	Excluding	Union City
-----------	-----------	------------

				ee Exclud	ing orlion	City		A 5 %	
			_ Total					% Difference between	
	_	Customer and	Excluding	Total	Total			Onginal Gas cost %	
	Date	Premise No	Taxes	Gas Cost	Margin	Gas Cost %		and Recovery %	Reference
Write Off		102885 3876	(207 91)	(157 78)	(50 13)	75 89%	24.11%		
Recovery		102885 3876	23 43		23.43	0 00%		75 89%	(1)
Recovery		102885 3876	45 42	45 42	-	100 00%	0 00%	-24 11%	(1)
Recovery	2/1/2006	102885 3876	25 00	25 00	-	100 00%	0.00%	-24 11%	(1)
Total Wntten Off	7/1/2005	102885 3876	(207 91)	(157 78)	(50 13)		、24 11%		
Total Recovered		102885 3876	93 85	70 42	23 43	75 03%	24 97%		
Write Off	6/1/2004	103231 4222	(864 20)	(656 01)	(208.19)	75 91%	24 09%	,	
Recovery		103231 4222	864.20	656 01	208 19	75 91%	24 09%	0 00%	
Recovery		103231 4222	(559.84)	(448 91)	(110 93)	80 19%	19 81%	-4 28%	(1)
Recovery		103231 4222	297 61	215 26	82 35	72 33%	27 67%	3 58%	(1)
Write Off		103231 4222	(1,000 44)	(777 01)	(223 43)	77.67%	22 33%	0 00 /0	('')
Total Written Off	6/4/2004	103231 4222	(864.20)	(656 01)	(208 19)	75 91%	24 09%	`	
Total Recovered	6/1/2004	103231 4222	601 97	422 36	179.61	70 16%	24 09% 29 84%		
Total Written Off	6/1/2005	103231 4222	(1,000 44)	(777 01)	(223 43)	77 67%	22 33%		
					_				·
Write Off `	4/1/2004	120402 21410	(528 07)	(397 66)	(130 41)	75 30%	24 70%		
Recovery	8/1/2004	120402 21410	2 05	-	2 05	0 00%		75 30%	(1)
Recovery	9/1/2004	120402 21410	15 00	-	15 00	0 00%	100 00%	75 30%	(1)
Recovery	11/1/2004	120402 21410	15 00	-	15 00	0 00%	100 00%	75 30%	(1)
Recovery	12/1/2004	120402 21410	13 45	•	13 45	0 00%	100.00%	75 30%	(1)
Recovery	1/1/2005	120402 21410	17.59	17 59	-	100 00%	0 00%	-24.70%	(1)
Recovery	^ 2/1/2005	120402 21410	20.00	20 00	-	100 00%	· 0 00%	-24 70%	(1)
Recovery	3/1/2005	120402 21410	202 63	169 79	32 84	83 79%	16 21%	· -8 49%	(1)
Recovery	4/1/2005	120402 21410	50 00	50.00	-	100 00%	0 00%	-24 70%	(1)
Recovery	5/1/2005	120402 21410	26 98	12 92	14 06	47 89%	1 52 11%	27 41%	(1)
Recovery	6/1/2005	120402 21410	47 79	25 03	22 76	52 37%	47.63%	22 93%	(1)
Recovery	7/1/2005	120402 21410	50 00	50 00	-	100 00%	0 00%	-24 70%	(1)
Recovery	8/1/2005	120402 21410	31 40	19 07	12 33	60 73%	39 27%	14 57%	(1)
Recovery	9/1/2005	120402 21410	19 08	16 16	2 92	84 70%	15.30%	-9.40%	(1)
Total Wntten Off	4/1/2004	120402 21410	(528 07)	(397 66)	(130 41)	75.30%	24 70%	•	
Total Recovered		120402 21410	510 97	380 56	130 41	74 48% 	25 52%		
Wnte Off	7/1/2004	135360 36397	(285 17)	(205 46)	(79 71)	72.05%	27 95%		
Recovery		135360 36397	48 32	17 27	31 05	35.74%	64 26%	36 31%	(1)
Recovery		135360 36397	, 50 00	50 00	-	100 00%	0 00%	-27 95%	(1)
Recovery		135360 36397	40 02	21 03	18 99	52 55%	47 45%		(1)
Recovery		135360 36397	48 50	37 58	10 92	77 48%	22 52%		(1)
Recovery		135360 36397	47 08	38 83	8 25	82 48%	17 52%	-10.43%	(1)
Total Written Off	7/1/2004	135360 36397	(285 17)	(205 46)	(79 71)	72 05%	27 95%	•	·
TOTAL VYTILLETT OIL									

Atmos Energy Corporation Docket 03:00209 Response to Data Request March 3, 2006

Question 1

Write Off

Recovery

Recovery

Recovery

Recovery

Recovery

Write Off

Recovery

Recovery

Recovery

Recovery

Recovery

Total Written off

Total Recovered

9/1/2004 4646936 53850

1/1/2005 4646936 53850

2/1/2005 4646936 53850

3/1/2005 4646936 53850

4/1/2005 4646936 53850

5/1/2005 4646936 53850

8/1/2004 4696413 190103

10/1/2004 4696413 190103

11/1/2004 4696413 190103

12/1/2004 4696413 190103

1/1/2005 4696413 190103

2/1/2005 4696413 190103

Total Written Off 4696413 190103

Total Recovered 4696413 190103

(346 03)

76 64

83 80

82 21

50 39

52 99

(346.03)

346 03

(64678)

50.04

132 00

216 16

116 58

(13200)

(64678)

382 78

(254 11)

42 54

78 16

54 16

45 97

33 28

(254 11)

254 11

(55669)

132 00

211 97

77 85

(13200)

(55669)

292 69

2.87

(91 92)

34 10

5 64

28 05

4 42

19 71

(91 92)

91 92

(90.09)

47 17

4 19

38 73

(90 09)

90 09

73 44%

55 51%

93 27%

65 88%.

91 23%

62 80%

.73 44%

73 44%

86 07%

100 00%

98 06%

66 78%

100 00%

86 07%

76 46%

5 74%

26 56%

44 49%

6 73%

34 12%

8 77%

37 20%

26 56%

26.56%

13 93%

94 26%

0.00%

1 94%

33 22%

0 00%

13 93%

23 54%

17 93%

-19 83%

-17 79%

10 64%

80 33%

-13 93%

-11 99%

19 29%

-13 93%

7 56%

(1) (1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1) (1)

(1)

			Tenness	ee Exclud	ing Unior	1 City			
_			Total					% Difference between	
		Customer and	Excluding	Total	Total			Onginal Gas cost %	
	Date	Premise No	Taxes	Gas Cost	Margin	Gas Cost %	Margin %	and Recovery %	Reference
Vnte Off	3/1/2004	139844 40872	(358.40)	(358 40)		100 00%	0 00%		
Recovery		139844 40872	313 17	313 17		100 00%	0.00%	0 00%	
Recovery		139844 40872	21 98	21 98	_	100 00%	0 00%	0 00%	
Recovery		139844 40872	21 95	21 95	_	100 00%	0 00%	0 00%	
Write Off		139844 40872	(83 85)	1 -	(83 85)		100 00%		
Recovery		139844 40872	44 31	, -	44 31	0.00%	100 00%		
Write Off		139844 40872	(44 18)	(44 18)	. 44.51	100 00%	0 00%	•	
Recovery		139844 40872	21 95	21 95	-	100 00%	0 00%	-100 00%	(2)
		139844 40872	(2.54)	(2 54)	_	100 00%	0 00%	-100 00 %	(2)
Write Off		139844 40872	22 77	22.77	-	100 00%	0 00%	-100.00%	, (2)
Recovery			26 58	22.11	26 58	0 00%	100 00%	-100.00%	, (2)
Recovery		139844 40872			20 30	100 00%	0 00%		
Write Off		139844 40872	(139.56)	(139 56)	12 96		16 78%	-83 22%	(2)
Recovery		139844 40872 139844 40872	77 25 34.35	64 29 34 35	1290	83 22% 100.00%	0 00%	0 00%	(2) (2)
Recovery			34.35		-		0 00%	0 00%	(2)
Recovery		139844 40872		34 35	-	100 00%	0.00%	. 0 00%	(2)
Write Off		139844 40872	(263 30)	(263 30)	-	100 00%	0.00%		
Recovery		139844 40872	34.34	34 34		100 00%		· ·	
Recovery		139844 40872	34 36	34 36		100 00%	0 00%	0.000/	
Recovery		139844 40872	34 34	34 34	-	100 00%	0 00%	0.00%	
Recovery		139844 40872	34 35	. 34 35		100 00%	0 00%	2 222/	
Recovery		139844 40872	34 35	34 35	-	100 00%	0 00%	0 00%	
Recovery		139844 40872	38 24	38 24		100 00%		0 00%	
Recovery		139844 40872	36 08	36 08	-	100 00%	0 00%	0 00%	
Recovery		139844 40872	27 11	27 11		100 00%	0 00%	0 00%	(2)
Wnte Off		139844 40872	(228 96)	(228.96)	-	100 00%	0 00%		
Write Off		139844 40872	(160 26)	(160 26)	-	100.00%	0 00%		
Wnte Off		139844 40872	(15 35)	(9 87)	(5.48)		35 70%		
Recovery	10/1/2005	139844 40872	5 48		5 48	0 00%	100 00%		
Total Written off 3/	1/2004 Thru 3/1/	2005	(891 83)	(807 98)	(83 85)	90 60%	9 40%		
Total Recovered t	hru Present		891 83	807 98	83 85	90 60%	9 40%		٠.
Total Written off 4	1/2005 thru Pres	ent	(404 57)	(399 09)	(5 48)		`	,	
	-	4005000 +00000	/maa.c.:						
Write Off		4635083 186396	(538 61)	(448 76)	(89 85)		16 68%		
Recovery		4635083 186396	20 00	20.00	-	100 00%	0 00%		(1)
Recovery		4635083 186396	100 00	100 00	•	100 00%	0 00%	-16 68%	ر <u>ا)</u> ر
Recovery		4635083 186396	88 22	80 00	8 22	90 68%	9 32%		(1)
Recovery		4635083 186396	143 46	92 27	51 19	64 32%	35 68%		(1)
Recovery -		4635083 186396	93 46	78 38	15 08	83 86%	16 14%		(1)
Recovery	5/1/2005	4635083 186396	93 47	78 11	15 36	83 57%	16 43%	-0 25%	(1)
Total Written off			(538 61)	(448 76)	(89 85)	83 32%	16 68%		
TOTAL TYTILLETT OF									

Atmos Energy Corporation Docket 03-00209 Response to Data Request March 3, 2006

Question 1

Union City, Tennessee

			Union	City, Tenn	iesse <u>e</u>				
			Total					% Difference between	
		Customer and	Excluding	Total	Total			Onginal Gas cost %	
	Date	Premise No	Taxes	Gas Cost	Margin	Gas Cost %	Margin %	and Recovery %	Reference
Write off	8/1/2004	262699 143528	(96 30)	(54 76)	(41 54)	56 86%	43 14%	•	
Recovery	8/1/2004	262699 143528	34 57	13 16	21 41	38.07%	61 93%	18 79%	(1)
Recovery	11/1/2004	262699 143528	, 61 73	41 60	20 13	67.39%	32 61%	-10 53%	(1)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•		-				
Total Written off	8/1/2004	262699 143528	(96 30)	(54 76)	(41 54)	56 86%	43 14%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total Recovered		262699 143528	96 30	`54 76 [°]	41.54	56 86%	43 14%		l .
	<i>(</i> '							1	
			(00= 00)	(000.00)	(0.4.70)	70.470/	00.000/		
Wnte off		263106 144846	(397 36)	(302 66)	(94 70)		23 83%		1 4
Recovery		263106 144846	302 57	237 78	64 79	78.59%	21 41%	-2 42%	1 ''
Recovery	10/1/2005	263106 144846	94 79	64 88	29.91	68.45%	31 55%	7 72%	(1)
Total Written off	8/1/2005	263106 144846	(397 36)	(302 66)	(94 70)	76 17%	23 83%		
Total Recovered	0/ 1/2000	263106 144846	397 36	302 66	94 70	76 17%	23 83%		
Total Necovered		203100 144040	007 00		J+10			<u>, </u>	
	01410005	000407444040	(450.00)	(400.00)	(E4 50)	66.460	22 5404		
Write off		263487 144316	(153 82)	(102 23)	(51 59)		33 54%	00.400	/4\
Recovery	8/1/2005	263487 144316	4 60	-	4 60	0 00%	100 00%	66 46%	(1)
Total Written off	6/1/2005	263487 144316	(153 82)	(102 23)	(51.59)	66.46%	33 54%		
Total Recovered	0, 1, 2000		4 60	-	4 60	0 00%	100 00%		
Total Necovered			, +00				.00 0070		
	01410005	005000 4 45000	(007.00)	(000.04)	(07.00)		04.670/		
Write off		265062 145893	(307 26)	(209 94)	(97 32)			40.700/	45
		265062 145893	88 09	50 74	37 35	57 60%		10.73%	. , , 11
1		265062 145893	106 76	67 55	39 21	63 27%		5.06%	1 ' '
	9/1/2005	265062 145893	112 41	91 65	20 76	81 53%	18.47%	-13 20%	(1)
Total Written off	6/1/2005	265062 145893	(307.26)	(209 94)	(97 32)	68 33%	31 67%		
Total Recovered	0, 1,2000	265062 145893	307.26	209 94	97 32	68.33%			
Maile off	6/4/2005	246425 244266	(174.00)	(427.02)	(47.07)	73 09%	26 91%		
Write off		346425 214366	(174 90)	(127 83)	(47 07)				(1)
Recovery	9/1/2005	346425 214366	82 65	45.30	37 35	54 81%	45 19%	18 28%	(1)
Total Written off	6/1/2005	346425 214366	(174 90)	(127 83)	(47 07)	73 09%	26 91%		
Total Recovered	02000	346425 214366	82 65	45 30	37 35	54 81%			
				40 00		1	40 1070		
\A/=+= -#	41410000	200000 200107	/000 001	(0.45.04)	(407.50)		40.000		
Write off		368680 230137	(983 39)	(845.81)	(137 58)		,		,,
Recovery		368680 230137	299.01	288 04	10 97	96 33%		-10 32%	(1)
Write off		368680 230137	(845 81)	(845 81)					1 1
Write off	7/1/2005	368680 230137	(425 36)	(293 64)	(131.72)	69 03%	30 97%		·
Total Written off	1/1/2005	368680 230137	(983 39)	(845 81)	(137 58)	86 01%	13 99%		
Total Recovered		368680 230137	299 01	288.04	10 97	96 33%			
Total Written off Begin	ning 2/1/2005		(1,271.17)	(1,139 45)					
									,
Write off	7/1/2004	4275460 222146	(385 77)	(262 29)	(123 48)				
Recovery	10/1/2004	4275460 222146	205 30	118 83	86 47	57 88%	42 12%	10 11%	(1)
Recovery	12/1/2004	4275460 222146	37 60	32.10	5 50	85 37%		-17.38%	
Recovery		4275460 222146	142 87	111 36	31 51	77 94%		-9 95%	
Total Written off	7/4/0004	4075460 0004 40	(205 77)	(262.20)	(400.40)	- 67.000	22.0464		
Total Written off	7/1/2004	4275460 222146	(385 77)	(262 29)	(12348)	67.99%	32 01%		1
Total Recovered		4275460 222146	385 77	262 29	123 48	67 99%	32 01%	_	

Atmos Energy Corporation Docket 03-00209 Response to Data Request March 3, 2006

Question 2

For lines with a Reference (1):

Write offs were tracked using Billing Date as a reference. Our billing system could not apply a subsequent recovery using FIFO and basing the allocation of recovery dollars on the original % written off. Therefore, the billing system uses Billing Date as a reference and applies the allocation % randomly. The sample in Question 1 contained 7,705.52 in Gas Cost portion of bad debt written off. Using the random methodology, we recovered 67.78 less in Gas Costs than if we were able to use the FIFO and % Gas Cost allocation methodology.

For lines with a Reference (2):

We initially discovered we could not use a FIFO methodology plus allocating recoveries using the original % of gas costs. Therefore, we had the billing system allocate ALL recoveries to gas costs first. However, the billing system could not allocate all gas costs first AND use FIFO. We began running into difficulties with our Aging process. So we had to direct the billing system to use FIFO and assign gas costs randomly. This account showed recoveries assigned all to gas costs, when most of it should have been Margin. It should be noted that the write-offs on March, June, August, September, November 2004 and March 2005 were all collected by October 2005.

Question 3

Partial payments are applied to individual charges in order by Due Date (ascending), Payment Priority (ascending), and then Bill Date (ascending). Payment Priority is defined at a Rate Code level. In cases where all 3 of those items are the same, the program randomly selects the order.

Question 4

No, Atmos has not netted collection agency fees against the gas cost portion of recoveries.

Question 5

No. We held meetings with Billing to try to adapt this methodology. Billing informed us that they could not allocate partial payments by applying the same percentage of each individual write-off to the recovery (or multiple recoveries). We then instructed Billing to assign all partial payment recoveries to gas costs first and then to Margin. We found out that Billing could do this, but not use FIFO at the same time. This caused problems in our Aging of accounts. Finally, we had billing apply partial payments using FIFO and assign the recoveries between Gas Cost and Margin randomly.